

267333-2026 - Result

Norway – Environmental management – Framework agreements for the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature.

OJ S 76/2026 20/04/2026

Contract or concession award notice – standard regime

Services

1. Buyer

1.1. Buyer

Official name: Statsforvalterens fellestjenester (STAF)

Email: frida@odinprosjekt.no

Legal type of the buyer: Body governed by public law, controlled by a central government authority

Activity of the contracting authority: General public services

2. Procedure

2.1. Procedure

Title: Framework agreements for the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature.

Description: The government managers have, as the management authority for protected areas and threatened nature, a need for professional reports on occurrences of species and types of nature and conditions that affect them. Government managers also have a need for the preparation and revision of care plans for terrestrial nature types, in accordance with established templates and standards. The assignments' size will vary a lot, from simple care plans, to comprehensive reports. The procurement is divided into the following two disciplines: A. Care Plans: Preparation and revision of care plans for terrestrial nature types, in accordance with established templates and standards. B. Professional basis: Mapping and preparation of professional basis/professional reports/preservation targets for protected areas, species and nature types, including the red-list. Each discipline is further divided into the following geographical areas: 1. South: Agder + Rogaland 2. East: Oslo, Akershus, Østfold and Buskerud + Vestfold and Telemark 3. West: Vestland + Møre og Romsdal 4. Mid: Inland + Trøndelag 5. North: Nordland + Troms and Finnmark Tenderers can choose to submit tenders for one or both disciplines and one or several of the geographical areas. The procurement is divided into a total of ten sub-contracts. The contracting authorities under the framework agreements are the Government Manager's joint services (STAF) and the Government Managers. All national parks and protected areas can also enter into the agreement at any time. Such national park and protected areas are/can be governed by the Ministry of Climate and Environment. See the overview of the contracting authorities in Annex F-1 Framework Agreement. The framework agreements' total estimated value is NOK 30-50 million excluding VAT. The value is only an estimate based on grants in recent years and is without obligations for the contracting authority's future procurements. There is great uncertainty connected to the value of the contract as there are large variations in the sizes of the annual awards government managers receive for this work.

Procedure identifier: c9513457-2715-4b32-9125-0c21a34949a2

Previous notice: 431775db-656d-4965-9180-08c579707a3d-01

Internal identifier: 2026/21

Type of procedure: Open

The procedure is accelerated: no

Main features of the procedure: The procurement will be made in accordance with the Public Procurement Act dated 17 June 2016 no. 73 (LOA) and the public procurement regulations dated 12 August 2016 no. 974 (FOA) parts I and part III. The contract will be awarded in accordance with the open tender contest procedure. All interested tenderers can submit tenders in an open tender contest. Negotiations are not allowed.

2.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

2.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The contract is for all Norway, but it is divided into the following five areas: 1. South: Agder + Rogaland 2. East: Oslo, Akershus, Østfold and Buskerud + Vestfold and Telemark 3. West: Vestland + Møre og Romsdal 4. Mid: Inland + Trøndelag 5. North: Nordland + Troms and Finnmark

2.1.3. Value

Maximum value of the framework agreement: 70 000 000,00 NOK

2.1.4. General information

This procedure will be relaunched

Call for competition is terminated

Additional information: After further assessment, the contracting authority has found that the competence requirement in requirement no. 5 in annex F-2 is more limiting than the contracting authority's real need suggests. The contracting authority has therefore found it necessary to adjust the requirement so that relevant and comprehensive experience can also be accepted as an alternative to formal education. Such an adjustment concerns a minimum requirement for the requested service and it can affect both the tenderers' interest in participating in the competition and the formulation of the tenders. The contracting authority therefore considers that the necessary alteration is significant and cannot be implemented within the limits of PPR § 14-2. There is, therefore, a justifiable reason to cancel the competition, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 25-4. The competition will be announced on necessary adjustments as soon as possible.

Legal basis:

Directive 2014/24/EU

5. Lot

5.1. Lot: LOT-0001

Title: 1A South - Care plans

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation and revision of care plans for terrestrial nature types, in accordance with established templates and standards in Agder and Rogaland.

Internal identifier: 1-0

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management
Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services
, 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract applies within Agder and Rogaland.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Competence and experience

Description: Tenderers shall document competence and experience for the offered key teams of up to four senior advisers, see requirement no. 22 in annex F-2 - annex 1a Assignment Description and service requirements. Competence and experience shall be documented in the following ways: 1) Number of years of relevant work experience with a short description of the experience. 2) The number of prepared care plans in the last five years with a short description of the type of prepared plans. 3) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping species and foreign species. 4) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping nature types. 5) Short description of experience with similar public assignments with a transferable value to the existing framework agreement. The information shall be entered into annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Assignment comprehension

Description: Tenderers shall provide a binding description of: • How they ensure that the landowner gets involved. • How they ensure an care plan that is adapted and easily applicable to the landowner. • How they ensure that targets in the management plan are measurable. • How care is adapted to local conditions and use (burning, pastures, turned, outdoor activities etc.). The tenderer's response shall be a total of maximum 750 words. The tenderer uses their own template.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature. The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time

that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment.
Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)
Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

5.1. Lot: LOT-0002

Title: 1B South - Professional basis (1)

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation of professional basis/professional reports on occurrences of species and nature types and conditions that affect these in Agder and Rogaland.

Internal identifier: 2-1

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract applies within Agder and Rogaland.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Ability to deliver

Description: Tenderers shall fill in the matrix in annex F-4 - Annex 2b Evaluation Documentation, Subject documentation with an overview of the persons they can use under the framework agreement, as well as the experience of the individual resources (measured in number of years) with the named species and nature types.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 60

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature. The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9

(5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment. Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)
Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, partly without reopening and partly with reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

5.1. Lot: LOT-0003

Title: 2A East - Care Plans (1)

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation and revision of care plans for terrestrial nature types, in accordance with established templates and standards in Oslo, Akershus, Østfold and Buskerud as well as Vestfold and Telemark.

Internal identifier: 3-2

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract applies within Oslo, Akershus, Østfold and Buskerud as well as Vestfold and Telemark.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting

authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Competence and experience

Description: Tenderers shall document competence and experience for the offered key teams of up to four senior advisers, see requirement no. 22 in annex F-2 - annex 1a Assignment Description and service requirements. Competence and experience shall be documented in the following ways: 1) Number of years of relevant work experience with a short description of the experience. 2) The number of prepared care plans in the last five years with a short description of the type of prepared plans. 3) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping species and foreign species. 4) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping nature types. 5) Short description of experience with similar public assignments with a transferable value to the existing framework agreement. The information shall be entered into annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Assignment comprehension

Description: Tenderers shall provide a binding description of: • How they ensure that the landowner gets involved. • How they ensure an care plan that is adapted and easily applicable to the landowner. • How they ensure that targets in the management plan are measurable. • How care is adapted to local conditions and use (burning, pastures, turned, outdoor activities etc.). The tenderer's response shall be a total of maximum 750 words. The tenderer uses their own template.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature. The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the

Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

5.1. Lot: LOT-0004

Title: 2B East - Professional basis (1)

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation of professional basis/professional reports on occurrences of species and nature types and conditions that affect these in Oslo, Akershus, Østfold and Buskerud as well as Vestfold and Telemark.

Internal identifier: 4-3

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract applies within Oslo, Akershus, Østfold and Buskerud as well as Vestfold and Telemark.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Ability to deliver

Description: Tenderers shall fill in the matrix in annex F-4 - Annex 2b Evaluation Documentation, Subject documentation with an overview of the persons they can use under the framework agreement, as well as the experience of the individual resources (measured in number of years) with the named species and nature types.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 60

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature. The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, partly without reopening and partly with reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

5.1. Lot: LOT-0005

Title: 3A West - Care Plans (1)

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation and revision of care plans for terrestrial nature types, in accordance with established templates and standards in Vestland as well as Møre og Romsdal.

Internal identifier: 5-4

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract is for Vestland as well as Møre og Romsdal.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Competence and experience

Description: Tenderers shall document competence and experience for the offered key teams of up to four senior advisers, see requirement no. 22 in annex F-2 - annex 1a Assignment Description and service requirements. Competence and experience shall be documented in the following ways: 1) Number of years of relevant work experience with a short description of the experience. 2) The number of prepared care plans in the last five years with a short description of the type of prepared plans. 3) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping species and foreign species. 4) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping nature types. 5) Short description of experience with similar public assignments with a transferable value to the existing framework agreement. The information shall be entered into annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Assignment comprehension

Description: Tenderers shall provide a binding description of: • How they ensure that the landowner gets involved. • How they ensure an care plan that is adapted and easily applicable to the landowner. • How they ensure that targets in the management plan are measurable. • How care is adapted to local conditions and use (burning, pastures, turned, outdoor activities etc.). The tenderer's response shall be a total of maximum 750 words. The tenderer uses their own template.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature. The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions

and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment. Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)
Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

5.1. Lot: LOT-0006

Title: 3B West - Professional basis (1)

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation of professional basis/professional reports on occurrences of species and nature types and conditions that affect these in Vestland as well as Møre and Romsdal.

Internal identifier: 6-5

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract is for Vestland as well as Møre og Romsdal.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Ability to deliver

Description: Tenderers shall fill in the matrix in annex F-4 - Annex 2b Evaluation Documentation, Subject documentation with an overview of the persons they can use under the framework agreement, as well as the experience of the individual resources (measured in number of years) with the named species and nature types.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 60

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature. The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is

therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)
Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, partly without reopening and partly with reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

5.1. Lot: LOT-0007

Title: 4A Mid -Care Plans (1)

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation and revision of care plans for terrestrial nature types, in accordance with established templates and standards in Innlandet and Trøndelag.

Internal identifier: 7-6

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract applies within Innlandet and Trøndelag.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Competence and experience

Description: Tenderers shall document competence and experience for the offered key teams of up to four senior advisers, see requirement no. 22 in annex F-2 - annex 1a Assignment Description and service requirements. Competence and experience shall be documented in the following ways: 1) Number of years of relevant work experience with a short description of the experience. 2) The number of prepared care plans in the last five years with a short description of the type of prepared plans. 3) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping species and foreign species. 4) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping nature types. 5) Short description of experience with similar public assignments with a transferable value to the existing framework agreement. The information shall be entered into annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Assignment comprehension

Description: Tenderers shall provide a binding description of: • How they ensure that the landowner gets involved. • How they ensure an care plan that is adapted and easily applicable to the landowner. • How they ensure that targets in the management plan are measurable. •

How care is adapted to local conditions and use (burning, pastures, turned, outdoor activities etc.). The tenderer's response shall be a total of maximum 750 words. The tenderer uses their own template.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature. The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

5.1. Lot: LOT-0008

Title: 4B Mid - Professional basis (1)

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation of professional basis/professional reports on occurrences of species and nature types and conditions that affect these in Innlandet and Trøndelag.

Internal identifier: 8-7

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract applies within Innlandet and Trøndelag.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Ability to deliver

Description: Tenderers shall fill in the matrix in annex F-4 - Annex 2b Evaluation

Documentation, Subject documentation with an overview of the persons they can use under the framework agreement, as well as the experience of the individual resources (measured in number of years) with the named species and nature types.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 60

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature.

The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in

the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment.
Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)
Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, partly without reopening and partly with reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

5.1. Lot: LOT-0009

Title: 5A North - Care Plans (1)

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation and revision of care plans for terrestrial nature types, in accordance with established templates and standards in Nordland as well as Troms and Finnmark.

Internal identifier: 9-8

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract applies within Nordland as well as Troms and Finnmark.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Competence and experience

Description: Tenderers shall document competence and experience for the offered key teams of up to four senior advisers, see requirement no. 22 in annex F-2 - annex 1a Assignment Description and service requirements. Competence and experience shall be documented in the following ways: 1) Number of years of relevant work experience with a short description of the experience. 2) The number of prepared care plans in the last five years with a short description of the type of prepared plans. 3) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping species and foreign species. 4) The number of prepared care plans for upkeeping nature types. 5) Short description of experience with similar public assignments with a transferable value to the existing framework agreement. The information shall be entered into annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Assignment comprehension

Description: Tenderers shall provide a binding description of: • How they ensure that the landowner gets involved. • How they ensure an care plan that is adapted and easily applicable to the landowner. • How they ensure that targets in the management plan are measurable. • How care is adapted to local conditions and use (burning, pastures, turned, outdoor activities etc.). The tenderer's response shall be a total of maximum 750 words. The tenderer uses their own template.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature. The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not

seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment. Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)
Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

5.1. Lot: LOT-0010

Title: 5B North - Professional basis (1)

Description: The sub-contract is for the preparation of professional basis/professional reports on occurrences of species and nature types and conditions that affect these in Nordland as well as Troms and Finnmark.

Internal identifier: 10-9

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 90710000 Environmental management

Additional classification (cpv): 90000000 Sewage, refuse, cleaning and environmental services , 90700000 Environmental services

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: The sub-contract applies within Nordland as well as Troms and Finnmark.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Duration: 4 Years

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: The contract will be valid for 2 years from when the framework agreement is signed by both parties, with a unilateral option for the contracting authority to extend the contract for a further 1+1 year. The framework agreement will be automatically renewed, unless the Contracting Authority gives written notification of termination at the latest three months before the current agreement period expires.

5.1.6. General information

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Name: Hourly rate

Description: Completed price item for an hourly rate for senior adviser in Annex F-3 - Annex 2a Evaluation Documentation, Care Plans. The hourly rate for the junior consultant for all tenderers will be 75% of the submitted hourly rate for senior adviser.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Ability to deliver

Description: Tenderers shall fill in the matrix in annex F-4 - Annex 2b Evaluation Documentation, Subject documentation with an overview of the persons they can use under the framework agreement, as well as the experience of the individual resources (measured in number of years) with the named species and nature types.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 60

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Justification for utilising the exclusionary provision in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5)

Description: The procurement is for consultancy and consultancy services in the form of assistance with the preparation of care plans and the professional basis for terrestrial nature. The procurement is assessed immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact, cf. the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5). The procurement is for consultancy services with

elements of field work, including surveys and mapping in nature. The field work is a necessary and professional central part of the assignment, but it still appears as a support element for the main contract performance, which is the consultants' professional analyses, assessments and preparation of knowledge basis and reports. In line with examples from DFØ, where clean consultancy and consultancy services are typically seen to have a low climate footprint, the contracting authority considers that it is the office-based production that weights the delivery. The climate footprint is therefore mainly for ordinary work efforts, which, in isolation, are not seen to be significant in the context of procurement. However, the contracting authority has had doubts in the assessment, as the procurement also includes field work with accompanying travel activities. This activity can lead to a certain climate footprint. However, after a combined assessment, the extent of the field work is considered to be limited, both in duration and cost, compared with the total consultancy effort. The climate footprint for travel and field work is therefore not assessed to be of such size that it changes the nature of the procurement as a service with a total immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. Furthermore, at the time of publication, it is not possible to determine where and in what extent the field work and accompanying travel activities will take place. This means that it is not possible to identify relevant and accurate environmental requirements or award criteria. The assignment can involve the use of different transport forms and vehicles depending on geographical conditions and concrete needs, which will only be clarified after the contract has been signed. Based on this, it is considered unsuitable to set concrete environmental requirements or to weight climate and environmental considerations in the evaluation. Any requirements or obligations at the time of the tender will be verifiable to a small degree, and may lead to a disproportionate risk of tenderers taking on obligations they have no real basis for fulfilment. This may in turn be restrictive to the competition. The contracting authority has, after an overall assessment, concluded that the procurement has, in its nature, an immaterial climate footprint and environmental impact. The exclusion provisions in the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 (5) will therefore be used. The contracting authority is, thus, exempted from the main rule in PPR § 7-9 (2) on weighting climate and environmental considerations with a minimum of 30% in this procurement. Although the contracting authority considers that there is not an obligation to emphasise climate and environmental considerations by a minimum of 30%, the contracting authority would still like to reduce the climate footprint and environmental impact that travel in the contract work entails. Consequently there is a limit on the number of hours of travel time that is covered per assignment as well as incitement for tenderers to suggest more environmentally friendly travel methods which, according to special agreement, can give the tenderer the option to invoice travel time beyond 10 hours per consultant per assignment.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, partly without reopening and partly with reopening of competition

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Agder Tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Any appeals can be sent to the Contracting Authority before the waiting period expires. See the deadline for lodging appeals to KOFA on their website.

6. Results

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0001

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.

The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0002

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.

The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0003

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.

The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0004

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.

The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0005

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.

The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0006

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.
The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0007

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.

The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0008

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.

The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0009

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.

The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

6.1. Result lot identifier: LOT-0010

Winner selection status: No winner was chosen and the competition is closed.

The reason why a winner was not chosen: Decision of the buyer, because of a change in needs

6.1.4. Statistical information

Received tenders or requests to participate:

Type of received submissions: Tenders

Number of tenders or requests to participate received: 0

8. Organisations

8.1. ORG-0001

Official name: Statsforvalterens fellestjenester (STAF)

Registration number: 921627009
Postal address: Fløyveien 14
Town: Arendal
Postcode: 4804
Country subdivision (NUTS): Agder (NO092)
Country: Norway
Contact point: Frida Lunde Uberg
Email: frida@odinprosjekt.no
Telephone: +47 95493549
Internet address: <https://odinprosjekt.no>
Buyer profile: <https://eu.eu-supply.com/ctm/company/companyinformation/index/264506>
Roles of this organisation:
Buyer

8.1. ORG-0002

Official name: Agder Tingrett
Registration number: 926 723 480
Postal address: Blødekjær 3
Town: Arendal
Postcode: 4838
Country subdivision (NUTS): Agder (NO092)
Country: Norway
Email: agder.tingrett@domstol.no
Telephone: 38 17 63 00
Internet address: <https://www.domstol.no/no/domstoler/tingrett/agder-tingrett/>
Roles of this organisation:
Review organisation

Notice information

Notice identifier/version: a513a5bf-2bfa-4281-a71c-a1df81433400 - 01
Form type: Result
Notice type: Contract or concession award notice – standard regime
Notice subtype: 29
Notice dispatch date: 17/04/2026 10:58:44 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT
Notice dispatch date (eSender): 17/04/2026 11:12:24 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT
Languages in which this notice is officially available: English
Notice publication number: 267333-2026
OJ S issue number: 76/2026
Publication date: 20/04/2026