

## 315261-2026 - Competition

Denmark – High-voltage cable – 25/14408 - Purchase 2026 for 145/170 kV AC Cables

OJ S 88/2026 07/05/2026

Contract or concession notice – standard regime

Supplies - Services

### 1. Buyer

---

#### 1.1. Buyer

Official name: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Email: [indkob@energinet.dk](mailto:indkob@energinet.dk)

Legal type of the buyer: Public undertaking

Activity of the contracting entity: Electricity-related activities

### 2. Procedure

---

#### 2.1. Procedure

Title: 25/14408 - Purchase 2026 for 145/170 kV AC Cables

Description: Through the establishment of four (4) Agreements (4 lots), the Contracting Authority intends to procure complete and fully functional supplies of several 145 and 170 kV AC cable systems, as further specified in Appendix 4, Time schedule - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables (Doc. 25/14408-55). Each Agreement (lot) covers specified supplies intended for multiple projects. For each individual project, a specified contract will be made according to the Contract agreement. The scope for each of the four lots is described in detail in the tender documents. Further details concern-ing the procurement are provided in the appendices to the tender documents. Prequalification: It is possible to apply for prequalification for all four lots. The application should clearly state for which lot it concerns. If an applicant applies for more than one lot but does not have the capacity for all such lots, this must be clearly stated in the application. Case: As part of the technical description, the Supplier must submit a proposal for an example case solution. The example case solution must be based on the elements described therein as well as the case docu-ments 26/05333 (1-9). Award: The Agreements will be awarded per Lot directly to the tenderer who has submitted the most economically advantageous tender for each Contract, see section 4.1. A tenderer may be awarded one or more lots as described: The Contracts are divided into four (4) lots, with the expected scope and award conditions set out below: 1.Lot 1 (NEA project (app. 820 km)): Lot 1 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. The evaluation of Lot 1 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 122.100.000 inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project. 2.Lot 2 (3N project (app. 585 km)): Lot 2 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. All tenderers are eligible to submit bids for this lot. The evaluation of Lot 2 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 88,000,000, inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project. 3.Lot 3 (various 145kV projects Northwest Seeland (DK2) (app. 780 km)): Lot 3 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. However, the tender submitted by the winner of Lot 1 will be excluded from the evaluation of this lot. The evaluation

of Lot 3 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 112.200.000 inclusive option for 10 % additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project. 4.Lot 4 (various projects Jutland (DK1) (app. 595 km)): Lot 4 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. However, the tender submitted by the winner of Lot 2 will be excluded from the evaluation of this lot. The evaluation of Lot 1 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 90.200.000 inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project. Based on continuous improvements in fx. optimized manufacturing, project management, procurement etc. in the duration of the Agreement, Supplier shall implement an annual cost reduction of 2% on the baseline price list (doc. 25/14408-54) included in Supplier's final tender (Appendix 5), excluding any agreed price regulation. The baseline price list shall be adjusted annually by applying the 2% reduction to the most recently updated baseline price list (see also Contract Agreement doc. 25/14408-17 Clause 5.3).

Procedure identifier: 151adfb8-7488-4f39-8393-277dac486644

Internal identifier: 700324

Type of procedure: Negotiated with prior publication of a call for competition / competitive with negotiation

The procedure is accelerated: no

Main features of the procedure: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive.

### **2.1.1. Purpose**

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Additional nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 31321300 High-voltage cable

### **2.1.2. Place of performance**

Country: Denmark

Anywhere in the given country

### **2.1.3. Value**

Estimated value excluding VAT: 412 500 000,00 EUR

### **2.1.4. General information**

Additional information: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender

documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, [www.kfst.dk](http://www.kfst.dk) (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

**Legal basis:**

Directive 2014/25/EU

**2.1.5. Terms of procurement**

**Terms of submission:**

Maximum number of lots for which one tenderer can submit tenders: 4

**Terms of contract:**

Maximum number of lots for which contracts can be awarded to one tenderer: 3

**2.1.6. Grounds for exclusion**

Sources of grounds for exclusion: Notice

Grave professional misconduct: Is the economic operator guilty of grave professional misconduct? Where applicable, see definitions in national law, the relevant notice or the procurement documents.

Conflict of interest due to its participation in the procurement procedure: Is the economic operator aware of any conflict of interest, as indicated in national law, the relevant notice or the procurement documents due to its participation in the procurement procedure?

Direct or indirect involvement in the preparation of this procurement procedure: Has the economic operator or an undertaking related to it advised the contracting authority or contracting entity or otherwise been involved in the preparation of the procurement procedure?

Misrepresentation, withheld information, unable to provide required documents or obtained confidential information of this procedure: Can the economic operator confirm that: a) It has been guilty of serious misrepresentation in supplying the information required for the verification of the absence of grounds for exclusion or the fulfilment of the selection criteria, b) It has withheld such information, c) It has not been able, without delay, to submit the supporting documents required by a contracting authority or contracting entity, and d) It has undertaken to unduly influence the decision making process of the contracting authority or

contracting entity, to obtain confidential information that may confer upon it undue advantages in the procurement procedure or to negligently provide misleading information that may have a material influence on decisions concerning exclusion, selection or award?

Terrorist offences or offences linked to terrorist activities: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for terrorist offences or offences linked to terrorist activities, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Articles 1 and 3 of Council Framework Decision of 13 June 2002 on combating terrorism (OJ L 164, 22.6.2002, p. 3). This exclusion ground also includes inciting or aiding or abetting or attempting to commit an offence, as referred to in Article 4 of that Framework Decision. For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Breaching obligation relating to payment of social security contributions: Has the economic operator breached its obligations relating to the payment social security contributions, both in the country in which it is established and in Member State of the contracting authority or contracting entity if other than the country of establishment? For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Breaching obligation relating to payment of taxes: The contracting entity shall exclude a candidate or tenderer who has unpaid overdue debts of DKK 100,000 or more to public authorities relating to taxes, duties or social security contributions under Danish law or the law of the country in which the applicant or tenderer is established. Documentation: in accordance with sections 152-153 of the Danish Public Procurement Act.

Participation in a criminal organisation: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for participation in a criminal organisation, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime (OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42). For Danish applicants/tenderers

the documentation is entailed in the “Serviceattest” which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Money laundering or terrorist financing: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for money laundering or terrorist financing, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Article 1 of Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 October 2005 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing (OJ L 309, 25.11.2005, p. 15). For Danish applicants /tenderers the documentation is entailed in the “Serviceattest” which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants /tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Fraud: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for fraud, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? Within the meaning of Article 1 of the Convention on the protection of the European Communities' financial interests (OJ C 316, 27.11.1995, p. 48). For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the “Serviceattest” which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Child labour and including other forms of trafficking in human beings: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject

of a conviction by final judgment for child labour and other forms of trafficking in human beings, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Article 2 of Directive 2011/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2011 on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims, and replacing Council Framework Decision 2002/629/JHA (OJ L 101, 15.4.2011, p. 1). For Danish applicants /tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants /tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Corruption: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for corruption, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Article 3 of the Convention on the fight against corruption involving officials of the European Communities or officials of Member States of the European Union, OJ C 195, 25.6.1997, p. 1, and in Article 2(1) of Council Framework Decision 2003/568/JHA of 22 July 2003 on combating corruption in the private sector (OJ L 192, 31.7.2003, p. 54). This exclusion ground also includes corruption as defined in the national law of the contracting authority (contracting entity) or the economic operator. " For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

## 5. Lot

---

### 5.1. Lot: LOT-0001

Title: Lot 1 - NEA project (app. 820 km):

Description: Lot 1 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. The evaluation of Lot 1 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots.

Estimated value: EUR 122.100.000 inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project.

Internal identifier: 712310

### 5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Additional nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 31321300 High-voltage cable

Additional classification (cpv): 31321300 High-voltage cable

#### Options:

Description of the options: 10% Extra volume

### 5.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Denmark

Anywhere in the given country

### 5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/12/2026

Duration: 5 Years

### 5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 0

### 5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 122 100 000,00 EUR

### 5.1.6. General information

#### Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

The names and professional qualifications of the staff assigned to perform the contract must be given: Not yet known

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): yes

Additional information: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, [www.kfst.dk](http://www.kfst.dk) (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by

evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

#### **5.1.9. Selection criteria**

Sources of selection criteria: Notice

Criterion: Financial ratio

Description of selection criterion: The applicant must confirm the economic ability in the ESPD part IV.B with information from the latest annual report regarding the following economic indicators: 1. Equity (total equity incl. share capital, reserves, revaluation, retained earning etc.) 2. Equity ratio (equity/total assets). This must be stated with two decimals. If the applicant relies on the capacities of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the information for the ESPD part IV.B must also be given in a separate ESPD from each of these entities. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to secure that the minimum requirements are reached based on consolidation when the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or when the applicant is a group of economic operators. Minimum requirement: Lot 1: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 38.822.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 116.466.000. Lot 2: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.780.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 80.340.000. Lot 3: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 31.000.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 93.000.000. Lot 4: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.105.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 78.315.000.

The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

Criterion: References on specified deliveries

Description of selection criterion: Technical and professional ability for all 4 lots The suitability of applicants fulfilling the minimum requirements to economic and financial standing will be assessed based on technical and professional ability. The ability will be assessed based on references which can be filled in ESPD part IV.C. The applicant is allowed to submit up to 3 most comparable and relevant references undertaken in the past 5 years as part of the application. By "undertaken in the past 5 years" implies that the reference must not have been completed prior to the date reached when counting 5 years backwards from the submission date for prequalification. References older than this will not be taken into consideration. References which have not yet been fully completed can be included as part of the application, however the applicant must describe the parts which have not yet been delivered, and this may affect the evaluation of the application, cf. section 2.3. Further, if references are obtained in collaboration with other parties, the applicant should clearly explain which elements have

been carried out by the applicant. The references should describe deliveries of 145kV and 170 kV AC Cables and include: 1. Description of scope of work: A specific description of the work performed for each relevant reference (The 130 kV – 170 kV voltage level), e.g.: - design, - fabrication, - factory testing, - supply, - transport, - construction (civil works not included), - installation (joints, terminations, AIS, GIS)), - completion, - site testing, - commissioning The description should also state where the work was performed. 2. Description similar to #1 for one project of minimum 50 km single core cable length. 3. The deliveries in the references are tested according to IEC 60840. 4. Contract value (if possible) 5 Contact information for the entity in question (including contact person) 6. Date of initiation and date of final delivery (day, month, year) 7. Country of installation PQ-test (also a technical minimum requirement): For new cable projects, cable manufacturers can only be qualified as suppliers, if a Prequalification Test (PQ test), not older than ten (10) years, is available at the beginning of the tendering process. For cable systems rated up to and including 87/150 (170) kV, where a PQ test report is not available, a detailed description of the historical performance of similar cable systems from the same cable and accessories manufacturer can constitute as a valid PQ test. Furthermore, it should be noted that Energinet accepts the modified interpretation of the PQ tests pro-posed in Cigré TB 303. Energinet deems a PQ test to verify only the production capabilities of the specific production site. A PQ test is therefore non-transferable to other manufacturing sites. Any ambiguities and/or incomprehensibilities may have a negative effect on the selection of applicants. Please note the “description” box in ESPD part IV.C. can contain more text than is visible and it is possible to copy paste text into the box. If the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the maximum number of references to be submitted must still be respected and cannot exceed 3 when combined. If more are submitted, the Contracting Authority will only consider the most recent references determined by date of final delivery, in this case not yet finished references will not be included. If the applicant relies on other entities' capacity or is part of a group of economic operators the information concerning ESPD part IV.C must be submitted in separate ESPD's for each entity.

The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

**Information about the second stage of a two-stage procedure:**

Minimum number of candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure: 4

Maximum number of candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure: 10

The procedure will take place in successive stages. At each stage, some participants may be eliminated

**5.1.10. Award criteria**

**Criterion:**

Type: Price

Description: Price Prices must be stated in the attached Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 for each lot the tenderer submits a tender for, and the defined price elements must be completed herein. Prices must be stated exclusive of VAT but inclusive of all other expenses associated with the purchase. The evaluation price of delivery in Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 must be based on the Unit Prices for delivery from the three transportation offers in the example case, documents 26/05333 (1-9). These prices will form the unit prices used for the evaluation in 25/14408 54 Schedules of Prices – Purchase 2026 for 145 & 170 kV AC Cables, Lots 1–4. For evaluation purposes: • Lot 3 145kV shall use 170 kV, 1000 m drums as the reference transport price for both 1000 m and 1500 m lengths. • Any 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> transport prices shall also be based on 170 kV, 1000 m drums. Prices must be stated in EUR When

evaluating 'Price', the Contracting Authority will evaluate the Total Cost for each lot. The overall evaluation per lot will be based on the Total cost for evaluation per lot: Lot 1: Cell L11 Lot 2: Cell L11 Lot 3: Cell L11 Lot 4: Cell L11

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 45

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Technical/Project Execution/Case Study For each lot the evaluation will be based on a description of the offered Technical Solution and the Project Execution along with the Case Study (as described in section 1.4). The following documents are expected to be general. The description should demonstrate the technical level of the solution, and include these elements: • Qualification reports (PQ-test, Type Test etc.) from the facilities that are to be used for production. • Filled out in Appendix 2E - EGS-0084 Handover Specification Cables - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, for proposed 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> and 2500 mm<sup>2</sup> cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • High voltage cables electrical design reports for proposed 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> and 2500 mm<sup>2</sup> cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • Supplier's datasheets for all accessories (joints, terminations, link boxes, SVLs, bonding cables, ECC, cleats). • Drum drawings. • Transportation, lifting and storage instructions for cable drums and accessories. • Storage manual for spare parts. • Maintenance manual. The following documents should be considered for the Case Study only: • Plan of Works o Should include a description of a workweek (cable pull, transporting of jointing container, preparation of cable, curing of resin, etc.) • Detailed time schedule for the works (production, testing, transport, installation) • Project specific organization chart (during all of the different phases of the project) • Components updated in table in doc. no. 26/05333-7. • Cable pulling and accessories interface document • RAMS for work under induced voltage • ITPs relevant for the case • Sheath test procedure. • Solutions for all three joint bay designs described in section 6.7 in ETS-0067 The solution of the Case Study should be based on following documents: • Doc. no. 25/14408-56, 26/05333-1, 26/05333-2, 26/05333-3, 26/05333-4, 26/05333-6, 26/05333-7, 26/05333-8, 26/05333-9 in the Tender material. The Contracting Authority will focus on the documentation provided by the tenderers who are encouraged to submit detailed and thorough responses as the below listed elements will be of particular importance in the evaluation: General technical solution 1) System design: The quality of the engineering documentation (based on design documentation, calculations, values, and statements), including the extent to which this provides evidence that the system is fit for purpose according to the Technical Requirements. Further, the robustness of the solution including documentation and calculations supporting this will be favored. In this context it will be considered positive if the solution provides evidence of having very robust jackets, a high level of pulling force, and provides evidence of water-proof design of joints and link-boxes. 2) Minimum allowed bending radius: The minimum allowed bending radius during installation and after installation (in final position at terminations) is equally evaluated. A larger bending radius is evaluated negatively. 4) Allowable roller pressure: Allowable roller pressure will be evaluated. A higher roller pressure will be evaluated positively. 5) Lay length of screen wires (if applicable): Concerning lay length of screen wires (in multiple of screen diameter), longer lay length will be evaluated negatively. 6) Electrical stress: As for electrical stress at the insulation screen (outer semiconducting layer), a lower electrical stress will be evaluated positively. 7) AC resistance: Will be evaluated based on a combination of the tenderers stated value and quality of available documentation to support this. A lower AC resistance will be evaluated positively. Higher similarity of tested conductor to offered will be evaluated positively. 8) Current rating: Both continuous and short-term rating will be evaluated. A higher current rating will be evaluated positively. 9) Storage instructions: Long shelf life and ease of storage of

components will be evaluated positively. 10) Maintenance: Maintenance free systems will be evaluated positively. High maintenance systems will be evaluated negatively. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Case Study When evaluating the “Case Study” it will be evaluated positively to what degree the tenderer shows a robust, realistic, and operational technical solution along with a realistic plan of works and time schedule. Furthermore, it is important and will be evaluated positively that there is a clear and easy path from the line diagram component types to the datasheet EGS-0084 and to the Supplier accessories datasheets. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion, with focus on installation, setup and testing. The quality of the plan for installation of accessories and plan for testing during installation. It will be evaluated positively that the documents are detailed, practical and provide evidence of a solution which will secure ease of execution of work on site. Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 30

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Programme, organization and manpower For each Lot the evaluation will be based on: Programme: For the evaluation of the “Lot Programme” the tenderer should include a detailed and robust programme which outlines how the activities will be planned from contract commencement date until taking-over certificates has been issued. Organization and manpower: Management, construction, and installation is of immense importance to execution of the project on site in Denmark. The tenderer should include a description which covers: • The Gate System described in Scope of Works doc. no. 25/14408-41. Concerning the activities on site in Denmark the tender-er should include a detailed description of the manpower used on site for supervision and jointing work. The de-scription should also cover: • Organizational diagram for the overarching con-tract structure • Overall structure including roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation • Interfaces towards Energinet and other external parties e.g. the civil work contractor on site in Denmark including lines of communication. Reference is made to doc. no. 25/14408-55. The evaluation will be based on to which degree the tenderer demonstrates a well-planned, realistic, and robust time schedule /programme, which allows sufficient time for potential contingencies, but still provides evidence of a high level of security for delivery within the timeframe as set out. In this context it will be evaluated positive that: The description and the Lot Programme reflect a realistic, and frontloaded, programme for the critical activities including start-up of Overarching Contract and delivery of all general documentation, factory visits, kick-off meetings, etc. It should contain an Overarching contract organisation chart, with clear roles and responsibilities, along with contact information for each role. The description and programme contain a robust production schedule including all tests, delivery time, robust planning for installation of joints and cable terminations, and supervision activities on site and the associated manpower. The description demonstrates the tenderer’s ability to identify and overcome known risks in the production, transportation, and installation phases. The description demonstrates the tenderer’s ability to handle the constant flow of circuit specific orders under the Agreement and an understanding of the Gate System. The description provides an operational organisation on site in Denmark, with clear roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation. Further, interfaces are handled with clear lines for communication. The ability to extent personnel on site in case of unforeseen delays concerning the jointing work is also covered by the description and ensures efficient execution at all times. It will be evaluated positively that the Plan contains flexibility regarding installations date, but it will be evaluated negatively if the plan shows a significantly later installation date than the date in doc. no. 25/14408-55. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 10

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Contract Terms For the evaluation of the sub-criteria the tenderer is allowed to propose deviations to the elements of the contract identified as competitive elements in the contract terms. The contract terms consist of: • Contract Agreement, doc.no. 25/14408-17 • Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18 • Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32 • Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55 The deviations should be enclosed in the clarification list c.f. doc.no. 25/14408-14 and be included in the spread-sheet marked "Legal clarification". The proposed deviations should be explicit and with explanation as to why they are made. Contract terms listed in section 3.7.1 are mandatory parts and deviations to these are not accepted. The tendered contract must be performed based on the contract terms. The tenderer is allowed to propose explicit and well-explained deviations to the following listed competitive elements: In Contract Agreement Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, doc.no. 25/14408-17: Sub-Clause 5.3 (Annual cost reduction) Clause 6 (Additional Provisions), limited to: • Cancellation or postponement of production slot • Repair preparedness In Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32: - Sub-Clause 15.1 (Maximum amount of liquidated damages) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.1 (Defects notification period) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.6 in Section 7 (Contract data) both in terms of "Defects notification period for replaced and repaired parts" and "Maximum defect notification period". - Sub-Clause 17.2 (Limitation of liability) in Section 7 (Contract data). In Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18: - Clause 10 (Time for Completion – except for sub-clause 10.1) - Clause 11 (Taking Over) - Clause 14 (Intellectual property rights) - Clause 15 (Late-delivery and non-delivery) - Clause 16 (Defects and remedies) - Clause 17 (Limitation of liability) - Clause 18 (Product liability towards Customer) - Clause 20 (Indemnification) - Clause 28 (Termination) - Clause 29 (Termination for convenience) In Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55: - The liquidated damages stated in "Liquidated damages for late completion". Deviations to these elements will be included in the evaluation and it is noted that any deviations may lead to a reduction of the score depending on the content, clarity, and consequence of the deviation. In this regard it is explicitly noted that deviations which are intended to limit liability and shift the financial risk in favour of the tenderer will be of particular importance in the evaluation. If the tenderer has no deviations to the contract terms, the maximum score of 10 will be awarded. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 15

**5.1.11. Procurement documents**

Address of the procurement documents: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

**5.1.12. Terms of procurement**

**Terms of submission:**

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: English

Electronic catalogue: Not allowed

Variants: Not allowed

Tenderers may submit more than one tender: Not allowed

Deadline for receipt of requests to participate: 22/05/2026 12:00:00 (UTC+02:00) Eastern European Time, Central European Summer Time

**Information that can be supplemented after the submission deadline:**

At the discretion of the buyer, some missing tenderer-related documents may be submitted later.

Additional information: See tender material.

**Terms of contract:**

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

Conditions relating to the performance of the contract: See contract template

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

Financial arrangement: See the tender material. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If applications and tenders are submitted by a consortium, all participants in the consortium must be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the contract and a consortium member must be appointed with whom a binding agreement can be concluded on behalf of the consortium

**5.1.15. Techniques**

**Framework agreement:**

No framework agreement

**Information about the dynamic purchasing system:**

No dynamic purchase system

**5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review**

Review organisation: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement

Information about review deadlines: According to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement Act etc. (the Act can be downloaded at [www.retsinformation.dk](http://www.retsinformation.dk)), the following deadlines for filing a complaint apply: Complaints about not having been selected must be submitted to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement within 20 calendar days, cf. Section 7(1) of the Act, from the day after sending a notification to the candidates concerned about who has been selected, when the notification is accompanied by a statement of reasons for the decision in accordance with Section 2(1)(1) of the Act and Section 171(2) of the Public Procurement Act. In other situations, complaints about tenders, cf. Section 7(2) of the Act, must be submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement within: 1) 45 calendar days after the contracting entity has published a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union that it has entered into a contract. The deadline is calculated from the day after the date on which the announcement has been published. 2) 20 calendar days from the day after the contracting entity has announced its decision, cf. Section 185(2) of the Public Procurement Act. No later than the same time that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement, the complainant must inform the contracting entity in writing that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement and whether the complaint has been submitted during the standstill period, cf. Section 6(4) of the Act. In cases where the complaint has not been submitted during the standstill period, the complainant must also state whether the complaint is to be given suspensive effect, cf. Section 12(1) of the Act. The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's e-mail address is stated in Section VI.4.1). The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's complaint guide can be found at: [www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk](http://www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk).

Organisation providing additional information about the procurement procedure: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation providing offline access to the procurement documents: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation providing more information on the review procedures: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation processing tenders: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

## **5.1. Lot: LOT-0002**

Title: Lot 2 - 3N project (app. 585 km)

Description: Lot 2 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. All tenderers are eligible to submit bids for this lot. The evaluation of Lot 2 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 88,000,000, inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project. Internal identifier: 712311

### **5.1.1. Purpose**

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Additional nature of the contract: Services

Main classification (cpv): 31321300 High-voltage cable

#### **Options:**

Description of the options: 10% Extra volume

### **5.1.2. Place of performance**

Country: Denmark

Anywhere in the given country

### **5.1.3. Estimated duration**

Start date: 01/12/2026

Duration: 5 Years

### **5.1.4. Renewal**

Maximum renewals: 0

### **5.1.5. Value**

Estimated value excluding VAT: 88 000 000,00 EUR

### **5.1.6. General information**

#### **Reserved participation:**

Participation is not reserved.

The names and professional qualifications of the staff assigned to perform the contract must be given: Not yet known

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): yes

Additional information: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the

finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, [www.kfst.dk](http://www.kfst.dk) (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

#### **5.1.9. Selection criteria**

Sources of selection criteria: Notice

Criterion: Financial ratio

Description of selection criterion: The applicant must confirm the economic ability in the ESPD part IV.B with information from the latest annual report regarding the following economic indicators: 1. Equity (total equity incl. share capital, reserves, revaluation, retained earnings etc.) 2. Equity ratio (equity/total assets). This must be stated with two decimals. If the applicant relies on the capacities of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the information for the ESPD part IV.B must also be given in a separate ESPD from each of these entities. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to secure that the minimum requirements are reached based on consolidation when the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or when the applicant is a group of economic operators. Minimum requirement: Lot 1: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 38.822.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 116.466.000. Lot 2: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.780.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 80.340.000. Lot 3: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 31.000.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 93.000.000. Lot 4: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be

at least EUR 26.105.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 78.315.000.

The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

Criterion: References on specified deliveries

Description of selection criterion: Technical and professional ability for all 4 lots The suitability of applicants fulfilling the minimum requirements to economic and financial standing will be assessed based on technical and professional ability. The ability will be assessed based on references which can be filled in ESPD part IV.C. The applicant is allowed to submit up to 3 most comparable and relevant references undertaken in the past 5 years as part of the application. By "undertaken in the past 5 years" implies that the reference must not have been completed prior to the date reached when counting 5 years backwards from the submission date for prequalification. References older than this will not be taken into consideration. References which have not yet been fully completed can be included as part of the application, however the applicant must describe the parts which have not yet been delivered, and this may affect the evaluation of the application, cf. section 2.3. Further, if references are obtained in collaboration with other parties, the applicant should clearly explain which elements have been carried out by the applicant. The references should describe deliveries of 145kV and 170 kV AC Cables and include: 1. Description of scope of work: A specific description of the work performed for each relevant reference (The 130 kV – 170 kV voltage level), e.g.: - design, - fabrication, - factory testing, - supply, - transport, - construction (civil works not included), - installation (joints, terminations, AIS, GIS)), - completion, - site testing, - commissioning The description should also state where the work was performed. 2. Description similar to #1 for one project of minimum 50 km single core cable length. 3. The deliveries in the references are tested according to IEC 60840. 4. Contract value (if possible) 5 Contact information for the entity in question (including contact person) 6. Date of initiation and date of final delivery (day, month, year) 7. Country of installation PQ-test (also a technical minimum requirement): For new cable projects, cable manufacturers can only be qualified as suppliers, if a Prequalification Test (PQ test), not older than ten (10) years, is available at the beginning of the tendering process. For cable systems rated up to and including 87/150 (170) kV, where a PQ test report is not available, a detailed description of the historical performance of similar cable systems from the same cable and accessories manufacturer can constitute as a valid PQ test. Furthermore, it should be noted that Energinet accepts the modified interpretation of the PQ tests proposed in Cigré TB 303. Energinet deems a PQ test to verify only the production capabilities of the specific production site. A PQ test is therefore non-transferable to other manufacturing sites. Any ambiguities and/or incomprehensibilities may have a negative effect on the selection of applicants. Please note the "description" box in ESPD part IV.C. can contain more text than is visible and it is possible to copy paste text into the box. If the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the maximum number of references to be submitted must still be respected and cannot exceed 3 when combined. If more are submitted, the Contracting Authority will only consider the most recent references determined by date of final delivery, in this case not yet finished references will not be included. If the applicant relies on other entities' capacity or is part of a group of economic operators the information concerning ESPD part IV.C must be submitted in separate ESPD's for each entity.

The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

**Information about the second stage of a two-stage procedure:**

Minimum number of candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure: 4  
Maximum number of candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure: 10  
The procedure will take place in successive stages. At each stage, some participants may be eliminated

#### 5.1.10. Award criteria

##### **Criterion:**

Type: Price

Description: Price Prices must be stated in the attached Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 for each lot the tenderer submits a tender for, and the defined price elements must be completed herein. Prices must be stated exclusive of VAT but inclusive of all other expenses associated with the purchase. The evaluation price of delivery in Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 must be based on the Unit Prices for delivery from the three transportation offers in the example case, documents 26/05333 (1-9). These prices will form the unit prices used for the evaluation in 25/14408 54 Schedules of Prices – Purchase 2026 for 145 & 170 kV AC Cables, Lots 1–4. For evaluation purposes: • Lot 3 145kV shall use 170 kV, 1000 m drums as the reference transport price for both 1000 m and 1500 m lengths. • Any 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> transport prices shall also be based on 170 kV, 1000 m drums. Prices must be stated in EUR When evaluating 'Price', the Contracting Authority will evaluate the Total Cost for each lot. The overall evaluation per lot will be based on the Total cost for evaluation per lot: Lot 1: Cell L11 Lot 2: Cell L11 Lot 3: Cell L11 Lot 4: Cell L11

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 45

##### **Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Technical/Project Execution/Case Study For each lot the evaluation will be based on a description of the offered Technical Solution and the Project Execution along with the Case Study (as described in section 1.4). The following documents are expected to be general. The description should demonstrate the technical level of the solution, and include these elements: • Qualification reports (PQ-test, Type Test etc.) from the facilities that are to be used for production. • Filled out in Appendix 2E - EGS-0084 Handover Specification Cables - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, for proposed 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> and 2500 mm<sup>2</sup> cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • High voltage cables electrical design reports for proposed 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> and 2500 mm<sup>2</sup> cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • Supplier's datasheets for all accessories (joints, terminations, link boxes, SVLs, bonding cables, ECC, cleats). • Drum drawings. • Transportation, lifting and storage instructions for cable drums and accessories. • Storage manual for spare parts. • Maintenance manual. The following documents should be considered for the Case Study only: • Plan of Works o Should include a description of a workweek (cable pull, transporting of jointing container, preparation of cable, curing of resin, etc.) • Detailed time schedule for the works (production, testing, transport, installation) • Project specific organization chart (during all of the different phases of the project) • Components updated in table in doc. no. 26/05333-7. • Cable pulling and accessories interface document • RAMS for work under induced voltage • ITPs relevant for the case • Sheath test procedure. • Solutions for all three joint bay designs described in section 6.7 in ETS-0067 The solution of the Case Study should be based on following documents: • Doc. no. 25/14408-56, 26/05333-1, 26/05333-2, 26/05333-3, 26/05333-4, 26/05333-6, 26/05333-7, 26/05333-8, 26/05333-9 in the Tender material. The Contracting Authority will focus on the documentation provided by the tenderers who are encouraged to submit detailed and thorough responses as the below listed elements will be of particular

importance in the evaluation: General technical solution 1) System design: The quality of the engineering documentation (based on design documentation, calculations, values, and statements), including the extent to which this provides evidence that the system is fit for purpose according to the Technical Requirements. Further, the robustness of the solution including documentation and calculations supporting this will be favored. In this context it will be considered positive if the solution provides evidence of having very robust jackets, a high level of pulling force, and provides evidence of water-proof design of joints and link-boxes. 2) Minimum allowed bending radius: The minimum allowed bending radius during installation and after installation (in final position at terminations) is equally evaluated. A larger bending radius is evaluated negatively. 4) Allowable roller pressure: Allowable roller pressure will be evaluated. A higher roller pressure will be evaluated positively. 5) Lay length of screen wires (if applicable): Concerning lay length of screen wires (in multiple of screen diameter), longer lay length will be evaluated negatively. 6) Electrical stress: As for electrical stress at the insulation screen (outer semiconducting layer), a lower electrical stress will be evaluated positively. 7) AC resistance: Will be evaluated based on a combination of the tenderers stated value and quality of available documentation to support this. A lower AC resistance will be evaluated positively. Higher similarity of tested conductor to offered will be evaluated positively. 8) Current rating: Both continuous and short-term rating will be evaluated. A higher current rating will be evaluated positively. 9) Storage instructions: Long shelf life and ease of storage of components will be evaluated positively. 10) Maintenance: Maintenance free systems will be evaluated positively. High maintenance systems will be evaluated negatively. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Case Study When evaluating the "Case Study" it will be evaluated positively to what degree the tenderer shows a robust, realistic, and operational technical solution along with a realistic plan of works and time schedule. Furthermore, it is important and will be evaluated positively that there is a clear and easy path from the line diagram component types to the datasheet EGS-0084 and to the Supplier accessories datasheets. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion, with focus on installation, setup and testing. The quality of the plan for installation of accessories and plan for testing during installation. It will be evaluated positively that the documents are detailed, practical and provide evidence of a solution which will secure ease of execution of work on site. Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 30

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Programme, organization and manpower For each Lot the evaluation will be based on: Programme: For the evaluation of the "Lot Programme" the tenderer should include a detailed and robust programme which outlines how the activities will be planned from contract commencement date until taking-over certificates has been issued. Organization and manpower: Management, construction, and installation is of immense importance to execution of the project on site in Denmark. The tenderer should include a description which covers: • The Gate System described in Scope of Works doc. no. 25/14408-41. Concerning the activities on site in Denmark the tenderer should include a detailed description of the manpower used on site for supervision and jointing work. The description should also cover: • Organizational diagram for the overarching contract structure • Overall structure including roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation • Interfaces towards Energinet and other external parties e.g. the civil work contractor on site in Denmark including lines of communication. Reference is made to doc. no. 25/14408-55. The evaluation will be based on to which degree the tenderer demonstrates a well-planned, realistic, and robust time schedule /programme, which allows sufficient time for potential contingencies, but still provides evidence of a high level of security for delivery within the timeframe as set out. In this context it will be

evaluated positive that: The description and the Lot Programme reflect a realistic, and frontloaded, programme for the critical activities including start-up of Overarching Contract and delivery of all general documentation, factory visits, kick-off meetings, etc. It should contain an Overarching contract organisation chart, with clear roles and responsibilities, along with contact information for each role. The description and programme contain a robust production schedule including all tests, delivery time, robust planning for installation of joints and cable terminations, and supervision activities on site and the associated manpower. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to identify and overcome known risks in the production, transportation, and installation phases. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to handle the constant flow of circuit specific orders under the Agreement and an understanding of the Gate System. The description provides an operational organisation on site in Denmark, with clear roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation. Further, interfaces are handled with clear lines for communication. The ability to extent personnel on site in case of unforeseen delays concerning the jointing work is also covered by the description and ensures efficient execution at all times. It will be evaluated positively that the Plan contains flexibility regarding installations date, but it will be evaluated negatively if the plan shows a significantly later installation date than the date in doc. no. 25/14408-55. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 10

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Contract Terms For the evaluation of the sub-criteria the tenderer is allowed to propose deviations to the elements of the contract identified as competitive elements in the contract terms. The contract terms consist of: • Contract Agreement, doc.no. 25/14408-17 • Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18 • Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32 • Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55 The deviations should be enclosed in the clarification list c.f. doc.no. 25/14408-14 and be included in the spreadsheet marked "Legal clarification". The proposed deviations should be explicit and with explanation as to why they are made. Contract terms listed in section 3.7.1 are mandatory parts and deviations to these are not accepted. The tendered contract must be performed based on the contract terms. The tenderer is allowed to propose explicit and well-explained deviations to the following listed competitive elements: In Contract Agreement Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, doc.no. 25/14408-17: Sub-Clause 5.3 (Annual cost reduction) Clause 6 (Additional Provisions), limited to: • Cancellation or postponement of production slot • Repair preparedness In Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25 /14408-32: - Sub-Clause 15.1 (Maximum amount of liquidated damages) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.1 (Defects notification period) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.6 in Section 7 (Contract data) both in terms of "Defects notification period for replaced and repaired parts" and "Maximum defect notification period". - Sub-Clause 17.2 (Limitation of liability) in Section 7 (Contract data). In Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18: - Clause 10 (Time for Completion – except for sub-clause 10.1) - Clause 11 (Taking Over) - Clause 14 (Intellectual property rights) - Clause 15 (Late-delivery and non-delivery) - Clause 16 (Defects and remedies) - Clause 17 (Limitation of liability) - Clause 18 (Product liability towards Customer) - Clause 20 (Indemnification) - Clause 28 (Termination) - Clause 29 (Termination for convenience) In Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55: - The liquidated damages stated in "Liquidated damages for late completion". Deviations to these elements will be included in the evaluation and it is noted that any deviations may lead to a reduction of the score depending on the content, clarity, and consequence of the deviation. In this regard it is explicitly noted that deviations which are

intended to limit liability and shift the financial risk in favour of the tenderer will be of particular importance in the evaluation. If the tenderer has no deviations to the contract terms, the maximum score of 10 will be awarded. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.  
Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)  
Award criterion number: 15

#### **5.1.11. Procurement documents**

Address of the procurement documents: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

#### **5.1.12. Terms of procurement**

##### **Terms of submission:**

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: English

Electronic catalogue: Not allowed

Variants: Not allowed

Deadline for receipt of requests to participate: 22/05/2026 12:00:00 (UTC+02:00) Eastern European Time, Central European Summer Time

##### **Information that can be supplemented after the submission deadline:**

At the discretion of the buyer, some missing tenderer-related documents may be submitted later.

Additional information: See Tender Material

##### **Terms of contract:**

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

Conditions relating to the performance of the contract: See contract template.

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

Financial arrangement: See the tender material. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If applications and tenders are submitted by a consortium, all participants in the consortium must be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the contract and a consortium member must be appointed with whom a binding agreement can be concluded on behalf of the consortium

#### **5.1.15. Techniques**

##### **Framework agreement:**

No framework agreement

##### **Information about the dynamic purchasing system:**

No dynamic purchase system

#### **5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review**

Review organisation: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement

Information about review deadlines: According to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement Act etc. (the Act can be downloaded at [www.retsinformation.dk](http://www.retsinformation.dk)), the following deadlines for filing a complaint apply: Complaints about not having been selected must be submitted to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement within 20 calendar days, cf. Section 7(1) of the Act, from the day after sending a notification to the candidates concerned

about who has been selected, when the notification is accompanied by a statement of reasons for the decision in accordance with Section 2(1)(1) of the Act and Section 171(2) of the Public Procurement Act. In other situations, complaints about tenders, cf. Section 7(2) of the Act, must be submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement within: 1) 45 calendar days after the contracting entity has published a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union that it has entered into a contract. The deadline is calculated from the day after the date on which the announcement has been published. 2) 20 calendar days from the day after the contracting entity has announced its decision, cf. Section 185(2) of the Public Procurement Act. No later than the same time that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement, the complainant must inform the contracting entity in writing that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement and whether the complaint has been submitted during the standstill period, cf. Section 6(4) of the Act. In cases where the complaint has not been submitted during the standstill period, the complainant must also state whether the complaint is to be given suspensive effect, cf. Section 12(1) of the Act. The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's e-mail address is stated in Section VI.4.1). The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's complaint guide can be found at: [www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk](http://www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk).

Organisation providing additional information about the procurement procedure: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation providing offline access to the procurement documents: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation providing more information on the review procedures: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation processing tenders: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

## **5.1. Lot: LOT-0003**

Title: Lot 3 - Various 145kV projects Northwest Seeland (DK2) (app. 780 km

Description: Lot 3 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. However, the tender submitted by the winner of Lot 1 will be excluded from the evaluation of this lot. The evaluation of Lot 3 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots.

Estimated value: EUR 112.200.000 inclusive option for 10 % additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project.

Internal identifier: 712312

### **5.1.1. Purpose**

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 31321300 High-voltage cable

#### **Options:**

Description of the options: 10% extra volume

### **5.1.2. Place of performance**

Country: Denmark

Anywhere in the given country

### **5.1.3. Estimated duration**

Start date: 01/12/2026

Duration: 5 Years

### **5.1.4. Renewal**

Maximum renewals: 0

### 5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 112 200 000,00 EUR

### 5.1.6. General information

#### Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): yes

Additional information: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, [www.kfst.dk](http://www.kfst.dk) (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

### 5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: Notice

Criterion: Financial ratio

Description of selection criterion: The applicant must confirm the economic ability in the ESPD part IV.B with information from the latest annual report regarding the following economic indicators: 1. Equity (total equity incl. share capital, reserves, revaluation, retained earnings etc.) 2. Equity ratio (equity/total assets). This must be stated with two decimals. If the applicant relies on the capacities of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the information for the ESPD part IV.B must also be given in a separate ESPD from each of these entities. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to secure that the minimum requirements are reached based on consolidation when the applicant is relying on the capacity of other

entities or when the applicant is a group of economic operators. Minimum requirement: Lot 1: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 38.822.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 116.466.000. Lot 2: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.780.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 80.340.000. Lot 3: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 31.000.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 93.000.000. Lot 4: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.105.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 78.315.000.

The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

Criterion: References on specified deliveries

Description of selection criterion: Technical and professional ability for all 4 lots The suitability of applicants fulfilling the minimum requirements to economic and financial standing will be assessed based on technical and professional ability. The ability will be assessed based on references which can be filled in ESPD part IV.C. The applicant is allowed to submit up to 3 most comparable and relevant references undertaken in the past 5 years as part of the application. By "undertaken in the past 5 years" implies that the reference must not have been completed prior to the date reached when counting 5 years backwards from the submission date for prequalification. References older than this will not be taken into consideration. References which have not yet been fully completed can be included as part of the application, however the applicant must describe the parts which have not yet been delivered, and this may affect the evaluation of the application, cf. section 2.3. Further, if references are obtained in collaboration with other parties, the applicant should clearly explain which elements have been carried out by the applicant. The references should describe deliveries of 145kV and 170 kV AC Cables and include: 1. Description of scope of work: A specific description of the work performed for each relevant reference (The 130 kV – 170 kV voltage level), e.g.: - design, - fabrication, - factory testing, - supply, - transport, - construction (civil works not included), - installation (joints, terminations, AIS, GIS)), - completion, - site testing, - commissioning The description should also state where the work was performed. 2. Description similar to #1 for one project of minimum 50 km single core cable length. 3. The deliveries in the references are tested according to IEC 60840. 4. Contract value (if possible) 5 Contact information for the entity in question (including contact person) 6. Date of initiation and date of final delivery (day, month, year) 7. Country of installation PQ-test (also a technical minimum requirement): For new cable projects, cable manufacturers can only be qualified as suppliers, if a Prequalification Test (PQ test), not older than ten (10) years, is available at the beginning of the tendering process. For cable systems rated up to and including 87/150 (170) kV, where a PQ test report is not available, a detailed description of the historical performance of similar cable systems from the same cable and accessories manufacturer can constitute as a valid PQ test. Furthermore, it should be noted that Energinet accepts the modified interpretation of the PQ tests proposed in Cigré TB 303. Energinet deems a PQ test to verify only the production capabilities of the specific production site. A PQ test is therefore non-transferable to other manufacturing sites. Any ambiguities and/or incomprehensibilities may have a negative

effect on the selection of applicants. Please note the “description” box in ESPD part IV.C. can contain more text than is visible and it is possible to copy paste text into the box. If the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the maximum number of references to be submitted must still be respected and cannot exceed 3 when combined. If more are submitted, the Contracting Authority will only consider the most recent references determined by date of final delivery, in this case not yet finished references will not be included. If the applicant relies on other entities’ capacity or is part of a group of economic operators the information concerning ESPD part IV.C must be submitted in separate ESPD’s for each entity.

The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

**Information about the second stage of a two-stage procedure:**

Minimum number of candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure: 4

Maximum number of candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure: 10

The procedure will take place in successive stages. At each stage, some participants may be eliminated

**5.1.10. Award criteria**

**Criterion:**

Type: Price

Description: Price Prices must be stated in the attached Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 for each lot the tenderer submits a tender for, and the defined price elements must be completed herein. Prices must be stated exclusive of VAT but inclusive of all other expenses associated with the purchase. The evaluation price of delivery in Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 must be based on the Unit Prices for delivery from the three transportation offers in the example case, documents 26/05333 (1-9). These prices will form the unit prices used for the evaluation in 25/14408 54 Schedules of Prices – Purchase 2026 for 145 & 170 kV AC Cables, Lots 1–4. For evaluation purposes: • Lot 3 145kV shall use 170 kV, 1000 m drums as the reference transport price for both 1000 m and 1500 m lengths. • Any 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> transport prices shall also be based on 170 kV, 1000 m drums. Prices must be stated in EUR When evaluating 'Price', the Contracting Authority will evaluate the Total Cost for each lot. The overall evaluation per lot will be based on the Total cost for evaluation per lot: Lot 1: Cell L11 Lot 2: Cell L11 Lot 3: Cell L11 Lot 4: Cell L11

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 45

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Technical/Project Execution/Case Study For each lot the evaluation will be based on a description of the offered Technical Solution and the Project Execution along with the Case Study (as described in section 1.4). The following documents are expected to be general. The description should demonstrate the technical level of the solution, and include these elements: • Qualification reports (PQ-test, Type Test etc.) from the facilities that are to be used for production. • Filled out in Appendix 2E - EGS-0084 Handover Specification Cables - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, for proposed 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> and 2500 mm<sup>2</sup> cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • High voltage cables electrical design reports for proposed 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> and 2500 mm<sup>2</sup> cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • Supplier’s datasheets for all accessories (joints, terminations, link boxes, SVLs, bonding cables, ECC, cleats). • Drum drawings. • Transportation, lifting and storage instructions for cable drums and accessories. • Storage manual for spare parts. • Maintenance manual. The following documents should be

considered for the Case Study only: • Plan of Works o Should include a description of a workweek (cable pull, transporting of jointing container, preparation of cable, curing of resin, etc.) • Detailed time schedule for the works (production, testing, transport, installation) • Project specific organization chart (during all of the different phases of the project) • Components updated in table in doc. no. 26/05333-7. • Cable pulling and accessories interface document • RAMS for work under induced voltage • ITPs relevant for the case • Sheath test procedure. • Solutions for all three joint bay designs described in section 6.7 in ETS-0067 The solution of the Case Study should be based on following documents: • Doc. no. 25/14408-56, 26/05333-1, 26/05333-2, 26/05333-3, 26/05333-4, 26/05333-6, 26/05333-7, 26/05333-8, 26/05333-9 in the Tender material. The Contracting Authority will focus on the documentation provided by the tenderers who are encouraged to submit detailed and thorough responses as the below listed elements will be of particular importance in the evaluation: General technical solution 1) System design: The quality of the engineering documentation (based on design documentation, calculations, values, and statements), including the extent to which this provides evidence that the system is fit for purpose according to the Technical Requirements. Further, the robustness of the solution including documentation and calculations supporting this will be favored. In this context it will be considered positive if the solution provides evidence of having very robust jackets, a high level of pulling force, and provides evidence of water-proof design of joints and link-boxes. 2) Minimum allowed bending radius: The minimum allowed bending radius during installation and after installation (in final position at terminations) is equally evaluated. A larger bending radius is evaluated negatively. 4) Allowable roller pressure: Allowable roller pressure will be evaluated. A higher roller pressure will be evaluated positively. 5) Lay length of screen wires (if applicable): Concerning lay length of screen wires (in multiple of screen diameter), longer lay length will be evaluated negatively. 6) Electrical stress: As for electrical stress at the insulation screen (outer semiconducting layer), a lower electrical stress will be evaluated positively. 7) AC resistance: Will be evaluated based on a combination of the tenderers stated value and quality of available documentation to support this. A lower AC resistance will be evaluated positively. Higher similarity of tested conductor to offered will be evaluated positively. 8) Current rating: Both continuous and short-term rating will be evaluated. A higher current rating will be evaluated positively. 9) Storage instructions: Long shelf life and ease of storage of components will be evaluated positively. 10) Maintenance: Maintenance free systems will be evaluated positively. High maintenance systems will be evaluated negatively. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Case Study When evaluating the “Case Study” it will be evaluated positively to what degree the tenderer shows a robust, realistic, and operational technical solution along with a realistic plan of works and time schedule. Furthermore, it is important and will be evaluated positively that there is a clear and easy path from the line diagram component types to the datasheet EGS-0084 and to the Supplier accessories datasheets. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion, with focus on installation, setup and testing. The quality of the plan for installation of accessories and plan for testing during installation. It will be evaluated positively that the documents are detailed, practical and provide evidence of a solution which will secure ease of execution of work on site.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 30

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Programme, organization and manpower For each Lot the evaluation will be based on: Programme: For the evaluation of the “Lot Programme” the tenderer should include a detailed and robust programme which outlines how the activities will be planned from contract commencement date until taking-over certificates has been issued. Organization and

manpower: Management, construction, and installation is of immense importance to execution of the project on site in Denmark. The tenderer should include a description which covers:

- The Gate System described in Scope of Works doc. no. 25/14408-41. Concerning the activities on site in Denmark the tenderer should include a detailed description of the manpower used on site for supervision and jointing work. The description should also cover:
- Organizational diagram for the overarching contract structure
- Overall structure including roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation
- Interfaces towards Energinet and other external parties e.g. the civil work contractor on site in Denmark including lines of communication. Reference is made to doc. no. 25/14408-55. The evaluation will be based on to which degree the tenderer demonstrates a well-planned, realistic, and robust time schedule /programme, which allows sufficient time for potential contingencies, but still provides evidence of a high level of security for delivery within the timeframe as set out. In this context it will be evaluated positive that: The description and the Lot Programme reflect a realistic, and frontloaded, programme for the critical activities including start-up of Overarching Contract and delivery of all general documentation, factory visits, kick-off meetings, etc. It should contain an Overarching contract organisation chart, with clear roles and responsibilities, along with contact information for each role. The description and programme contain a robust production schedule including all tests, delivery time, robust planning for installation of joints and cable terminations, and supervision activities on site and the associated manpower. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to identify and overcome known risks in the production, transportation, and installation phases. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to handle the constant flow of circuit specific orders under the Agreement and an understanding of the Gate System. The description provides an operational organisation on site in Denmark, with clear roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation. Further, interfaces are handled with clear lines for communication. The ability to extent personnel on site in case of unforeseen delays concerning the jointing work is also covered by the description and ensures efficient execution at all times. It will be evaluated positively that the Plan contains flexibility regarding installations date, but it will be evaluated negatively if the plan shows a significantly later installation date than the date in doc. no. 25/14408-55. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 10

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Contract Terms For the evaluation of the sub-criteria the tenderer is allowed to propose deviations to the elements of the contract identified as competitive elements in the contract terms. The contract terms consist of:

- Contract Agreement, doc.no. 25/14408-17
- Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18
- Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32
- Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55

The deviations should be enclosed in the clarification list c.f. doc.no. 25/14408-14 and be included in the spreadsheet marked "Legal clarification". The proposed deviations should be explicit and with explanation as to why they are made. Contract terms listed in section 3.7.1 are mandatory parts and deviations to these are not accepted. The tendered contract must be performed based on the contract terms. The tenderer is allowed to propose explicit and well-explained deviations to the following listed competitive elements:

- In Contract Agreement Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, doc.no. 25/14408-17: Sub-Clause 5.3 (Annual cost reduction) Clause 6 (Additional Provisions), limited to:
- Cancellation or postponement of production slot
- Repair preparedness In Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25 /14408-32: - Sub-Clause 15.1 (Maximum amount of liquidated damages) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.1 (Defects notification period) in Section 7 (Contract data). -

Sub-Clause 16.6 in Section 7 (Contract data) both in terms of “Defects notification period for replaced and repaired parts” and “Maximum defect notification period”. - Sub-Clause 17.2 (Limitation of liability) in Section 7 (Contract data). In Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18: - Clause 10 (Time for Completion – except for sub-clause 10.1) - Clause 11 (Taking Over) - Clause 14 (Intellectual property rights) - Clause 15 (Late-delivery and non-delivery) - Clause 16 (Defects and remedies) - Clause 17 (Limitation of liability) - Clause 18 (Product liability towards Customer) - Clause 20 (Indemnification) - Clause 28 (Termination) - Clause 29 (Termination for convenience) In Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55: - The liquidated damages stated in “Liquidated damages for late completion”. Deviations to these elements will be included in the evaluation and it is noted that any deviations may lead to a reduction of the score depending on the content, clarity, and consequence of the deviation. In this regard it is explicitly noted that deviations which are intended to limit liability and shift the financial risk in favour of the tenderer will be of particular importance in the evaluation. If the tenderer has no deviations to the contract terms, the maximum score of 10 will be awarded. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)  
Award criterion number: 15

#### 5.1.11. Procurement documents

Address of the procurement documents: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

#### 5.1.12. Terms of procurement

##### Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: English

Electronic catalogue: Not allowed

Variants: Not allowed

Tenderers may submit more than one tender: Not allowed

Deadline for receipt of requests to participate: 22/05/2026 12:00:00 (UTC+02:00) Eastern European Time, Central European Summer Time

##### Information that can be supplemented after the submission deadline:

At the discretion of the buyer, some missing tenderer-related documents may be submitted later.

Additional information: See tender material

##### Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

Conditions relating to the performance of the contract: See contract template

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

Financial arrangement: See the tender material. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If applications and tenders are submitted by a consortium, all participants in the consortium must be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the contract and a consortium member must be appointed with whom a binding agreement can be concluded on behalf of the consortium

### 5.1.15. Techniques

#### Framework agreement:

No framework agreement

#### Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

### 5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement

Information about review deadlines: According to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement Act etc. (the Act can be downloaded at [www.retsinformation.dk](http://www.retsinformation.dk)), the following deadlines for filing a complaint apply: Complaints about not having been selected must be submitted to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement within 20 calendar days, cf. Section 7(1) of the Act, from the day after sending a notification to the candidates concerned about who has been selected, when the notification is accompanied by a statement of reasons for the decision in accordance with Section 2(1)(1) of the Act and Section 171(2) of the Public Procurement Act. In other situations, complaints about tenders, cf. Section 7(2) of the Act, must be submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement within: 1) 45 calendar days after the contracting entity has published a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union that it has entered into a contract. The deadline is calculated from the day after the date on which the announcement has been published. 2) 20 calendar days from the day after the contracting entity has announced its decision, cf. Section 185(2) of the Public Procurement Act. No later than the same time that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement, the complainant must inform the contracting entity in writing that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement and whether the complaint has been submitted during the standstill period, cf. Section 6(4) of the Act. In cases where the complaint has not been submitted during the standstill period, the complainant must also state whether the complaint is to be given suspensive effect, cf. Section 12(1) of the Act. The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's e-mail address is stated in Section VI.4.1). The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's complaint guide can be found at: [www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk](http://www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk).

Organisation providing additional information about the procurement procedure: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation providing offline access to the procurement documents: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation providing more information on the review procedures: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation processing tenders: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

### 5.1. Lot: LOT-0004

Title: Lot 4 - Various projects Jutland (DK1) (app. 595 km)

Description: Lot 4 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. However, the tender submitted by the winner of Lot 2 will be excluded from the evaluation of this lot. The evaluation of Lot 1 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots.

Estimated value: EUR 90.200.000 inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project.

Internal identifier: 712313

#### 5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies  
Additional nature of the contract: Services  
Main classification (cpv): 31321300 High-voltage cable

**Options:**

Description of the options: 10% Extra volume

**5.1.2. Place of performance**

Country: Denmark  
Anywhere in the given country

**5.1.3. Estimated duration**

Start date: 01/12/2026  
Duration: 5 Years

**5.1.4. Renewal**

Maximum renewals: 0

**5.1.5. Value**

Estimated value excluding VAT: 90 200 000,00 EUR

**5.1.6. General information**

**Reserved participation:**

Participation is not reserved.

The names and professional qualifications of the staff assigned to perform the contract must be given: Not required

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): yes

Additional information: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, [www.kfst.dk](http://www.kfst.dk) (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary

associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

#### **5.1.9. Selection criteria**

Sources of selection criteria: Notice

Criterion: Financial ratio

Description of selection criterion: The applicant must confirm the economic ability in the ESPD part IV.B with information from the latest annual report regarding the following economic indicators: 1. Equity (total equity incl. share capital, reserves, revaluation, retained earning etc.) 2. Equity ratio (equity/total assets). This must be stated with two decimals. If the applicant relies on the capacities of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the information for the ESPD part IV.B must also be given in a separate ESPD from each of these entities. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to secure that the minimum requirements are reached based on consolidation when the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or when the applicant is a group of economic operators. Minimum requirement: Lot 1: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 38.822.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 116.466.000. Lot 2: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.780.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 80.340.000. Lot 3: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 31.000.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 93.000.000. Lot 4: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.105.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 78.315.000.

Criterion: References on specified deliveries

Description of selection criterion: Technical and professional ability for all 4 lots The suitability of applicants fulfilling the minimum requirements to economic and financial standing will be assessed based on technical and professional ability. The ability will be assessed based on references which can be filled in ESPD part IV.C. The applicant is allowed to submit up to 3 most comparable and relevant references undertaken in the past 5 years as part of the application. By "undertaken in the past 5 years" implies that the reference must not have been completed prior to the date reached when counting 5 years backwards from the submission date for prequalification. References older than this will not be taken into consideration. References which have not yet been fully completed can be included as part of the application, however the applicant must describe the parts which have not yet been delivered, and this may affect the evaluation of the application, cf. section 2.3. Further, if references are obtained in collaboration with other parties, the applicant should clearly explain which elements have been carried out by the applicant. The references should describe deliveries of 145kV and 170 kV AC Cables and include: 1. Description of scope of work: A specific description of the work performed for each relevant reference (The 130 kV – 170 kV voltage level), e.g.: - design, - fabrication, - factory testing, - supply, - transport, - construction (civil works not included), -

installation (joints, terminations, AIS, GIS)), - completion, - site testing, - commissioning The description should also state where the work was performed. 2. Description similar to #1 for one project of minimum 50 km single core cable length. 3. The deliveries in the references are tested according to IEC 60840. 4. Contract value (if possible) 5 Contact information for the entity in question (including contact person) 6. Date of initiation and date of final delivery (day, month, year) 7. Country of installation PQ-test (also a technical minimum requirement): For new cable projects, cable manufacturers can only be qualified as suppliers, if a Prequalification Test (PQ test), not older than ten (10) years, is available at the beginning of the tendering process. For cable systems rated up to and including 87/150 (170) kV, where a PQ test report is not available, a detailed description of the historical performance of similar cable systems from the same cable and accessories manufacturer can constitute as a valid PQ test. Furthermore, it should be noted that Energinet accepts the modified interpretation of the PQ tests pro-posed in Cigré TB 303. Energinet deems a PQ test to verify only the production capabilities of the specific production site. A PQ test is therefore non-transferable to other manufacturing sites. Any ambiguities and/or incomprehensibilities may have a negative effect on the selection of applicants. Please note the “description” box in ESPD part IV.C. can contain more text than is visible and it is possible to copy paste text into the box. If the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the maximum number of references to be submitted must still be respected and cannot exceed 3 when combined. If more are submitted, the Contracting Authority will only consider the most recent references determined by date of final delivery, in this case not yet finished references will not be included. If the applicant relies on other entities' capacity or is part of a group of economic operators the information concerning ESPD part IV.C must be submitted in separate ESPD's for each entity.

The criteria will be used to select the candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure

**Information about the second stage of a two-stage procedure:**

Minimum number of candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure: 4

Maximum number of candidates to be invited for the second stage of the procedure: 10

The procedure will take place in successive stages. At each stage, some participants may be eliminated

**5.1.10. Award criteria**

**Criterion:**

Type: Price

Description: Price Prices must be stated in the attached Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 for each lot the tenderer submits a tender for, and the defined price elements must be completed herein. Prices must be stated exclusive of VAT but inclusive of all other expenses associated with the purchase. The evaluation price of delivery in Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 must be based on the Unit Prices for delivery from the three transportation offers in the example case, documents 26/05333 (1-9). These prices will form the unit prices used for the evaluation in 25/14408 54 Schedules of Prices – Purchase 2026 for 145 & 170 kV AC Cables, Lots 1–4. For evaluation purposes: • Lot 3 145kV shall use 170 kV, 1000 m drums as the reference transport price for both 1000 m and 1500 m lengths. • Any 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> transport prices shall also be based on 170 kV, 1000 m drums. Prices must be stated in EUR When evaluating 'Price', the Contracting Authority will evaluate the Total Cost for each lot. The overall evaluation per lot will be based on the Total cost for evaluation per lot: Lot 1: Cell L11 Lot 2: Cell L11 Lot 3: Cell L11 Lot 4: Cell L11

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 45

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Technical/Project Execution/Case Study For each lot the evaluation will be based on a description of the offered Technical Solution and the Project Execution along with the Case Study (as described in section 1.4). The following documents are expected to be general. The description should demonstrate the technical level of the solution, and include these elements:

- Qualification reports (PQ-test, Type Test etc.) from the facilities that are to be used for production.
- Filled out in Appendix 2E - EGS-0084 Handover Specification Cables - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, for proposed 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> and 2500 mm<sup>2</sup> cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV.
- High voltage cables electrical design reports for proposed 1200 mm<sup>2</sup> and 2500 mm<sup>2</sup> cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV.
- Supplier's datasheets for all accessories (joints, terminations, link boxes, SVLs, bonding cables, ECC, cleats).
- Drum drawings.
- Transportation, lifting and storage instructions for cable drums and accessories.
- Storage manual for spare parts.
- Maintenance manual.

The following documents should be considered for the Case Study only:

- Plan of Works o Should include a description of a workweek (cable pull, transporting of jointing container, preparation of cable, curing of resin, etc.)
- Detailed time schedule for the works (production, testing, transport, installation)
- Project specific organization chart (during all of the different phases of the project)
- Components updated in table in doc. no. 26/05333-7.
- Cable pulling and accessories interface document
- RAMS for work under induced voltage
- ITPs relevant for the case
- Sheath test procedure.
- Solutions for all three joint bay designs described in section 6.7 in ETS-0067

The solution of the Case Study should be based on following documents:

- Doc. no. 25/14408-56, 26/05333-1, 26/05333-2, 26/05333-3, 26/05333-4, 26/05333-6, 26/05333-7, 26/05333-8, 26/05333-9 in the Tender material.

The Contracting Authority will focus on the documentation provided by the tenderers who are encouraged to submit detailed and thorough responses as the below listed elements will be of particular importance in the evaluation:

- 1) General technical solution
- 2) System design: The quality of the engineering documentation (based on design documentation, calculations, values, and statements), including the extent to which this provides evidence that the system is fit for purpose according to the Technical Requirements. Further, the robustness of the solution including documentation and calculations supporting this will be favored. In this context it will be considered positive if the solution provides evidence of having very robust jackets, a high level of pulling force, and provides evidence of water-proof design of joints and link-boxes.
- 3) Minimum allowed bending radius: The minimum allowed bending radius during installation and after installation (in final position at terminations) is equally evaluated. A larger bending radius is evaluated negatively.
- 4) Allowable roller pressure: Allowable roller pressure will be evaluated. A higher roller pressure will be evaluated positively.
- 5) Lay length of screen wires (if applicable): Concerning lay length of screen wires (in multiple of screen diameter), longer lay length will be evaluated negatively.
- 6) Electrical stress: As for electrical stress at the insulation screen (outer semiconducting layer), a lower electrical stress will be evaluated positively.
- 7) AC resistance: Will be evaluated based on a combination of the tenderers stated value and quality of available documentation to support this. A lower AC resistance will be evaluated positively. Higher similarity of tested conductor to offered will be evaluated positively.
- 8) Current rating: Both continuous and short-term rating will be evaluated. A higher current rating will be evaluated positively.
- 9) Storage instructions: Long shelf life and ease of storage of components will be evaluated positively.
- 10) Maintenance: Maintenance free systems will be evaluated positively. High maintenance systems will be evaluated negatively.

An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Case Study When evaluating the "Case Study" it will be evaluated positively to what degree the tenderer shows a robust, realistic, and operational technical solution along with a realistic

plan of works and time schedule. Furthermore, it is important and will be evaluated positively that there is a clear and easy path from the line diagram component types to the datasheet EGS-0084 and to the Supplier accessories datasheets. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion, with focus on installation, setup and testing. The quality of the plan for installation of accessories and plan for testing during installation. It will be evaluated positively that the documents are detailed, practical and provide evidence of a solution which will secure ease of execution of work on site.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 30

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Programme, organization and manpower For each Lot the evaluation will be based on: Programme: For the evaluation of the "Lot Programme" the tenderer should include a detailed and robust programme which outlines how the activities will be planned from contract commencement date until taking-over certificates has been issued. Organization and manpower: Management, construction, and installation is of immense importance to execution of the project on site in Denmark. The tenderer should include a description which covers: • The Gate System described in Scope of Works doc. no. 25/14408-41. Concerning the activities on site in Denmark the tenderer should include a detailed description of the manpower used on site for supervision and jointing work. The description should also cover: • Organizational diagram for the overarching contract structure • Overall structure including roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation • Interfaces towards Energinet and other external parties e.g. the civil work contractor on site in Denmark including lines of communication. Reference is made to doc. no. 25/14408-55. The evaluation will be based on to which degree the tenderer demonstrates a well-planned, realistic, and robust time schedule /programme, which allows sufficient time for potential contingencies, but still provides evidence of a high level of security for delivery within the timeframe as set out. In this context it will be evaluated positive that: The description and the Lot Programme reflect a realistic, and frontloaded, programme for the critical activities including start-up of Overarching Contract and delivery of all general documentation, factory visits, kick-off meetings, etc. It should contain an Overarching contract organisation chart, with clear roles and responsibilities, along with contact information for each role. The description and programme contain a robust production schedule including all tests, delivery time, robust planning for installation of joints and cable terminations, and supervision activities on site and the associated manpower. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to identify and overcome known risks in the production, transportation, and installation phases. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to handle the constant flow of circuit specific orders under the Agreement and an understanding of the Gate System. The description provides an operational organisation on site in Denmark, with clear roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation. Further, interfaces are handled with clear lines for communication. The ability to extent personnel on site in case of unforeseen delays concerning the jointing work is also covered by the description and ensures efficient execution at all times. It will be evaluated positively that the Plan contains flexibility regarding installations date, but it will be evaluated negatively if the plan shows a significantly later installation date than the date in doc. no. 25/14408-55. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 10

**Criterion:**

Type: Quality

Description: Contract terms For the evaluation of the sub-criteria the tenderer is allowed to propose deviations to the elements of the contract identified as competitive elements in the contract terms. The contract terms consist of: • Contract Agreement, doc.no. 25/14408-17 • Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18 • Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32 • Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55 The deviations should be enclosed in the clarification list c.f. doc.no. 25/14408-14 and be included in the spreadsheet marked “Legal clarification”. The proposed deviations should be explicit and with explanation as to why they are made. Contract terms listed in section 3.7.1 are mandatory parts and deviations to these are not accepted. The tendered contract must be performed based on the contract terms. The tenderer is allowed to propose explicit and well-explained deviations to the following listed competitive elements: In Contract Agreement Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, doc.no. 25/14408-17: Sub-Clause 5.3 (Annual cost reduction) Clause 6 (Additional Provisions), limited to: • Cancellation or postponement of production slot • Repair preparedness In Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25 /14408-32: - Sub-Clause 15.1 (Maximum amount of liquidated damages) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.1 (Defects notification period) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.6 in Section 7 (Contract data) both in terms of “Defects notification period for replaced and repaired parts” and “Maximum defect notification period”. - Sub-Clause 17.2 (Limitation of liability) in Section 7 (Contract data). In Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18: - Clause 10 (Time for Completion – except for sub-clause 10.1) - Clause 11 (Taking Over) - Clause 14 (Intellectual property rights) - Clause 15 (Late-delivery and non-delivery) - Clause 16 (Defects and remedies) - Clause 17 (Limitation of liability) - Clause 18 (Product liability towards Customer) - Clause 20 (Indemnification) - Clause 28 (Termination) - Clause 29 (Termination for convenience) In Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55: - The liquidated damages stated in “Liquidated damages for late completion”. Deviations to these elements will be included in the evaluation and it is noted that any deviations may lead to a reduction of the score depending on the content, clarity, and consequence of the deviation. In this regard it is explicitly noted that deviations which are intended to limit liability and shift the financial risk in favour of the tenderer will be of particular importance in the evaluation. If the tenderer has no deviations to the contract terms, the maximum score of 10 will be awarded. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)  
Award criterion number: 15

#### **5.1.11. Procurement documents**

Address of the procurement documents: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

#### **5.1.12. Terms of procurement**

##### **Terms of submission:**

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: English

Electronic catalogue: Not allowed

Variants: Not allowed

Tenderers may submit more than one tender: Not allowed

Deadline for receipt of requests to participate: 22/05/2026 12:00:00 (UTC+02:00) Eastern European Time, Central European Summer Time

**Information that can be supplemented after the submission deadline:**

At the discretion of the buyer, some missing tenderer-related documents may be submitted later.

Additional information: See tender material.

**Terms of contract:**

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

Conditions relating to the performance of the contract: See contract template

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

Financial arrangement: See the tender material. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If applications and tenders are submitted by a consortium, all participants in the consortium must be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the contract and a consortium member must be appointed with whom a binding agreement can be concluded on behalf of the consortium

**5.1.15. Techniques**

**Framework agreement:**

No framework agreement

**Information about the dynamic purchasing system:**

No dynamic purchase system

**5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review**

Review organisation: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement

Information about review deadlines: According to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement Act etc. (the Act can be downloaded at [www.retsinformation.dk](http://www.retsinformation.dk)), the following deadlines for filing a complaint apply: Complaints about not having been selected must be submitted to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement within 20 calendar days, cf. Section 7(1) of the Act, from the day after sending a notification to the candidates concerned about who has been selected, when the notification is accompanied by a statement of reasons for the decision in accordance with Section 2(1)(1) of the Act and Section 171(2) of the Public Procurement Act. In other situations, complaints about tenders, cf. Section 7(2) of the Act, must be submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement within: 1) 45 calendar days after the contracting entity has published a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union that it has entered into a contract. The deadline is calculated from the day after the date on which the announcement has been published. 2) 20 calendar days from the day after the contracting entity has announced its decision, cf. Section 185(2) of the Public Procurement Act. No later than the same time that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement, the complainant must inform the contracting entity in writing that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement and whether the complaint has been submitted during the standstill period, cf. Section 6(4) of the Act. In cases where the complaint has not been submitted during the standstill period, the complainant must also state whether the complaint is to be given suspensive effect, cf. Section 12(1) of the Act. The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's e-mail address is stated in Section VI.4.1). The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's complaint guide can be found at: [www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk](http://www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk).

Organisation providing additional information about the procurement procedure: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation providing offline access to the procurement documents: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation providing more information on the review procedures: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Organisation processing tenders: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

## 8. Organisations

---

### 8.1. ORG-0001

Official name: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Registration number: 39314878

Postal address: Tonne Kjærsvej 65

Town: Fredericia

Postcode: 7000

Country subdivision (NUTS): Syddjylland (DK032)

Country: Denmark

Contact point: Indkøbsafdelingen - A

Email: [indkob@energinet.dk](mailto:indkob@energinet.dk)

Telephone: 70102244

Internet address: <https://energinet.dk/>

#### **Roles of this organisation:**

Buyer

Organisation providing additional information about the procurement procedure

Organisation providing offline access to the procurement documents

Organisation receiving requests to participate

Organisation processing tenders

### 8.1. ORG-1000

Official name: Comdia ApS

Registration number: 33501404

Postal address: Lindvedvej 71

Town: Odense S

Postcode: 5260

Country subdivision (NUTS): Fyn (DK031)

Country: Denmark

Email: [support@comdia.com](mailto:support@comdia.com)

Telephone: +45 7199 3672

Internet address: <https://www.comdia.com/>

#### **Roles of this organisation:**

TED eSender

### 8.1. ORG-1001

Official name: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement

Registration number: 37795526

Postal address: Danish Appeals Boards Authority, Toldboden 2

Town: Viborg

Postcode: 8800

Country subdivision (NUTS): Vestjylland (DK041)

Country: Denmark

Email: [klfu@naevneneshus.dk](mailto:klfu@naevneneshus.dk)  
Telephone: +45 7240 5600  
Internet address: <http://www.klfu.dk>

**Roles of this organisation:**

Review organisation

**8.1. ORG-1002**

Official name: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority

Registration number: 10294819

Postal address: Carl Jacobsens Vej 35

Town: Valby

Postcode: 2500

Country subdivision (NUTS): Københavns omegn (DK012)

Country: Denmark

Email: [kfst@kfst.dk](mailto:kfst@kfst.dk)

Telephone: +45 4171 5000

Internet address: <https://www.kfst.dk/>

**Roles of this organisation:**

Organisation providing more information on the review procedures

## Notice information

---

Notice identifier/version: 52bcbfe5-56e8-4869-a8df-951891b29668 - 01

Form type: Competition

Notice type: Contract or concession notice – standard regime

Notice subtype: 17

Notice dispatch date: 06/05/2026 10:20:17 (UTC+02:00) Eastern European Time, Central European Summer Time

Languages in which this notice is officially available: English

Notice publication number: 315261-2026

OJ S issue number: 88/2026

Publication date: 07/05/2026