

## 151963-2026 - Competition

Norway – Animal products, meat and meat products – Procurement - Meat and meat products, 2026

OJ S 44/2026 04/03/2026

Contract or concession notice – standard regime

Supplies

### 1. Buyer

---

#### 1.1. Buyer

Official name: Ålesund kommune

Email: [marton.bjordal.leine@alesund.kommune.no](mailto:marton.bjordal.leine@alesund.kommune.no)

Legal type of the buyer: Body governed by public law, controlled by a local authority

Activity of the contracting authority: General public services

### 2. Procedure

---

#### 2.1. Procedure

Title: Procurement - Meat and meat products, 2026

Description: The aim of the agreement is to cover the contracting authority's need for meat and meat products for use in food production and catering in institutional households.

Procedure identifier: dbfeb323-9141-432e-a90a-89afb2fb0855

Internal identifier: 25/16074

Type of procedure: Open

The procedure is accelerated: no

Main features of the procedure: Open tender contest

##### 2.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15100000 Animal products, meat and meat products

Additional classification (cpv): 15110000 Meat, 15111000 Bovine meat, 15113000 Pork, 15115000 Lamb and mutton, 15130000 Meat products, 15131000 Meat preserves and preparations, 15131100 Sausage-meat products, 15131110 Sausage meat, 15131200 Dried, salted, smoked or seasoned meat, 15131400 Pork products, 15131420 Meatballs, 15131600 Beef and veal products, 15131610 Beef meatballs, 15131700 Meat preparations

##### 2.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Møre og Romsdal (NO0A3)

Country: Norway

Additional information: The contracting authority for the competition is: Ålesund municipality  
Participants in this procurement are the following, and there will therefore be delivery to these municipalities and companies. The procurement cooperation in Sunnmøre (municipality, including municipal companies in each municipality) Ålesund Volda Hareid Herøy Fjord Cyclone Ørsta Ulstein Sula Giske - Norway Sande Beach Muritunet Brisk AS, Brisk Barnehage AS, Brisk Bakeri AS, Brisk Utvikling AS, Brisk Karriere AS and Brisk Tjenester AS. Møre og Romsdal County, Norway

##### 2.1.3. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 44 000 000,00 NOK

Maximum value of the framework agreement: 55 000 000,00 NOK

#### **2.1.4. General information**

##### **Legal basis:**

Directive 2014/24/EU

#### **2.1.6. Grounds for exclusion**

Sources of grounds for exclusion: Notice

**Corruption:** Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, in the event a enforceable verdict has been convicted of corruption by a verdict handed down not more than five years ago, or a rejection period determined directly in the judgement that still applies? Corruption as defined in Article 3 of the Convention on Combating Corruption, Involving European Communities or European Union Member States (EUT C 195 of 25.6.1997, s. 1), and in Article 2, point 1, in the Council's framework decision 2003/568/RIA of 22 July 2003 on combating corruption in the private sector (EUT L 192 of 31.7.2003, p. 54). This rejection reason also includes corruption as defined in national law for the contracting authority or supplier.

**Fraud:** Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, at the time a legally convicted of fraud has been convicted of fraud by a verdict handed down not more than five years ago, or a rejection period determined directly in the judgement that still applies?

**Money laundering or terrorist financing:** Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, in the event a legal verdict has been convicted of money laundering or financing terrorism by a verdict handed down no more than five years ago, or a rejection period set out directly in the judgement that still applies?

**Participation in a criminal organisation:** Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, at the time a legally convicted verdict of participation in a criminal organisation by a verdict handed down no more than five years ago, or a rejection period set out directly in the judgement that still applies? Participation in a criminal organisation as defined in Article 2 of the Council's framework decision 2008/841/RIA of 24 October 2008 on control of organised crime (EUT L 300 of 11.11.2008, p. 42)

**Terrorist offences or offences linked to terrorist activities:** Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body, or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, in the event a legal verdict has been convicted of acts of terrorism or criminal acts connected to terrorist activities by a verdict handed down no more than five years ago, or a rejection period set out directly in the judgement that still applies? Acts of terrorism or criminal acts relating to terrorist activity as defined in Article 1 and 3 of the Council's framework decision 2002/475/RIA of 13 June 2002 on combating terrorism (EFT L 164, af 22.6.2002, p. 3). This rejection reason also includes incitement to, participation or attempts to commit such actions as included in Article 4 in the mentioned framework decision.

**Child labour and including other forms of trafficking in human beings:** Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, in the event a legal verdict has been convicted of child labour and other forms of human trafficking by a verdict handed down no more than five years ago, or a rejection period determined directly in

the judgement that still applies? Child labour and other forms of human trafficking as defined in Article 2 of the European Parliament and council directive 2011/36/EU of 5. 1 April 2011 on the prevention and control of human trafficking and the protection of its victims and for compensation of the Council's framework decision 2002/629/RIA (EUT L 101 of 15.4.2011, p. 1).

Breaching of obligations in the fields of environmental law: Is the tenderer aware of breaches of environmental provisions as stated in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents or Article 18 (2) of Directive 2014/24/EU.

Breaching of obligations in the fields of labour law: Is the tenderer aware of breaches of provisions on working conditions as stated in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents or Article 18 (2) of Directive 2014/24/EU.

Breaching of obligations in the fields of social law: Is the tenderer aware of breaches of provisions on social conditions as stated in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents or Article 18 (2) of Directive 2014/24/EU.

Agreements with other economic operators aimed at distorting competition: Has the tenderer entered into agreement(s) with other tenderers with the intention of turning the competition?

Grave professional misconduct: Has the tenderer committed serious errors in professional practice? If relevant, see the definitions in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents.

Misrepresentation, withheld information, unable to provide required documents or obtained confidential information of this procedure: Has the tenderer: a) given grossly incorrect information with the notification of the information required to verify that there is no basis for rejection, or of the qualification requirements being fulfilled, b) failed to provide such information, c) made reservations immediately to present the supporting documents requested by the contracting authority, or d) improperly affected the contracting authority's decision process to acquire confidential information that could give this an unlawful advantage in connection with competition, or negligently has given misleading information that can have a significant influence on decisions on rejection, selection or award?

Conflict of interest due to its participation in the procurement procedure: Is the tenderer aware of a conflict of interest as stated in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents?

Direct or indirect involvement in the preparation of this procurement procedure: Has the tenderer or an entity associated with the supplier advised the contracting authority or in another way been involved in the planning of the competition?

Early termination, damages, or other comparable sanctions: Has the tenderer committed significant breaches of contract in connection with the fulfilment of a previous public contract, a previous contract with a public contracting authority or a previous concession contract, where the breach has led to the cancellation of the contract, compensation or other similar sanctions?

Breaching obligation relating to payment of social security contributions: Have tenderers failed to fulfil all their social security obligations in the country where they are established and in their member state, if this is a different country than what he is established in?

Breaching obligation relating to payment of taxes: Has the tenderer not fulfilled all of his tax and duty obligations in both the country where he is established and in the contracting authority's member state, if this is a different country than what he is established in?

Business activities are suspended: Has the tenderer's business conduct been stopped?

Bankruptcy: Is the tenderer in a bankruptcy situation? Specify why, under the mentioned circumstances, one is able to carry out the contract, considering the current national provisions and measures for continuing the business activities? It is not necessary to provide this information if rejection of tenderers in such a situation is obligatory in accordance with the current national law with no exceptions, when the tenderer is still able to carry out the contract.

Arrangement with creditors: Is the supplier in a situation where he has been forced debt arrangement? Specify why, under the mentioned circumstances, one is able to carry out the contract, considering the current national provisions and measures for continuing the business activities? It is not necessary to provide this information if rejection of tenderers in such a situation is obligatory in accordance with the current national law with no exceptions, when the tenderer is still able to carry out the contract.

Insolvency: Is the tenderer in an insolvency situation? Specify why, under the mentioned circumstances, one is able to carry out the contract, considering the current national provisions and measures for continuing the business activities? It is not necessary to provide this information if rejection of tenderers in such a situation is obligatory in accordance with the current national law with no exceptions, when the tenderer is still able to carry out the contract.

Assets being administered by liquidator: Specify why, under the mentioned circumstances, one is able to carry out the contract, considering the current national provisions and measures for continuing the business activities? It is not necessary to provide this information if rejection of tenderers in such a situation is obligatory in accordance with the current national law with no exceptions, when the tenderer is still able to carry out the contract.

Analogous situation like bankruptcy, insolvency or arrangement with creditors under national law: Is the tenderer in a similar situation in accordance with an equivalent procedure set in the national law? See the national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents.

## 5. Lot

---

### 5.1. Lot: LOT-0000

Title: Procurement - Meat and meat products, 2026

Description: The aim of the agreement is to cover the contracting authority's need for meat and meat products for use in food production and catering in institutional households.

Internal identifier: 25/16074

#### 5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15100000 Animal products, meat and meat products

Additional classification (cpv): 15110000 Meat, 15111000 Bovine meat, 15113000 Pork, 15115000 Lamb and mutton, 15130000 Meat products, 15131000 Meat preserves and preparations, 15131100 Sausage-meat products, 15131110 Sausage meat, 15131200 Dried, salted, smoked or seasoned meat, 15131400 Pork products, 15131420 Meatballs, 15131600 Beef and veal products, 15131610 Beef meatballs, 15131700 Meat preparations

#### 5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Møre og Romsdal (NO0A3)

Country: Norway

Additional information: The contracting authority for the competition is: Ålesund municipality  
Participants in this procurement are the following, and there will therefore be delivery to these municipalities and companies. The procurement cooperation in Sunnmøre (municipality, including municipal companies in each municipality) Ålesund Volda Hareid Herøy Fjord Cyclone Ørsta Ulstein Sula Giske - Norway Sande Beach Muritunet Brisk AS, Brisk Barnehage AS, Brisk Bakeri AS, Brisk Utvikling AS, Brisk Karriere AS and Brisk Tjenester AS. Møre og Romsdal County, Norway

#### 5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 2 Years

#### 5.1.4. **Renewal**

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

#### 5.1.5. **Value**

Estimated value excluding VAT: 44 000 000,00 NOK

Maximum value of the framework agreement: 55 000 000,00 NOK

#### 5.1.6. **General information**

##### **Reserved participation:**

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

#### 5.1.9. **Selection criteria**

Sources of selection criteria: Procurement Document, European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

#### 5.1.10. **Award criteria**

##### **Criterion:**

Type: Price

Name: Price

Description: Weighting: The award criteria is weighted 40%. Orientation: Tenderers shall fill in the attached price form in their cost calculations and submit it as an annex to the tender in Excel format. All fields in the attached price form marked with yellow shall be completed. Missing the price fields can cause difficulties in assessing the tender and the tender can then be rejected. All prices are in NOK, excluding VAT, including all direct or indirect expenses and duties that could be incurred in connection with the delivery. Order fees and invoice fees are to be seen as expenses. The prices shall include all costs for the customer who is included in this competition, including the procurement commission. Costs that the tenderer knows will be incurred, but that are not specifically stated in the tender, will be seen as included in the stated costs. All fields in the attached price form marked with yellow ought to be completed. Missing completion of the price fields can cause difficulties in assessing the tender and the tender offer may be rejected. If a tenderer cannot offer one or more of the product lines in the price form and does not complete some product lines (or fill in the wrong product), the highest price offered for the relevant product line in the other tender will form the basis of the item line. Tenderers must, however, submit a tender for a minimum of approx. 95% of the product lines in the price form. Any deviations beyond this must be clarified in the "questions and answers" function in the competition tool TendSign. Tenderers must offer the necessary quality, breadth and depth in the assortment in the price form and these shall be products that will, in all probability, be available for all, or large parts of the agreement period. The product text of the products in the price form is based on the current contract product, as we would like all tenderers to get the same information on which products are purchased in the current contract. Please note that in the price form we have based the product text on the current contract product, we would like the same or equivalent, or better quality like this. Quality is in this context defined as quality criteria that are relevant for the product type, for example: portion of meat, flavour, consistency, raw material, nutritional content. Most of the items in the price form contain additional descriptions that provide a few more detailed descriptions of the product than the product name gives. The size references in the product text in the price form show approx. the size that shall be offered. If a tenderer does not have/can take in the stated size (unit pack size and/or sales packaging size) in their assortment, the size that exists/can be

taken into their assortment that is closest to the stated size shall be offered. The sale packaging size for the offered product shall be filled in the actual column in the price form, regardless of whether the size is equal to the size stated in the product line or not - this is i.a. so that the contracting authority can check sizes and to enable easier follow-up of this in the contract period. Product specifications: All offered products shall fulfil the requirement specifications and be in accordance with the described product specifications in the price form. If a tenderer does not have the same product as described in the price form, a replacement product with identical qualities shall be inserted. The contracting authority will check the offered products in the price form, either in its entirety or through sample samples. Deviations /missing answers can lead to the tender being rejected. If the tender includes deviations that the contracting authority considers small enough not to lead to rejection, the deviations shall as a main rule be corrected as soon as possible in the contract period. For example, if an item line is not in accordance with the product specifications, the tenderer shall replace the offered product with an item that fulfils the specifications - at the same or lower price. The same applies if an offered product over time and based on feedback from several different customers, is found not to be suitable for use in accordance with the customer's needs and requirements as described in the tender documentation. If tenders have not been submitted for a product line, tenderers must as soon as possible after the contract is signed, try to get the products that were not offered in their assortment during the first year of the contract period. Evaluation: When assessing the price, a hybrid model with break point 1 will be used. The attached template for the evaluation model is attached. The tenderer's total points for this award criteria is weighted with the award criteria's weighting percentage.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Name: Quality

Description: Weighting: The award criteria is weighted 15%. Orientation: Tenderers are requested to enclose a description of the routines that will apply in this assignment. The contracting authority will emphasise, among other things: Routines and deadlines regarding ordering and any changes to the order. routines/rules for defects/deviations and a replacement product, and procedures/systems for following up this. routines/handling regarding deviations and claims. routines for following-up the customers/contract and service offered to the customers, which is better than or beyond the minimum requirements in the requirement specifications. other relevant routines/procedures that will apply in the contract. The response shall be maximum 3 A4 pages. The response shall not include a cover page or table of contents. Any pages after page 3 will not be evaluated. Any links etc. in the reply will not be evaluated. Evaluation: This award criteria will be assessed based on the tenderer's description of the award criteria. A total procurement assessment of the described system will be carried out during the evaluation. Best solution will be awarded the highest score (10). Other solutions will be awarded a point score relative to the best solution. The tenderer's total points for this award criteria is weighted with the award criteria's weighting percentage.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 15

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Name: Product specific nutrition criteria

Description: Weighting: The award criteria is weighted 15%. Orientation: The Contracting Authority focuses on healthy diets in this procurement, but also safeguards nutritional considerations for different user groups. We would therefore like the product range to reflect

this. Product specific nutrition criteria are listed for selected products in the price form that are requested to be fulfilled. There is particular focus on low salinity and high content of meat when requested. For some products, we would like the product to fulfil the entire keyhole regulations' terms. We emphasise that the products must not be the Keyhole Label in order to obtain credits, but fulfil the stated quality criteria. Products that fulfil the terms of the Keyhole Regulations without being labelled, are to be juxtaposed with branded products. The keyhole regulations terms for relevant products are attached in a help table. Tenderers in yellow cells in the relevant column (E) in the price form shall answer either "Yes" or "No" as to whether the product line meets the product specific nutrition criteria. Tenderers shall only answer "Yes" if the product line fulfils the criteria completely. Evaluation: This award criteria will be assessed based on the tenderer's response in yellow cells in the actual column in the price form. One point will be given per item line that fulfils the criteria. Ten points will be given for product lines that do not meet the criteria. Points will not be awarded for partial fulfilment of criteria - i.e. either the product lines fulfil the criteria fully and will be awarded 1 point, otherwise 0 is given 0 points. Any blank cells/cells that are not filled in with "Yes" or "No" will be considered "No"/not fulfilled. For each item line that meets the criteria, the point is multiplied by the estimated volume of the item line. All product lines that fulfil the criteria will then be summed together into a total sum. Best solution (highest total sum) will be awarded the highest score (10). Other solutions will be awarded a point score relative to the best solution.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 15

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Weighting: The award criteria is weighted 30%. Orientation: Tenderers shall enclose a description of their environmental work that is relevant for this contract. The contracting authority will emphasise the evaluation, and what this response ought to include information on is the following: Minimise packaging without deteriorating the product/resulting in increased waste More environmentally smart packages Reduction of food waste More climate-friendly distribution (e.g. transport and vehicles) Tenderers shall only describe work /measures that are actually ongoing, or that are actually planned to be initiated during the agreement period. The response shall have a maximum of 3 A4 pages. The response shall not include a cover page or table of contents. Any pages after page 3 will not be evaluated. Any links in the reply will not be evaluated. Evaluation: This award criteria will be assessed based on the tenderer's description of the award criteria. A total procurement assessment of the described system will be carried out during the evaluation. Best solution will be awarded the highest score (10). Other solutions will be awarded a point score relative to the best solution. The tenderer's total points for this award criteria is weighted with the award criteria's weighting percentage.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 30

**5.1.11. Procurement documents**

Deadline for requesting additional information: 29/03/2026 22:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=85310>

**5.1.12. Terms of procurement**

**Terms of submission:**

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: [https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?](https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=85310&GoTo=Tender)

[MeFormsNoticeld=85310&GoTo=Tender](https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=85310&GoTo=Tender)

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Variants: Not allowed

Tenderers may submit more than one tender: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 09/04/2026 07:00:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 89 Days

**Information about public opening:**

Opening date: 09/04/2026 07:01:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

**Terms of contract:**

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

**5.1.15. Techniques**

**Framework agreement:**

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 999

**Information about the dynamic purchasing system:**

No dynamic purchase system

**5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review**

Review organisation: Sunnmøre tingrett

Information about review deadlines: In accordance with the regulations.

## 8. Organisations

---

**8.1. ORG-0001**

Official name: Ålesund kommune

Registration number: 929911709

Department: Innkjøp

Postal address: Keiser Wilhelms gate 11

Town: Ålesund

Postcode: 6003

Country subdivision (NUTS): Møre og Romsdal (NO0A3)

Country: Norway

Contact point: Marton Leine

Email: [marton.bjordal.leine@alesund.kommune.no](mailto:marton.bjordal.leine@alesund.kommune.no)

Telephone: +4770162000

**Roles of this organisation:**

Buyer

**8.1. ORG-0002**

Official name: Sunnmøre tingrett

Registration number: 935365201

Postal address: Postboks 1354 Sentrum

Town: Ålesund  
Postcode: 6001  
Country subdivision (NUTS): Møre og Romsdal (NO0A3)  
Country: Norway  
Email: [sunmore.tingrett@domstol.no](mailto:sunmore.tingrett@domstol.no)  
Telephone: 70 33 47 00  
Internet address: <https://www.domstol.no/no/domstoler/tingrett/sunmore-tingrett/>

**Roles of this organisation:**

Review organisation

## Notice information

---

Notice identifier/version: 7f67c1c9-402a-4f3c-abf8-cc23900c6103 - 01

Form type: Competition

Notice type: Contract or concession notice – standard regime

Notice subtype: 16

Notice dispatch date: 03/03/2026 12:52:43 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Notice dispatch date (eSender): 03/03/2026 13:00:57 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Languages in which this notice is officially available: English

Notice publication number: 151963-2026

OJ S issue number: 44/2026

Publication date: 04/03/2026