

Belgium-Brussels: Study on optimised cascading use of wood
OJ S 1/2015 02/01/2015
Contract award notice
Services

Directive 2004/18/EC

Section I: Contracting authority

I.1. Name and addresses

Official name: European Commission, Directorate-General for Enterprise and Industry,
Directorate F: Resources Based, Manufacturing and Consumer Goods Industries, Unit F3:
Raw Materials, Metals, Minerals and Forest-based Industries

Postal address: avenue d'Auderghem 45, BREY 12/088

Town: Brussels

Postal code: 1049

Country: Belgium

For the attention of: entr-dir-f-financial-team@ec.europa.eu

Internet address(es):

General address of the contracting authority: http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/dgs/index_en

Address of the buyer profile: http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/contracts-grants/calls-for-tenders/index_en.htm

I.2. Type of the contracting authority

European institution/agency or international organisation

I.3. Main activity

General public services

I.4. Contract award on behalf of other contracting authorities

The contracting authority is purchasing on behalf of other contracting authorities: no

Section II: Object of the contract

II.1. Description

II.1.1. Title

Study on optimised cascading use of wood.

II.1.2. Type of contract and place of performance or delivery

Services

Service category No 11: Management consulting services [6] and related services

Main site or place of performance: The place of performance of the tasks shall be the contractor's premises or any other place indicated in the tender, with the exception of the Commission's premises.

NUTS code

II.1.3. Information about a framework agreement or a dynamic purchasing system (DPS)

II.1.4. Short description of the contract or purchase(s)

The overall objective of the study is to define the cascading use of wood and assess its environmental and socio-economic impacts, to analyse barriers to cascade and possible

measures adapted to local conditions to overcome them in the European Union. The results of the study will serve as a basis to develop good practice guidance on the cascading use of wood to the policymakers and value chain stakeholders for the wider application of the cascading use of wood.

Specifically, the study will:

1. improve the understanding of the meaning, existing application and impacts of the different application of the cascading use of wood in the EU and in Member States. This includes working out its definition (including with reference to non-wood materials) and identifying and assessing its impacts based on environmental and socio-economic criteria and various stakeholders' interests, including its pros and cons;
2. identify and assess the impacts of barriers to wider application of the cascade in the EU Member States, including impact of public support to energy sector on possible distortion of the functioning of the wood market and its cascading use and of other economical, technical and logistical barriers;
3. identify and assess different measures and decision criteria and good practice examples for a wider application of the cascade that are implementable at the EU, Member State, regional and local levels according to the local circumstances.

II.1.5. CPV code(s)

79410000 Business and management consultancy services

II.1.6. Information about the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA)

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement: yes

II.2. Total value of the contract/lot

II.2.1. Total value of the contract/lot

Value: 314 280 EUR
excluding VAT

Section IV: Procedure

IV.1. Type of procedure

IV.1.1. Type of procedure

Open

IV.2. Award criteria

IV.2.1. Award criteria

The most economically advantageous tender in terms of

1. Understanding of objectives and tasks: This criterion is used to assess the degree to which the tender shows a clear understanding of the objectives and the tasks of the service to be provided. Contractors should not simply repeat the terms of reference provided by the Commission. Weighting 10
2. Quality of the proposed technical approach and methodology: This criterion assesses the suitability and strength of the proposal as measured against the requirements of the tasks in terms of the technical content, proposed methodology to collect and analyse relevant information, completeness, originality of ideas and proposed effort. Weighting 40

3. Quality of the proposed selection of case studies: This criterion relates to the approach presented for the selection of the case studies to obtain relevant, informative, robust information for the execution of tasks 2 and 3 of the study, including the originality of ideas and proposed effort. Weighting 20

4. Project management and organisation of the work: This criterion will assess the composition of the team (including the level of involvement of senior experts) and how the roles and responsibilities of the proposed team and of the economic operators (in case of joint tenders, including subcontractors if applicable) are distributed for each task. It also assesses the global allocation of time and resources to the project and to each task or deliverable, and whether this allocation is adequate for the work. The tender should provide details on the allocation of time and resources and the rationale behind the choice of this allocation as well as the details of the methods of communication to be employed in order to keep the Commission informed of progress. Weighting 20

5. Quality control measures: This criterion will assess the quality control system applied to the service foreseen in this tender specification concerning the quality of the deliverables, the language quality check, and continuity of the service in case of absence of a member of the team. The quality system should be detailed in the tender and specific to the tasks at hand; a generic quality system will result in a low score. Weighting 10

IV.2.2. Information about electronic auction

An electronic auction has been used: no

IV.3. Administrative information

IV.3.1. File reference number attributed by the contracting authority

394/PP/ENT/RCH/14/7689.

IV.3.2. Previous publication concerning this procedure

Prior information notice

Notice number in the OJ S: [2014/S 65-110042](#) of 2.4.2014

Contract notice

Notice number in the OJ S: [2014/S 96-166917](#) of 20.5.2014

Section V: Award of contract

Contract No: 30-CE-0677561/00-43

Lot title: Study on optimised cascading use of wood

V.1. Date of conclusion of the contract

22.12.2014

V.2. Information about tenders

Number of tenders received: 6

V.3. Name and address of the contractor

Official name: BTG Biomass Technology Group BV in partnership with the Institute for European Environmental Policy, London (UK), Udo Mantau/Infro (DE), Nova-Institut für politische und ökologische Innovation GmbH (DE), and Intecus GmbH Abfallwirtschaft und umweltintegratives Management (DE)

Postal address: Josink Esweg 34

Town: Enschede

Postal code: 7545 PN

Country: Netherlands

V.4. Information on value of the contract/lot

Initial estimated total value of the contract/lot:

Value: 360 000 EUR

excluding VAT

Total value of the procurement:

Value: 314 280 EUR

V.5. Information about subcontracting

The contract is likely to be subcontracted: no

Section VI: Complementary information

VI.1. Information about European Union funds

The procurement is related to a project and/or programme financed by European Union funds:
yes

Identification of the project: 'Horizon 2020 — the framework programme for research and innovation (2014–2020)' — established by Regulation No 1291/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11.12.2013 (OJ L 347/104, 20.12.2013).

VI.2. Additional information**VI.3. Procedures for review****VI.3.1. Review body**

Official name: General Court of the European Union

Postal address: rue du Fort Niedergrünwald

Town: Luxembourg

Postal code: 2925

Country: Luxembourg

E-mail: generalcourt.registry@curia.europa.eu

Telephone: +352 4303-1

Fax: +352 4303-2100

Internet address: <http://curia.europa.eu>

VI.3.2. Review procedure

Precise information on deadline(s) for review procedures: Within 2 months of the notification to the plaintiff, or in the absence thereof, of the day on which it came to the knowledge. A complaint to the European Ombudsman does not have as an effect either to suspend this period or to open a new period for lodging appeals.

VI.3.3. Service from which information about the review procedure may be obtained**VI.4. Date of dispatch of this notice**

23.12.2014