

265251-2026 - Competition

Norway – IT services: consulting, software development, Internet and support – Procurement - Competition implementation tool (KGV) and contract follow-up tool (KAV), 2026

OJ S 75/2026 17/04/2026

Contract or concession notice – standard regime - Change notice
Services

1. Buyer

1.1. Buyer

Official name: Ålesund kommune

Email: Stian.Nesset.Busaet@alesund.kommune.no

Legal type of the buyer: Body governed by public law, controlled by a local authority

Activity of the contracting authority: General public services

2. Procedure

2.1. Procedure

Title: Procurement - Competition implementation tool (KGV) and contract follow-up tool (KAV), 2026

Description: The objective of the procurement is to establish a cloud based system for a tender implementation tool (KGV) and a contract administration system (KAV) delivered as multi-tenant SaaS, which supports the entire procurement and contract lifetime for the participants, in accordance with the attached requirement specification and annexes. The procurement comprises several procurement cooperations, as well as individual municipalities and companies. Each of the procurement cooperations consists of several participating municipalities. In addition several of the municipalities have participating KF. Some procurement cooperation also carries out procurements for other external actors, as well as individual procurements for the participating municipalities. It will vary who participates in a procurement and who the procurement is carried out by. The system must support different participants in the procurement processes, for example: a procurement cooperation is implementing the procurement for all or some of its municipalities and companies. a procurement cooperation carries out procurements for several of the procurement cooperations, but not necessarily all the cooperations and/or the municipalities/companies that belong to the cooperations participate. individual municipalities/companies will carry out the procurement themselves one municipality and/or company is carrying out the procurement on behalf of another municipality/company/procurement cooperation. In each municipality, the implementation of procurements will vary whether the procurements are centralised or decentralised, or partially centralised, i.e. to what degree procurements are carried out by entities in the municipality or by a procurement department etc. Draft requirement specifications: The draft of the requirement specifications with accompanying annexes is attached. Minor adjustments can be made to this before it is given to qualified tenderers. Contract period: The contract will come into force on the date it is signed by the parties. The contract will be valid for 3 (three) years from signing. The contract and the Standard Terms will then be renewed automatically for 1 (one) year at a time, unless 3 (three) months notice is given by the Customer before the renewal date. The contract has a maximum duration of 10 (ten) years.

Procedure identifier: 89900a26-6982-4944-a435-84c2f6a77201

Internal identifier: 25/16807

Type of procedure: Negotiated with prior publication of a call for competition / competitive with negotiation

The procedure is accelerated: no

Main features of the procedure: Negotiated procedure after a prior notice.

2.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 72000000 IT services: consulting, software development, Internet and support

Additional classification (cpv): 48000000 Software package and information systems, 48219300 Administration software package, 48311000 Document management software package, 48490000 Procurement software package, 72212490 Procurement software development services, 72263000 Software implementation services, 72267100 Maintenance of information technology software, 72268000 Software supply services

2.1.2. Place of performance

Anywhere

Additional information: Participants in this procurement (hereafter called the customer) are: The procurement cooperation in Sunnmøre (municipality, including municipal companies in each municipality): Ålesund Sula Giske - Norway Fjord Haram Cyclone Beach Hareid Ulstein Herøy Ørsta Volda Sande Brief information: Ålesund municipality operates the procurement cooperation. The procurement cooperation is implementing joint procurements for the municipalities. All municipalities shall, in addition, have separate accesses to the system for carrying out their own procurements. The ROR procurements (municipality, including municipal companies in each municipality): Molde Aukra Rauma Vestnes Brief information: Molde municipality operates the procurement cooperation. The procurement cooperation is implementing joint procurements for the municipalities. All municipalities shall, in addition, have separate accesses to the system for carrying out their own procurements. Nordmøre inter-municipal procurement co-operation (NII) (municipality, including municipal companies in each municipality): Aure Averøy Gjemnes - Norway Hustadvika Kristiansund Rindal Smøla Sunndal Surnadal Tingvoll Brief information: Kristiansund municipality operates the procurement cooperation. The procurement cooperation is implementing joint procurements for the municipalities. All municipalities shall, in addition, have separate accesses to the system for carrying out their own procurements. The procurement cooperation in Nordfjord, Sunnfjord and Sogn (INSS) (municipalities, including municipal companies in each municipality): Cheek Askvoll - Wikipedia Aurland Bremerton Fjaler The Glob Gülen Hyllestad - Wikipedia Songs of High Lustre Lærdal - Wikipedia Sogndal - Norway Solund City Stryn Cove Årdal - Wikipedia Option: Sunnfjord Brief information: Kinn municipality operates the procurement cooperation. The procurement cooperation is implementing joint procurements for the municipalities. All municipalities shall, in addition, have separate accesses to the system for carrying out their own procurements. Sunnfjord is not currently a part of the procurement cooperation, but it may be relevant in the long term and they shall therefore have an option to participate in the contract. Municipality: Tromsø OPTION: Attvin AS, exclusive attvin næring AS and Attvin produksjon AS. Ålesund parkering AS / Sunnmøre parkering AS Ålesundregionens havnevesen Sunnmøre's procurement cooperation basically carries out procurements for these companies. An option shall be given that each of these companies can join the contract, for example, if they shall, in the long term, carry out some procurements themselves.

2.1.4. General information

Additional information: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the regulations § 7-9: The contracting authority uses the exclusionary provision in the PPR § 7-9 fifth section and does not weight climate and environmental considerations in accordance with the second section. The contract is assessed to have a climate footprint and an immaterial environmental impact, and this is justified below. The nature of the procurement in this case is the procurement of a tool for implementing procurement competitions and following up signed contracts. Travel activities are to a small or no degree relevant. The procurement is therefore considered to have immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. However, if sub-supplier chains take into consideration, we can see that possible climate footprint and environmental impact may arise for the operation of the data centres on which the tool is coughed. The supplier's influence over this energy consumption and the possible environmental impact is, however, very limited and it is aimless for the contracting authority to emphasise or set requirements in the requirement specifications for the environment in this procurement. Based on this, the procurement is, in its nature, to have immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, and therefore falls under the exclusion rule in PPR § 7-9 (5).

Legal basis:

Directive 2014/24/EU

2.1.6. Grounds for exclusion

Sources of grounds for exclusion: Notice

Corruption: Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, in the event a enforceable verdict has been convicted of corruption by a verdict handed down not more than five years ago, or a rejection period determined directly in the judgement that still applies? Corruption as defined in Article 3 of the Convention on Combating Corruption, Involving European Communities or European Union Member States (EUT C 195 of 25.6.1997, s. 1), and in Article 2, point 1, in the Council's framework decision 2003/568/RIA of 22 July 2003 on combating corruption in the private sector (EUT L 192 of 31.7.2003, p. 54). This rejection reason also includes corruption as defined in national law for the contracting authority or supplier.

Fraud: Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, at the time a legally convicted of fraud has been convicted of fraud by a verdict handed down not more than five years ago, or a rejection period determined directly in the judgement that still applies?

Money laundering or terrorist financing: Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, in the event a legal verdict has been convicted of money laundering or financing terrorism by a verdict handed down no more than five years ago, or a rejection period set out directly in the judgement that still applies?

Participation in a criminal organisation: Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, at the time a legally convicted verdict of participation in a criminal organisation by a verdict handed down no more than five years ago, or a rejection period set out directly in the judgement that still applies? Participation in a criminal organisation as defined in Article 2 of the Council's framework decision 2008/841/RIA of 24 October 2008 on control of organised crime (EUT L 300 of 11.11.2008, p. 42)

Terrorist offences or offences linked to terrorist activities: Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body, or has

the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, in the event a legal verdict has been convicted of acts of terrorism or criminal acts connected to terrorist activities by a verdict handed down no more than five years ago, or a rejection period set out directly in the judgement that still applies? Acts of terrorism or criminal acts relating to terrorist activity as defined in Article 1 and 3 of the Council's framework decision 2002/475/RIA of 13 June 2002 on combating terrorism (EFT L 164, af 22.6.2002, p. 3). This rejection reason also includes incitement to, participation or attempts to commit such actions as included in Article 4 in the mentioned framework decision.

Child labour and including other forms of trafficking in human beings: Is the tenderer himself or a person, who is a member of the tenderer's administration, management or supervisory body or has the competence to represent or control or make decisions in such bodies, in the event a legal verdict has been convicted of child labour and other forms of human trafficking by a verdict handed down no more than five years ago, or a rejection period determined directly in the judgement that still applies? Child labour and other forms of human trafficking as defined in Article 2 of the European Parliament and council directive 2011/36/EU of 5. 1 April 2011 on the prevention and control of human trafficking and the protection of its victims and for compensation of the Council's framework decision 2002/629/RIA (EUT L 101 of 15.4.2011, p. 1).

Breaching of obligations in the fields of environmental law: Is the tenderer aware of breaches of environmental provisions as stated in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents or Article 18 (2) of Directive 2014/24/EU.

Breaching of obligations in the fields of labour law: Is the tenderer aware of breaches of provisions on working conditions as stated in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents or Article 18 (2) of Directive 2014/24/EU.

Breaching of obligations in the fields of social law: Is the tenderer aware of breaches of provisions on social conditions as stated in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents or Article 18 (2) of Directive 2014/24/EU.

Agreements with other economic operators aimed at distorting competition: Has the tenderer entered into agreement(s) with other tenderers with the intention of turning the competition?

Grave professional misconduct: Has the tenderer committed serious errors in professional practice? If relevant, see the definitions in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents.

Misrepresentation, withheld information, unable to provide required documents or obtained confidential information of this procedure: Has the tenderer: a) given grossly incorrect information with the notification of the information required to verify that there is no basis for rejection, or of the qualification requirements being fulfilled, b) failed to provide such information, c) made reservations immediately to present the supporting documents requested by the contracting authority, or d) improperly affected the contracting authority's decision process to acquire confidential information that could give this an unlawful advantage in connection with competition, or negligently has given misleading information that can have a significant influence on decisions on rejection, selection or award?

Conflict of interest due to its participation in the procurement procedure: Is the tenderer aware of a conflict of interest as stated in national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents?

Direct or indirect involvement in the preparation of this procurement procedure: Has the tenderer or an entity associated with the supplier advised the contracting authority or in another way been involved in the planning of the competition?

Early termination, damages, or other comparable sanctions: Has the tenderer committed significant breaches of contract in connection with the fulfilment of a previous public contract, a

previous contract with a public contracting authority or a previous concession contract, where the breach has led to the cancellation of the contract, compensation or other similar sanctions? Breaching obligation relating to payment of social security contributions: Have tenderers failed to fulfil all their social security obligations in the country where they are established and in their member state, if this is a different country than what he is established in?

Breaching obligation relating to payment of taxes: Has the tenderer not fulfilled all of his tax and duty obligations in both the country where he is established and in the contracting authority's member state, if this is a different country than what he is established in?

Business activities are suspended: Has the tenderer's business conduct been stopped?

Bankruptcy: Is the tenderer in a bankruptcy situation? Specify why, under the mentioned circumstances, one is able to carry out the contract, considering the current national provisions and measures for continuing the business activities? It is not necessary to provide this information if rejection of tenderers in such a situation is obligatory in accordance with the current national law with no exceptions, when the tenderer is still able to carry out the contract.

Arrangement with creditors: Is the supplier in a situation where he has been forced debt arrangement? Specify why, under the mentioned circumstances, one is able to carry out the contract, considering the current national provisions and measures for continuing the business activities? It is not necessary to provide this information if rejection of tenderers in such a situation is obligatory in accordance with the current national law with no exceptions, when the tenderer is still able to carry out the contract.

Insolvency: Is the tenderer in an insolvency situation? Specify why, under the mentioned circumstances, one is able to carry out the contract, considering the current national provisions and measures for continuing the business activities? It is not necessary to provide this information if rejection of tenderers in such a situation is obligatory in accordance with the current national law with no exceptions, when the tenderer is still able to carry out the contract.

Assets being administered by liquidator: Specify why, under the mentioned circumstances, one is able to carry out the contract, considering the current national provisions and measures for continuing the business activities? It is not necessary to provide this information if rejection of tenderers in such a situation is obligatory in accordance with the current national law with no exceptions, when the tenderer is still able to carry out the contract.

Analogous situation like bankruptcy, insolvency or arrangement with creditors under national law: Is the tenderer in a similar situation in accordance with an equivalent procedure set in the national law? See the national law, the relevant notice or procurement documents.

5. Lot

5.1. Lot: LOT-0000

Title: Procurement - Competition implementation tool (KGV) and contract follow-up tool (KAV), 2026

Description: The objective of the procurement is to establish a cloud based system for a tender implementation tool (KGV) and a contract administration system (KAV) delivered as multi-tenant SaaS, which supports the entire procurement and contract lifetime for the participants, in accordance with the attached requirement specification and annexes. The procurement comprises several procurement cooperations, as well as individual municipalities and companies. Each of the procurement cooperations consists of several participating municipalities. In addition several of the municipalities have participating KF. Some procurement cooperation also carries out procurements for other external actors, as well as individual procurements for the participating municipalities. It will vary who participates in a procurement and who the procurement is carried out by. The system must support different participants in the procurement processes, for example: a procurement cooperation is

implementing the procurement for all or some of its municipalities and companies. a procurement cooperation carries out procurements for several of the procurement cooperations, but not necessarily all the cooperations and/or the municipalities/companies that belong to the cooperations participate. individual municipalities/companies will carry out the procurement themselves one municipality and/or company is carrying out the procurement on behalf of another municipality/company/procurement cooperation. In each municipality, the implementation of procurements will vary whether the procurements are centralised or decentralised, or partially centralised, i.e. to what degree procurements are carried out by entities in the municipality or by a procurement department etc. Draft requirement specifications: The draft of the requirement specifications with accompanying annexes is attached. Minor adjustments can be made to this before it is given to qualified tenderers. Contract period: The contract will come into force on the date it is signed by the parties. The contract will be valid for 3 (three) years from signing. The contract and the Standard Terms will then be renewed automatically for 1 (one) year at a time, unless 3 (three) months notice is given by the Customer before the renewal date. The contract has a maximum duration of 10 (ten) years.

Internal identifier: 25/16807

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 72000000 IT services: consulting, software development, Internet and support

Additional classification (cpv): 48000000 Software package and information systems, 48219300 Administration software package, 48311000 Document management software package, 48490000 Procurement software package, 72212490 Procurement software development services, 72263000 Software implementation services, 72267100 Maintenance of information technology software, 72268000 Software supply services

Options:

Description of the options: OPTION: Sunnfjord is not currently a part of the procurement cooperation (the procurement cooperation in Nordfjord, Sunnfjord and Sogn (INSS) as of today, but it may be relevant in the long term and they shall therefore have an option to participate in the contract. Attvin AS, exclusive attvin næring AS and Attvin produksjon AS Ålesund parkering AS / Sunnmøre parkering AS Ålesundregionens havnevesen Sunnmøre's procurement cooperation basically carries out procurements for these companies. An option shall be given that each of these companies can join the contract, for example, if they shall, in the long term, carry out some procurements themselves.

5.1.2. Place of performance

Anywhere

Additional information: Participants in this procurement (hereafter called the customer) are: The procurement cooperation in Sunnmøre (municipality, including municipal companies in each municipality): Ålesund Sula Giske - Norway Fjord Haram Cyclone Beach Hareid Ulstein Herøy Ørsta Volda Sande Brief information: Ålesund municipality operates the procurement cooperation. The procurement cooperation is implementing joint procurements for the municipalities. All municipalities shall, in addition, have separate accesses to the system for carrying out their own procurements. The ROR procurements (municipality, including municipal companies in each municipality): Molde Aukra Rauma Vestnes Brief information: Molde municipality operates the procurement cooperation. The procurement cooperation is implementing joint procurements for the municipalities. All municipalities shall, in addition, have separate accesses to the system for carrying out their own procurements. Nordmøre

inter-municipal procurement co-operation (NII) (municipality, including municipal companies in each municipality): Aure Averøy Gjemnes - Norway Hustadvika Kristiansund Rindal Smøla Sunndal Surnadal Tingvoll Brief information: Kristiansund municipality operates the procurement cooperation. The procurement cooperation is implementing joint procurements for the municipalities. All municipalities shall, in addition, have separate accesses to the system for carrying out their own procurements. The procurement cooperation in Nordfjord, Sunnfjord and Sogn (INSS) (municipalities, including municipal companies in each municipality): Cheek Askvoll - Wikipedia Aurland Bremerton Fjaler The Glob Gülen Hyllestad - Wikipedia Songs of High Lustre Lærdal - Wikipedia Sogndal - Norway Solund City Stryn Cove Årdal - Wikipedia Option: Sunnfjord Brief information: Kinn municipality operates the procurement cooperation. The procurement cooperation is implementing joint procurements for the municipalities. All municipalities shall, in addition, have separate accesses to the system for carrying out their own procurements. Sunnfjord is not currently a part of the procurement cooperation, but it may be relevant in the long term and they shall therefore have an option to participate in the contract. Municipality: Tromsø OPTION: Attvin AS, exclusive attvin næring AS and Attvin produksjon AS. Ålesund parkering AS / Sunnmøre parkering AS Ålesundregionens havnevesen Sunnmøre's procurement cooperation basically carries out procurements for these companies. An option shall be given that each of these companies can join the contract, for example, if they shall, in the long term, carry out some procurements themselves.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 36 Months

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 7

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.6. General information

Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

Additional information: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the regulations § 7-9: The contracting authority uses the exclusionary provision in the PPR § 7-9 fifth section and does not weight climate and environmental considerations in accordance with the second section. The contract is assessed to have a climate footprint and an immaterial environmental impact, and this is justified below. The nature of the procurement in this case is the procurement of a tool for implementing procurement competitions and following up signed contracts. Travel activities are to a small or no degree relevant. The procurement is therefore considered to have immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. However, if sub-supplier chains take into consideration, we can see that possible climate footprint and environmental impact may arise for the operation of the data centres on which the tool is coughed. The supplier's influence over this energy consumption and the possible environmental impact is, however, very limited and it is aimless for the contracting authority to emphasise or set requirements in the requirement specifications for the environment in this procurement. Based on this, the procurement is, in its nature, to have immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, and therefore falls under the exclusion rule in PPR § 7-9 (5).

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: Notice

Criterion: Enrolment in a relevant professional register

Description of selection criterion: Tenderers are registered in a professional register in the member state in which the tenderer is established. As described in annex XI of directive 2014/24/EU; suppliers from certain member states may have to fulfil other requirements in the mentioned annex.

Criterion: Enrolment in a trade register

Description of selection criterion: Tenderers are registered in a company register or a trade register in the member state in which the tenderer is established. As described in annex XI of directive 2014/24/EU; suppliers from certain member states may have to fulfil other requirements in the mentioned annex.

Criterion: Authorisation or membership of a particular organisation needed for service contracts

Description of selection criterion: Is it necessary to have specific authorisation from a particular organisation in order to provide the service in the tenderer's home country?

Criterion: Authorisation or membership of a particular organisation needed for service contracts

Description of selection criterion: Is it necessary to have a particular membership in a particular organisation in order to provide the service in the tenderer's home country?

Criterion: Measures for ensuring quality

Description of selection criterion: For goods or services that are complicated, or that in exceptional cases shall be used for a particular purpose: Will the tenderer allow checks of the tenderer's production capacity or technical capacity and, where necessary, of the survey and research facilities that the tenderer has at its disposal and of quality control measures? The inspections shall be undertaken by the contracting authority or the contracting authority can leave it to a competent public body in the country where the tenderer is established.

Criterion: Samples, descriptions, or photographs without certification of authenticity

Description of selection criterion: Procurement of goods: The tenderer presents supplies that shall be delivered, requested samples, descriptions or photographs, which do not need to be accompanied by certificates that they are genuine.

Criterion: Samples, descriptions, or photographs with certification of authenticity for supply contracts

Description of selection criterion: When procurement of goods: If requested, the tenderer further declares that they will deliver the requested certificates that they are genuine.

Criterion: Certificates by quality control institutes

Description of selection criterion: Can tenderers submit the requested certificates issued by official bodies for quality control, and who can confirm that the goods, which are clearly identified by reference to technical specifications or standards, as determined in the notice or in the procurement documents, are in accordance with these?

Criterion: Certificates by independent bodies about quality assurance standards

Description of selection criterion: Can tenderers submit certificates issued by independent bodies that document that the tenderer fulfils the stated quality assurance standards, including universal design requirements?

Criterion: Certificates by independent bodies about environmental management systems or standards

Description of selection criterion: Is the tenderer able to submit certificates issued by independent bodies as documentation that the tenderer fulfils the stated environmental management systems or standards?

Criterion: Other economic or financial requirements

Description of selection criterion: Payment of tax, VAT and other public duties: This requirement only applies to Norwegian tenderers. Requirements: Tenderers must have their tax, payroll tax and VAT payments in order in accordance with the legal provisions and the tenderer must not have significant arrears. Any arrears or other irregularities must be justified. Documentation requirement: Tenderers shall document the requirement through the certificate "Information on tax and duties". The certificate shall be of the type "RF-1507" and not "RF-1316". Tenderers can order such a certificate from the Norwegian Tax Administration on behalf of their activities. Tenderers are asked to enclose the certificate in the tender or submit it on request. The tax certificate must not be older than six months calculated from the deadline for submitting a request for participation in the competition. Read about the certificate here: <https://www.skatteetaten.no/skjema/opplysninger-om-skatt-og-avgift/>

The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

Criterion: Financial ratio

Description of selection criterion: Credit rating: Requirements: Tenderers must have the financial capacity to execute the contract. The tenderer is required to be credit worthy, minimum B, in accordance with Dun & Bradstreet's service riskguardian suite (<https://riskguardian.bisnode.no>), or have the financial capacity to execute the contract based on the contracting authority's discretion. Documentation requirement: The contracting authority will carry out their own assessment of the tenderer's finances through the RiskGuardian Suite (RGS). Tenderers can enclose other necessary information if the tenderer believes that the RGS does not provide a correct picture of the financial situation, e.g. with a lower credit rating than required. This can be an account of how the tenderer believes to still fulfil the requirement, with documentation that supports this (e.g. accounts, annual reports, etc.). If a tenderer has doubts about whether they fulfil the requirement and would like to rely on other entities for fulfilment (e.g. through a parent company guarantee), the tenderer must enclose a binding statement from the relevant company in their tender. The RGS comprises all Nordic countries. As a main rule, the contracting authority will not accept credit ratings from other company and accounting information systems than RGS due to equal treatment of the tenderers. Foreign tenderers outside the Nordic countries will, however, use and accept credit appraisal through Dun & Bradstreet's service Credit (<https://credit.dnb.com/>). Tenderers that the contracting authority cannot find at RGS (or Credit for suppliers outside the Nordic countries), e.g. newly established tenderers who have not built up a basis for a credit rating, must enclose a declaration/guarantee from a parent company/owner/bank or similar financing institutions, regarding the company's financial and economic position.

The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

Criterion: References on specified services

Description of selection criterion: Experience: Requirements: Tenderers shall have experience from a minimum of 1 delivery of a cloud based competition tool (KGV) and a contract administration system (KAV) to a public contracting authority in the last two (2) years.

Documentation requirement: Short description with a minimum of 1 delivery in the last two years in accordance with the requirements, including information on the time of delivery, name of the contracting authority and a description of the assignment's content. The response shall be maximum 1 A4 page. The response shall not include a cover page or table of contents. Any pages after page 1 will not be evaluated. Any links etc. in the reply will not be evaluated. The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

Information about the second stage of a two-stage procedure:

Minimum number of candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure: 3

The procedure will take place in successive stages. At each stage, some participants may be eliminated

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Price

Description: Orientation: Tenderers shall fill in the attached price form in their cost calculations and submit it as an annex to the tender in Excel format. All fields in the attached price form marked with yellow shall be completed. Missing the price fields can cause difficulties in assessing the tender and the tender can then be rejected. All prices are in NOK, excluding VAT, including all direct or indirect expenses and duties that could be incurred in connection with the delivery. Order fees and invoice fees are to be seen as expenses. The prices shall include all costs for the customer who is included in this competition, including the procurement commission. Costs that the tenderer knows will be incurred, but that are not specifically stated in the tender, will be seen as included in the stated costs. Evaluation: The total sum from the price form will form the basis of the price evaluation. When assessing the price, a hybrid model with break point 1 will be used. The attached template for the evaluation model is attached. The tenderer's total points for this award criteria is weighted with the award criteria's weighting percentage.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 25

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: The tenderer's offered system

Description: Orientation: Under this award criteria, there will be both testing of the system for the trade group at the customer via a demo user, as well as the supplier's presentation of the offered solution against the stated scenarios. Demo user: Tenderers shall give the customer a demo user for the offered system with all the functionalities offered in the tender offer. This allows the solution to be tested in the evaluation phase. The test will be carried out with test data (not real personal information) and within the functions that are made available in the demo environment. Tenderers must provide a gender neutral preferred who the contracting authority can contact when asked during testing. The customer will test the scenarios below with the demo user. Presentation of the offered system: In addition to the demo user, the supplier shall, as a part of the evaluation, present his system. During the evaluation phase the tenderer will be contacted by the customer and a team meeting will be agreed where the presentation will take place. Tenderers must be able to provide a team meeting on just a few days' notice. Tenderers shall demonstrate the following: Scenario 1 – KGV: The demonstration shall demonstrate a continual process that includes: establishment of a competition in accordance with foa part III with: Qualification Requirements ESPD Minimum Award criteria Attachment filling in the eForms and notice (ref: requirement 24 in the requirement form).

handle information messages and questions and answers (c.f. requirement 27 in the requirement form). handle/announce changes to the tender documentation (ref: requirement 54 in the requirement form). receipt and handling of tenders. evaluation support (c.f. requirement 50 in the requirement form). Show a notification by email about a central event (ref: kav 13 in the requirement form). make award letters with separate adaptations, as well as inform tenderers of a decision and show how an award decision is made in the system (ref: requirements 45 and 56 in the requirement form). signing the contract (ref: requirement 17 in the requirement form). procurement protocol (ref: requirement 40 in the requirement form). Scenario 2 - KAV: The demonstration will show the following: Automatic establishment of an agreement in KAV from a procurement (ref: requirement 47 in the requirement form). two-way communication with a tenderer in accordance with the Norwegian Public Roads Administration's one contract (see requirement 60 in the requirement form). See the contract reader and management of the document and information (see requirement 62 in the requirement form). Scenario 3: Demonstration of the following: Personal dashboard (see requirement 22 in the requirement form). Report functionality (see requirement 66 in the requirement form). How the access management works (see requirement 1 in the requirement form). Evaluation: An overall assessment of the offered system will be carried out during the evaluation. The award criteria will be evaluated on the basis of the demo testing and the tenderer's presentation of specified scenarios. Evaluation of the award criteria is done as a total assessment of the offered system's functionalities and experienced user friendliness when testing the system and presentation of the system. Emphasis will be put on the following: how clearly the different functionalities are experienced how simple the system is/is experienced in terms of. configurability/customisation options how intuitive the system is experienced, with a logical and clear work flow how the system supports efficient work processes how good the user experience is in terms of user friendliness and functionalities how clearly the dashboard is experienced and how much information the system provides in a clear way. how good the reporting possibilities are and how easy they are to use how easy the access control is to be used and how flexible it is ----- Best solution will be awarded the highest score (10). Other solutions will be awarded a point score relative to the best solution. The tenderer's total points for this award criteria is weighted with the award criteria's weighting percentage.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 65

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Development and cooperation

Description: Orientation: Tenderers shall describe how: reporting improvement and development proposals from the contracting authority shall take place during the contract period. treatment, prioritising and feedback on such proposals shall take place during the agreement period. the contracting authority will be given insight into the status, assessment and decisions, cf. change messages, improvement proposals etc. the contracting authority's possibility to influence the further development of the standard system without this being seen as a customer specific special adaptation. The response shall be maximum 2 A4 pages. The response shall not include a cover page or table of contents. Any pages after page 2 will not be considered. Any links etc. in the reply will not be considered. Evaluation: This award criteria will be assessed on the tenderer's description of the award criteria A procurement assessment will be made of the described solution, including how well the offered process supports the customer's real participation in the further development of the standard solution. Emphasis will particularly be put on: how simple the registration process for improvement and development proposals is for the customer how transparent the process is for processing, assessing, prioritising and feedback on proposals. how transparent the process is in. status, assessment

and decisions, cf. change messages, improvement proposals etc. whether the contracting authority will have real and documentable influences. Best solution will be awarded the highest score (10). Other solutions will be awarded a point score relative to the best solution. The tenderer's total points for this award criteria is weighted with the award criteria's weighting percentage.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 10

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 03/05/2026 22:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticelId=88684>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticelId=88684&GoTo=Tender>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of requests to participate: 11/05/2026 10:00:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

No framework agreement

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Sunnmøre tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals/objections are requested to be sent in writing to the contracting authority without undue delay. The contracting authority will state the waiting period in the award letter and the contract will not be signed until the waiting period has expired.

8. Organisations

8.1. ORG-0001

Official name: Ålesund kommune

Registration number: 929911709

Department: Innkjøp

Postal address: Keiser Wilhelms gate 11

Town: Ålesund

Postcode: 6003
Country subdivision (NUTS): Møre og Romsdal (NO0A3)
Country: Norway
Contact point: Stian Busæt
Email: Stian.Nesset.Busaet@alesund.kommune.no
Telephone: +4770162000

Roles of this organisation:

Buyer

8.1. ORG-0002

Official name: Sunnmøre tingrett
Registration number: 935365201
Postal address: Postboks 1354 Sentrum
Town: Ålesund
Postcode: 6001
Country subdivision (NUTS): Møre og Romsdal (NO0A3)
Country: Norway
Email: sunnmore.tingrett@domstol.no
Telephone: 70 33 47 00
Internet address: <https://www.domstol.no/no/domstoler/tingrett/sunnmore-tingrett/>

Roles of this organisation:

Review organisation

10. Change

Version of the previous notice to be changed

:

caf0ce16-b9ec-4b66-8dfe-43c11f87c184-01

Main reason for change

:

Information updated

Description

:

Participant - Option: Attvin AS, exclusive attvin næring AS and Attvin produksjon AS
CHANGED TO Attvin AS and Attvin produksjon AS

10.1. Change

Section identifier: PROCEDURE

Description of changes: Participant - Option: Attvin AS, exclusive attvin næring AS and Attvin produksjon AS CHANGED TO Attvin AS and Attvin produksjon AS

The procurement documents were changed on: 16/04/2026

Notice information

Notice identifier/version: 1f8ef4aa-b786-4924-9357-fb0e58fa3a56 - 01

Form type: Competition

Notice type: Contract or concession notice – standard regime

Notice subtype: 16

Notice dispatch date: 16/04/2026 10:08:39 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Notice dispatch date (eSender): 16/04/2026 10:20:46 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Languages in which this notice is officially available: English

Notice publication number: 265251-2026

OJ S issue number: 75/2026

Publication date: 17/04/2026