

61483-2026 - Competition

Norway – Food, beverages, tobacco and related products – Framework agreement food articles for Akershus, Buskerud and Østfold counties.

OJ S 19/2026 28/01/2026

Contract or concession notice – standard regime - Change notice
Supplies

1. Buyer

1.1. Buyer

Official name: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Email: christiah@bfk.no

Legal type of the buyer: Regional authority

Activity of the contracting authority: General public services

2. Procedure

2.1. Procedure

Title: Framework agreement food articles for Akershus, Buskerud and Østfold counties.

Description: Procurement of a framework agreement for food articles for institutional households for Akershus, Buskerud and Østfold counties.

Procedure identifier: bd47ea29-1353-4f3b-b6f1-e03b4a675e97

Internal identifier: 2024/16647

Type of procedure: Open

The procedure is accelerated: no

2.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products

2.1.2. Place of performance

Country: Norway

Anywhere in the given country

Additional information: County entities in Akershus, Buskerud and Østfold.

2.1.4. General information

Legal basis:

Directive 2014/24/EU

2.1.5. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Maximum number of lots for which one tenderer can submit tenders: 9

Terms of contract:

Maximum number of lots for which contracts can be awarded to one tenderer: 9

2.1.6. Grounds for exclusion

Sources of grounds for exclusion: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5. Lot

5.1. Lot: LOT-0001

Title: Sub-contract 1 Groceries - Akershus
Description: Groceries to Akershus County
Internal identifier: 1795812-0

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies
Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Akershus (NO084)
Country: Norway
Additional information: County entities in Akershus.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/06/2026
Duration end date: 31/05/2028

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2
Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 84 000 000,00 NOK

5.1.6. General information

Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.
Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.
The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Climate and environmental impacts mapped: The Contracting Authority has assessed that this procurement has the following climate and environmental impacts: • Most forms of food production have a not insignificant climate and/or environmental impact (e.g. meat production, agriculture and processing), and we assess it so that food waste in practice increases this influence. • Deforestation of tropical forests has a negative impact on climate, environment and eco-system. • Tenderers without an environmental management system will, in many cases, have a little conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than tenderers who have. • The use of disposable packaging has a negative impact on climate and the environment, especially the plastic based. • Conventional products have a higher environmental impact by using chemical pesticides. • Imported agricultural products have significantly higher emissions related to transport than Norwegian produced. • Fishing for endangered species or use of methods that increase the risk of extinction affects the local environment and ecosystem. Reducing food waste limits the climate and environmental impact of food production, and we consider it clear that requirements for reduction of food waste will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. By

requiring products with risk of deforestation to be certified or not offered, the climate, environment and ecosystem will remain unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. Tenderers with an environmental management system have a greater focus on reducing and a more conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than suppliers who do not, and we therefore consider that it is clear that climate and/or environmental effect will be better than if it were set as the award criterion. The reuse of and/or recycled packaging has significantly reduced impact on climate and environment compared with the use of disposable packaging and it is clear that the requirement for all packaging to be recycled, recyclable or reusable gives a better climate and environmental effect than if it were award criteria. Organic products have a lower environmental impact than conventional products by not using chemical pesticides. In the market dialogue we received information from suppliers that setting the award criteria on the extent of organic products would not ensure us a good selection of these products, as the award criterion would be in "conflict" with the price criteria. We therefore consider it clear that the environmental effect will be improved by making requirements for ecological product for a relatively high proportion of the products in the procurement than if it were set as award criterion. Norwegian-produced agricultural products have significantly less emissions connected to the transport of imported. We consider that the total combination of requirements for Norwegian agricultural products to be offered in Norwegian seasons, requirements for the assortment to be limited, and weighting the price model for Norwegian agricultural products significantly higher than imports as part of the price criterion (in contract group 2 fresh fruit and vegetables) will give a clearly better environmental effect than if this was set as award criterion for Environment. Requirements for certified sustainable fishing for frozen fish products set the environment and the ecosystem unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better environmental effect than if sustainable fishing and/or certification was set as award criterion. As for transport, the market dialogue states that the market in our region is not sufficiently mature and the deliveries are therefore only required to be carried out with 100% Euro VI vehicles and that the routes shall be optimised so that empty transport is avoided. We consider that there is a major environmental benefit as the tenderers use already procured vehicles for as long as possible, as a high proportion of the emissions take place in the production of vehicles. If we avoid having requirements or criteria for fossil free transport, suppliers will be able to replace the vehicles at the natural and appropriate rate, and our procurement will not contribute to unnecessary production or replacement of vehicles. The market is also not sufficiently mature in regard to fossil free aggregates, which is very important for most deliveries in this framework agreement. The award criteria in accordance with the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 second paragraph shall be replaced in this procurement with climate and environmental requirements. The requirements for all sub-contracts are related to reducing food waste, optimisation of transport routes, deforestation of tropical forests, environmental management system, chemicals and packaging. There are also requirements for reporting on climate emissions, packaging and waste. Contract group 1 Groceries requires 58 organic products, in addition there are requirements regarding minimum orders, third-party fair certification and sustainable fishing. 5% lower mark-up for organic products is required in contract group 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables. We also require that Norwegian-produced agricultural products/fresh fruit and vegetables are made available to the orderers in season, with an option for limiting assortment in ordering solutions and having weighted the price model for Norwegian products significantly higher than that for imported. In contract group 3 Dairy products there are requirements for 10 organic products, and in addition there are requirements for minimum order. The contracting authority considers it clear that this gives a better climate and environmental effect.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

Criterion:

Type: Price

Description: Price

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Quality

Description: Product Quality

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Service

Description: System for the tender of replacement goods, Final order confirmation and Functionality and user friendliness in the offered punchout system.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 18/02/2026 23:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049&GoTo=Tender>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 26/02/2026 22:59:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 97 Days

Information about public opening:

Opening date: 27/02/2026 04:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 100

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Buskerud tingrett

Information about review deadlines: 10 days waiting period

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Organisation processing tenders: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

5.1. Lot: LOT-0002

Title: Sub-contract 1 Groceries - Buskerud

Description: Groceries to Buskerud County.

Internal identifier: 1795813-1

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Buskerud (NO085)

Country: Norway

Additional information: County entities in Buskerud

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/06/2026

Duration end date: 31/05/2028

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 36 000 000,00 NOK

5.1.6. General information

Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Description: Price

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Description: Product Quality

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Service

Description: System for the tender of replacement goods, Final order confirmation and Functionality and user friendliness in the offered punchout system.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Climate and environmental impacts mapped: The Contracting Authority has assessed that this procurement has the following climate and environmental impacts: • Most forms of food production have a not insignificant climate and/or environmental impact (e.g. meat production, agriculture and processing), and we assess it so that food waste in practice increases this influence. • Deforestation of tropical forests has a negative impact on climate, environment and eco-system. • Tenderers without an environmental management system will, in many cases, have a little conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than tenderers who have. • The use of disposable packaging has a negative impact on climate and the environment, especially the plastic based. • Conventional products have a higher environmental impact by using chemical pesticides. • Imported agricultural products have significantly higher emissions related to transport than Norwegian produced. • Fishing for endangered species or use of methods that increase the risk of extinction affects the local environment and ecosystem. Reducing food waste limits the climate and environmental impact of food production, and we consider it clear that requirements for reduction of food waste will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. By requiring products with risk of deforestation to be certified or not offered, the climate, environment and ecosystem will remain unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. Tenderers with an environmental management system have a greater focus on reducing and a more conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than suppliers who do not, and we therefore consider that it is clear that climate and/or environmental effect will be better than if it were set as the award criterion. The reuse of and/or recycled packaging has significantly reduced impact on climate and environment compared with the use of disposable packaging and it is clear that the requirement for all packaging to be recycled, recyclable or reusable gives a better climate and environmental effect than if it were award criteria. Organic products have a lower environmental impact than conventional products by not using chemical pesticides. In the market dialogue we received information from suppliers that setting the award criteria on the extent of organic products would not ensure us a good selection of these products, as the award criterion would be in "conflict" with the price criteria. We therefore consider it clear that the environmental effect will be improved by making requirements for ecological product for a relatively high proportion of the products in the procurement than if it were set as award criterion. Norwegian-produced agricultural products have significantly less emissions connected to the transport of imported. We consider that the total combination of requirements for Norwegian agricultural products to be offered in Norwegian seasons, requirements for the assortment to be limited, and weighting the price model for Norwegian agricultural products significantly higher than imports as part of the price criterion (in contract group 2 fresh fruit and vegetables) will give a clearly better environmental effect than if this was set as award criterion for Environment. Requirements for certified sustainable fishing for frozen fish products set the environment and the ecosystem unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better environmental effect than if sustainable fishing and/or

certification was set as award criterion. As for transport, the market dialogue states that the market in our region is not sufficiently mature and the deliveries are therefore only required to be carried out with 100% Euro VI vehicles and that the routes shall be optimised so that empty transport is avoided. We consider that there is a major environmental benefit as the tenderers use already procured vehicles for as long as possible, as a high proportion of the emissions take place in the production of vehicles. If we avoid having requirements or criteria for fossil free transport, suppliers will be able to replace the vehicles at the natural and appropriate rate, and our procurement will not contribute to unnecessary production or replacement of vehicles. The market is also not sufficiently mature in regard to fossil free aggregates, which is very important for most deliveries in this framework agreement. The award criteria in accordance with the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 second paragraph shall be replaced in this procurement with climate and environmental requirements. The requirements for all sub-contracts are related to reducing food waste, optimisation of transport routes, deforestation of tropical forests, environmental management system, chemicals and packaging. There are also requirements for reporting on climate emissions, packaging and waste. Contract group 1 Groceries requires 58 organic products, in addition there are requirements regarding minimum orders, third-party fair certification and sustainable fishing. 5% lower mark-up for organic products is required in contract group 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables. We also require that Norwegian-produced agricultural products/fresh fruit and vegetables are made available to the orderers in season, with an option for limiting assortment in ordering solutions and having weighted the price model for Norwegian products significantly higher than that for imported. In contract group 3 Dairy products there are requirements for 10 organic products, and in addition there are requirements for minimum order. The contracting authority considers it clear that this gives a better climate and environmental effect.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 18/02/2026 23:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=81049>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=81049&GoTo=Tender>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 26/02/2026 22:59:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 97 Days

Information about public opening:

Opening date: 27/02/2026 04:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes
Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 100

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Buskerud tingrett

Information about review deadlines: 10 days waiting period

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Organisation processing tenders: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

5.1. Lot: LOT-0003

Title: Sub-contract 1 Groceries - Østfold

Description: Groceries to Østfold County

Internal identifier: 1795814-2

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Østfold (NO083)

Country: Norway

Additional information: County entities in Østfold.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/06/2026

Duration end date: 31/05/2028

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 52 000 000,00 NOK

5.1.6. General information

Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Description: Price

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Description: Product Quality

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Service

Description: System for the tender of replacement goods, Final order confirmation and Functionality and user friendliness in the offered punchout system.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Climate and environmental impacts mapped: The Contracting Authority has assessed that this procurement has the following climate and environmental impacts: • Most forms of food production have a not insignificant climate and/or environmental impact (e.g. meat production, agriculture and processing), and we assess it so that food waste in practice increases this influence. • Deforestation of tropical forests has a negative impact on climate, environment and eco-system. • Tenderers without an environmental management system will, in many cases, have a little conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than tenderers who have. • The use of disposable packaging has a negative impact on climate and the environment, especially the plastic based. • Conventional products have a higher environmental impact by using chemical pesticides. • Imported agricultural products have significantly higher emissions related to transport than Norwegian produced. • Fishing for endangered species or use of methods that increase the risk of extinction affects the local environment and ecosystem. Reducing food waste limits the climate and environmental impact of food production, and we consider it clear that requirements for reduction of food waste will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. By requiring products with risk of deforestation to be certified or not offered, the climate, environment and ecosystem will remain unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. Tenderers with an environmental management system have a greater focus on reducing and a more conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than suppliers who do not, and we therefore consider that it is clear that climate and/or environmental effect will be better than if it were set as the award criterion. The reuse of and/or recycled packaging has significantly reduced impact on climate and environment compared with the use of disposable packaging and it is clear that the requirement for all packaging to be recycled, recyclable or reusable gives a better climate and environmental effect than if it were award criteria. Organic products have a lower environmental impact than conventional products by not using chemical pesticides. In the market dialogue we received information from suppliers that setting the award criteria on the extent of organic products would not ensure us a good selection of these products, as the award criterion would be in "conflict" with the price criteria. We therefore consider it clear that the environmental effect will be improved by making requirements for ecological product for a relatively high proportion of the products in the procurement than if it were set as award criterion. Norwegian-produced agricultural products have significantly less

emissions connected to the transport of imported. We consider that the total combination of requirements for Norwegian agricultural products to be offered in Norwegian seasons, requirements for the assortment to be limited, and weighting the price model for Norwegian agricultural products significantly higher than imports as part of the price criterion (in contract group 2 fresh fruit and vegetables) will give a clearly better environmental effect than if this was set as award criterion for Environment. Requirements for certified sustainable fishing for frozen fish products set the environment and the ecosystem unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better environmental effect than if sustainable fishing and/or certification was set as award criterion. As for transport, the market dialogue states that the market in our region is not sufficiently mature and the deliveries are therefore only required to be carried out with 100% Euro VI vehicles and that the routes shall be optimised so that empty transport is avoided. We consider that there is a major environmental benefit as the tenderers use already procured vehicles for as long as possible, as a high proportion of the emissions take place in the production of vehicles. If we avoid having requirements or criteria for fossil free transport, suppliers will be able to replace the vehicles at the natural and appropriate rate, and our procurement will not contribute to unnecessary production or replacement of vehicles. The market is also not sufficiently mature in regard to fossil free aggregates, which is very important for most deliveries in this framework agreement. The award criteria in accordance with the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 second paragraph shall be replaced in this procurement with climate and environmental requirements. The requirements for all sub-contracts are related to reducing food waste, optimisation of transport routes, deforestation of tropical forests, environmental management system, chemicals and packaging. There are also requirements for reporting on climate emissions, packaging and waste. Contract group 1 Groceries requires 58 organic products, in addition there are requirements regarding minimum orders, third-party fair certification and sustainable fishing. 5% lower mark-up for organic products is required in contract group 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables. We also require that Norwegian-produced agricultural products/fresh fruit and vegetables are made available to the orderers in season, with an option for limiting assortment in ordering solutions and having weighted the price model for Norwegian products significantly higher than that for imported. In contract group 3 Dairy products there are requirements for 10 organic products, and in addition there are requirements for minimum order. The contracting authority considers it clear that this gives a better climate and environmental effect.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 18/02/2026 23:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049&GoTo=Tender>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 26/02/2026 22:59:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 97 Days

Information about public opening:

Opening date: 27/02/2026 04:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 100

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Buskerud tingrett

Information about review deadlines: 10 days waiting period

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Organisation processing tenders: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

5.1. Lot: LOT-0004

Title: Sub-contract 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables - Akershus

Description: Fresh fruit and vegetables to Akershus county

Internal identifier: 1795815-3

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products

Additional classification (cpv): 03221000 Vegetables, 03222110 Tropical fruit, 03222200 Citrus fruit, 03222300 Non-tropical fruit, 15300000 Fruit, vegetables and related products

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Akershus (NO084)

Country: Norway

Additional information: County entities in Akershus.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/06/2026

Duration end date: 31/05/2028

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 20 000 000,00 NOK

5.1.6. General information

Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5.1.10. Award criteria**Criterion:**

Type: Price

Description: Price

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Description: Norwegian produced products in seasonal and Locally produced products

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Service

Description: System for the tender of replacement goods, Final order confirmation and Functionality and user friendliness in the offered punchout system.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Climate and environmental impacts mapped: The Contracting Authority has assessed that this procurement has the following climate and environmental impacts: • Most forms of food production have a not insignificant climate and/or environmental impact (e.g. meat production, agriculture and processing), and we assess it so that food waste in practice increases this influence. • Deforestation of tropical forests has a negative impact on climate, environment and eco-system. • Tenderers without an environmental management system will, in many cases, have a little conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than tenderers who have. • The use of disposable packaging has a negative impact on climate and the environment, especially the plastic based. • Conventional products have a higher environmental impact by using chemical pesticides. • Imported agricultural products have significantly higher emissions related to transport than Norwegian produced. • Fishing for endangered species or use of methods that increase the risk of extinction affects the local environment and ecosystem. Reducing food waste limits the climate and environmental impact of food production, and we consider it clear that requirements for reduction of food waste will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. By requiring products with risk of deforestation to be certified or not offered, the climate, environment and ecosystem will remain unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. Tenderers with an environmental management system have a greater focus on reducing and a more conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than suppliers who do not, and we therefore consider that it is clear that climate and/or environmental effect will be better than if it

were set as the award criterion. The reuse of and/or recycled packaging has significantly reduced impact on climate and environment compared with the use of disposable packaging and it is clear that the requirement for all packaging to be recycled, recyclable or reusable gives a better climate and environmental effect than if it were award criteria. Organic products have a lower environmental impact than conventional products by not using chemical pesticides. In the market dialogue we received information from suppliers that setting the award criteria on the extent of organic products would not ensure us a good selection of these products, as the award criterion would be in "conflict" with the price criteria. We therefore consider it clear that the environmental effect will be improved by making requirements for ecological product for a relatively high proportion of the products in the procurement than if it were set as award criterion. Norwegian-produced agricultural products have significantly less emissions connected to the transport of imported. We consider that the total combination of requirements for Norwegian agricultural products to be offered in Norwegian seasons, requirements for the assortment to be limited, and weighting the price model for Norwegian agricultural products significantly higher than imports as part of the price criterion (in contract group 2 fresh fruit and vegetables) will give a clearly better environmental effect than if this was set as award criterion for Environment. Requirements for certified sustainable fishing for frozen fish products set the environment and the ecosystem unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better environmental effect than if sustainable fishing and/or certification was set as award criterion. As for transport, the market dialogue states that the market in our region is not sufficiently mature and the deliveries are therefore only required to be carried out with 100% Euro VI vehicles and that the routes shall be optimised so that empty transport is avoided. We consider that there is a major environmental benefit as the tenderers use already procured vehicles for as long as possible, as a high proportion of the emissions take place in the production of vehicles. If we avoid having requirements or criteria for fossil free transport, suppliers will be able to replace the vehicles at the natural and appropriate rate, and our procurement will not contribute to unnecessary production or replacement of vehicles. The market is also not sufficiently mature in regard to fossil free aggregates, which is very important for most deliveries in this framework agreement. The award criteria in accordance with the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 second paragraph shall be replaced in this procurement with climate and environmental requirements. The requirements for all sub-contracts are related to reducing food waste, optimisation of transport routes, deforestation of tropical forests, environmental management system, chemicals and packaging. There are also requirements for reporting on climate emissions, packaging and waste. Contract group 1 Groceries requires 58 organic products, in addition there are requirements regarding minimum orders, third-party fair certification and sustainable fishing. 5% lower mark-up for organic products is required in contract group 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables. We also require that Norwegian-produced agricultural products/fresh fruit and vegetables are made available to the orderers in season, with an option for limiting assortment in ordering solutions and having weighted the price model for Norwegian products significantly higher than that for imported. In contract group 3 Dairy products there are requirements for 10 organic products, and in addition there are requirements for minimum order. The contracting authority considers it clear that this gives a better climate and environmental effect.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 18/02/2026 23:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=81049>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=81049&GoTo=Tender>

[MeFormsNoticeld=81049&GoTo=Tender](https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=81049&GoTo=Tender)

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 26/02/2026 22:59:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 97 Days

Information about public opening:

Opening date: 27/02/2026 04:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 100

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Buskerud tingrett

Information about review deadlines: Ten days waiting period

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Organisation processing tenders: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

5.1. Lot: LOT-0005

Title: Sub-contract 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables - Buskerud

Description: Fresh fruit and vegetables to Buskerud County

Internal identifier: 1795816-4

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products

Additional classification (cpv): 03221000 Vegetables, 03222100 Tropical fruit and nuts, 03222200 Citrus fruit, 03222300 Non-tropical fruit, 15300000 Fruit, vegetables and related products

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Buskerud (NO085)

Country: Norway

Additional information: County entities in Buskerud

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/06/2026

Duration end date: 31/05/2028

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 10 000 000,00 NOK

5.1.6. General information

Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Description: Price

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Description: Norwegian produced products in seasonal and Locally produced products

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Service

Description: System for the tender of replacement goods, Final order confirmation and Functionality and user friendliness in the offered punchout system.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Climate and environmental impacts mapped: The Contracting Authority has assessed that this procurement has the following climate and environmental impacts: • Most forms of food production have a not insignificant climate and/or environmental impact (e.g. meat production, agriculture and processing), and we assess it so that food waste in practice increases this influence. • Deforestation of tropical forests has a negative impact on climate, environment and eco-system. • Tenderers without an environmental management system will, in many cases, have a little conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than tenderers who have. • The use of disposable packaging has a negative impact on climate and the environment, especially the plastic based. • Conventional products have a higher

environmental impact by using chemical pesticides. • Imported agricultural products have significantly higher emissions related to transport than Norwegian produced. • Fishing for endangered species or use of methods that increase the risk of extinction affects the local environment and ecosystem. Reducing food waste limits the climate and environmental impact of food production, and we consider it clear that requirements for reduction of food waste will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. By requiring products with risk of deforestation to be certified or not offered, the climate, environment and ecosystem will remain unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. Tenderers with an environmental management system have a greater focus on reducing and a more conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than suppliers who do not, and we therefore consider that it is clear that climate and/or environmental effect will be better than if it were set as the award criterion. The reuse of and/or recycled packaging has significantly reduced impact on climate and environment compared with the use of disposable packaging and it is clear that the requirement for all packaging to be recycled, recyclable or reusable gives a better climate and environmental effect than if it were award criteria. Organic products have a lower environmental impact than conventional products by not using chemical pesticides. In the market dialogue we received information from suppliers that setting the award criteria on the extent of organic products would not ensure us a good selection of these products, as the award criterion would be in "conflict" with the price criteria. We therefore consider it clear that the environmental effect will be improved by making requirements for ecological product for a relatively high proportion of the products in the procurement than if it were set as award criterion. Norwegian-produced agricultural products have significantly less emissions connected to the transport of imported. We consider that the total combination of requirements for Norwegian agricultural products to be offered in Norwegian seasons, requirements for the assortment to be limited, and weighting the price model for Norwegian agricultural products significantly higher than imports as part of the price criterion (in contract group 2 fresh fruit and vegetables) will give a clearly better environmental effect than if this was set as award criterion for Environment. Requirements for certified sustainable fishing for frozen fish products set the environment and the ecosystem unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better environmental effect than if sustainable fishing and/or certification was set as award criterion. As for transport, the market dialogue states that the market in our region is not sufficiently mature and the deliveries are therefore only required to be carried out with 100% Euro VI vehicles and that the routes shall be optimised so that empty transport is avoided. We consider that there is a major environmental benefit as the tenderers use already procured vehicles for as long as possible, as a high proportion of the emissions take place in the production of vehicles. If we avoid having requirements or criteria for fossil free transport, suppliers will be able to replace the vehicles at the natural and appropriate rate, and our procurement will not contribute to unnecessary production or replacement of vehicles. The market is also not sufficiently mature in regard to fossil free aggregates, which is very important for most deliveries in this framework agreement. The award criteria in accordance with the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 second paragraph shall be replaced in this procurement with climate and environmental requirements. The requirements for all sub-contracts are related to reducing food waste, optimisation of transport routes, deforestation of tropical forests, environmental management system, chemicals and packaging. There are also requirements for reporting on climate emissions, packaging and waste. Contract group 1 Groceries requires 58 organic products, in addition there are requirements regarding minimum orders, third-party fair certification and sustainable fishing. 5% lower mark-up for organic products is required in contract group 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables. We also require that Norwegian-produced agricultural products/fresh fruit and vegetables are made available to the

orderers in season, with an option for limiting assortment in ordering solutions and having weighted the price model for Norwegian products significantly higher than that for imported. In contract group 3 Dairy products there are requirements for 10 organic products, and in addition there are requirements for minimum order. The contracting authority considers it clear that this gives a better climate and environmental effect.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 18/02/2026 23:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049&GoTo=Tender>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 26/02/2026 22:59:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 97 Days

Information about public opening:

Opening date: 27/02/2026 04:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 100

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Buskerud tingrett

Information about review deadlines: 10 days waiting period

5.1. Lot: LOT-0006

Title: Sub-contract 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables - Østfold

Description: Fruit and vegetables to Østfold County

Internal identifier: 1795817-5

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products
Additional classification (cpv): 03221000 Vegetables, 03222100 Tropical fruit and nuts, 03222200 Citrus fruit, 03222300 Non-tropical fruit, 15300000 Fruit, vegetables and related products

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Østfold (NO083)

Country: Norway

Additional information: County entities in Østfold.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/06/2026

Duration end date: 31/05/2028

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 14 000 000,00 NOK

5.1.6. General information

Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Description: Price

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Description: Norwegian produced products in seasonal and Locally produced products

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Service

Description: System for the tender of replacement goods, Final order confirmation and Functionality and user friendliness in the offered punchout system.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Climate and environmental impacts mapped: The Contracting Authority has assessed that this procurement has the following climate and environmental impacts: • Most forms of food production have a not insignificant climate and/or environmental impact (e.g. meat production, agriculture and processing), and we assess it so that food waste in practice increases this influence. • Deforestation of tropical forests has a negative impact on climate, environment and eco-system. • Tenderers without an environmental management system will, in many cases, have a little conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than tenderers who have. • The use of disposable packaging has a negative impact on climate and the environment, especially the plastic based. • Conventional products have a higher environmental impact by using chemical pesticides. • Imported agricultural products have significantly higher emissions related to transport than Norwegian produced. • Fishing for endangered species or use of methods that increase the risk of extinction affects the local environment and ecosystem. Reducing food waste limits the climate and environmental impact of food production, and we consider it clear that requirements for reduction of food waste will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. By requiring products with risk of deforestation to be certified or not offered, the climate, environment and ecosystem will remain unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. Tenderers with an environmental management system have a greater focus on reducing and a more conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than suppliers who do not, and we therefore consider that it is clear that climate and/or environmental effect will be better than if it were set as the award criterion. The reuse of and/or recycled packaging has significantly reduced impact on climate and environment compared with the use of disposable packaging and it is clear that the requirement for all packaging to be recycled, recyclable or reusable gives a better climate and environmental effect than if it were award criteria. Organic products have a lower environmental impact than conventional products by not using chemical pesticides. In the market dialogue we received information from suppliers that setting the award criteria on the extent of organic products would not ensure us a good selection of these products, as the award criterion would be in "conflict" with the price criteria. We therefore consider it clear that the environmental effect will be improved by making requirements for ecological product for a relatively high proportion of the products in the procurement than if it were set as award criterion. Norwegian-produced agricultural products have significantly less emissions connected to the transport of imported. We consider that the total combination of requirements for Norwegian agricultural products to be offered in Norwegian seasons, requirements for the assortment to be limited, and weighting the price model for Norwegian agricultural products significantly higher than imports as part of the price criterion (in contract group 2 fresh fruit and vegetables) will give a clearly better environmental effect than if this was set as award criterion for Environment. Requirements for certified sustainable fishing for frozen fish products set the environment and the ecosystem unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better environmental effect than if sustainable fishing and/or certification was set as award criterion. As for transport, the market dialogue states that the market in our region is not sufficiently mature and the deliveries are therefore only required to be carried out with 100% Euro VI vehicles and that the routes shall be optimised so that empty transport is avoided. We consider that there is a major environmental benefit as the tenderers use already procured vehicles for as long as possible, as a high proportion of the emissions take place in the production of vehicles. If we avoid having requirements or criteria for fossil free transport, suppliers will be able to replace the vehicles at the natural and appropriate rate, and our procurement will not contribute to unnecessary production or replacement of vehicles. The market is also not sufficiently mature in regard to fossil free aggregates, which is very important for most deliveries in this framework agreement. The award criteria in accordance

with the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 second paragraph shall be replaced in this procurement with climate and environmental requirements. The requirements for all sub-contracts are related to reducing food waste, optimisation of transport routes, deforestation of tropical forests, environmental management system, chemicals and packaging. There are also requirements for reporting on climate emissions, packaging and waste. Contract group 1 Groceries requires 58 organic products, in addition there are requirements regarding minimum orders, third-party fair certification and sustainable fishing. 5% lower mark-up for organic products is required in contract group 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables. We also require that Norwegian-produced agricultural products/fresh fruit and vegetables are made available to the orderers in season, with an option for limiting assortment in ordering solutions and having weighted the price model for Norwegian products significantly higher than that for imported. In contract group 3 Dairy products there are requirements for 10 organic products, and in addition there are requirements for minimum order. The contracting authority considers it clear that this gives a better climate and environmental effect.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 18/02/2026 23:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=81049>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=81049&GoTo=Tender>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 26/02/2026 22:59:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 97 Days

Information about public opening:

Opening date: 27/02/2026 04:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 100

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Buskerud tingrett

Information about review deadlines: 10 days waiting period

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Organisation processing tenders: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

5.1. Lot: LOT-0007

Title: Sub-contract 3 Dairy products - Akershus

Description: Dairy products to Akershus county

Internal identifier: 1795818-6

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products

Additional classification (cpv): 15500000 Dairy products

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Akershus (NO084)

Country: Norway

Additional information: County entities in Akershus.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/06/2026

Duration end date: 31/05/2028

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 28 000 000,00 NOK

5.1.6. General information

Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Description: Price

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Description: Product Quality

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Service

Description: System for the tender of replacement goods, Final order confirmation and Functionality and user friendliness in the offered punchout system.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Climate and environmental impacts mapped: The Contracting Authority has assessed that this procurement has the following climate and environmental impacts: • Most forms of food production have a not insignificant climate and/or environmental impact (e.g. meat production, agriculture and processing), and we assess it so that food waste in practice increases this influence. • Deforestation of tropical forests has a negative impact on climate, environment and eco-system. • Tenderers without an environmental management system will, in many cases, have a little conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than tenderers who have. • The use of disposable packaging has a negative impact on climate and the environment, especially the plastic based. • Conventional products have a higher environmental impact by using chemical pesticides. • Imported agricultural products have significantly higher emissions related to transport than Norwegian produced. • Fishing for endangered species or use of methods that increase the risk of extinction affects the local environment and ecosystem. Reducing food waste limits the climate and environmental impact of food production, and we consider it clear that requirements for reduction of food waste will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. By requiring products with risk of deforestation to be certified or not offered, the climate, environment and ecosystem will remain unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. Tenderers with an environmental management system have a greater focus on reducing and a more conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than suppliers who do not, and we therefore consider that it is clear that climate and/or environmental effect will be better than if it were set as the award criterion. The reuse of and/or recycled packaging has significantly reduced impact on climate and environment compared with the use of disposable packaging and it is clear that the requirement for all packaging to be recycled, recyclable or reusable gives a better climate and environmental effect than if it were award criteria. Organic products have a lower environmental impact than conventional products by not using chemical pesticides. In the market dialogue we received information from suppliers that setting the award criteria on the extent of organic products would not ensure us a good selection of these products, as the award criterion would be in "conflict" with the price criteria. We therefore consider it clear that the environmental effect will be improved by making requirements for ecological product for a relatively high proportion of the products in the procurement than if it were set as award criterion. Norwegian-produced agricultural products have significantly less emissions connected to the transport of imported. We consider that the total combination of requirements for Norwegian agricultural products to be offered in Norwegian seasons, requirements for the assortment to be limited, and weighting the price model for Norwegian agricultural products significantly higher than imports as part of the price criterion (in contract group 2 fresh fruit and vegetables) will give a clearly better environmental effect than if this was set as award criterion for Environment. Requirements for certified sustainable fishing for frozen fish products set the environment and the ecosystem unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better environmental effect than if sustainable fishing and/or certification was set as award criterion. As for transport, the market dialogue states that the

market in our region is not sufficiently mature and the deliveries are therefore only required to be carried out with 100% Euro VI vehicles and that the routes shall be optimised so that empty transport is avoided. We consider that there is a major environmental benefit as the tenderers use already procured vehicles for as long as possible, as a high proportion of the emissions take place in the production of vehicles. If we avoid having requirements or criteria for fossil free transport, suppliers will be able to replace the vehicles at the natural and appropriate rate, and our procurement will not contribute to unnecessary production or replacement of vehicles. The market is also not sufficiently mature in regard to fossil free aggregates, which is very important for most deliveries in this framework agreement. The award criteria in accordance with the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 second paragraph shall be replaced in this procurement with climate and environmental requirements. The requirements for all sub-contracts are related to reducing food waste, optimisation of transport routes, deforestation of tropical forests, environmental management system, chemicals and packaging. There are also requirements for reporting on climate emissions, packaging and waste. Contract group 1 Groceries requires 58 organic products, in addition there are requirements regarding minimum orders, third-party fair certification and sustainable fishing. 5% lower mark-up for organic products is required in contract group 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables. We also require that Norwegian-produced agricultural products/fresh fruit and vegetables are made available to the orderers in season, with an option for limiting assortment in ordering solutions and having weighted the price model for Norwegian products significantly higher than that for imported. In contract group 3 Dairy products there are requirements for 10 organic products, and in addition there are requirements for minimum order. The contracting authority considers it clear that this gives a better climate and environmental effect.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 18/02/2026 23:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049&GoTo=Tender>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 26/02/2026 22:59:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 97 Days

Information about public opening:

Opening date: 27/02/2026 04:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 100

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Buskerud tingrett

Information about review deadlines: 10 days waiting period

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Organisation processing tenders: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

5.1. Lot: LOT-0008

Title: Sub-contract 3: Dairy products - Buskerud

Description: Dairy products to Buskerud county.

Internal identifier: 1795819-7

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products

Additional classification (cpv): 15500000 Dairy products

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Buskerud (NO085)

Country: Norway

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/06/2026

Duration end date: 31/05/2028

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 14 000 000,00 NOK

5.1.6. General information

Reserved participation:

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Description: Price

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Description: Product Quality

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Service

Description: System for the tender of replacement goods, Final order confirmation and Functionality and user friendliness in the offered punchout system.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Climate and environmental impacts mapped: The Contracting Authority has assessed that this procurement has the following climate and environmental impacts: • Most forms of food production have a not insignificant climate and/or environmental impact (e.g. meat production, agriculture and processing), and we assess it so that food waste in practice increases this influence. • Deforestation of tropical forests has a negative impact on climate, environment and eco-system. • Tenderers without an environmental management system will, in many cases, have a little conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than tenderers who have. • The use of disposable packaging has a negative impact on climate and the environment, especially the plastic based. • Conventional products have a higher environmental impact by using chemical pesticides. • Imported agricultural products have significantly higher emissions related to transport than Norwegian produced. • Fishing for endangered species or use of methods that increase the risk of extinction affects the local environment and ecosystem. Reducing food waste limits the climate and environmental impact of food production, and we consider it clear that requirements for reduction of food waste will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. By requiring products with risk of deforestation to be certified or not offered, the climate, environment and ecosystem will remain unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. Tenderers with an environmental management system have a greater focus on reducing and a more conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than suppliers who do not, and we therefore consider that it is clear that climate and/or environmental effect will be better than if it were set as the award criterion. The reuse of and/or recycled packaging has significantly reduced impact on climate and environment compared with the use of disposable packaging and it is clear that the requirement for all packaging to be recycled, recyclable or reusable gives a better climate and environmental effect than if it were award criteria. Organic products have a lower environmental impact than conventional products by not using chemical pesticides. In the market dialogue we received information from suppliers that setting the award criteria on the extent of organic products would not ensure us a good selection of these products, as the award criterion would be in "conflict" with the price criteria. We therefore consider it clear that the environmental effect will be improved by making requirements for ecological product for a relatively high proportion of the products in the procurement than if it were set as award criterion. Norwegian-produced agricultural products have significantly less emissions connected to the transport of imported. We consider that the total combination of

requirements for Norwegian agricultural products to be offered in Norwegian seasons, requirements for the assortment to be limited, and weighting the price model for Norwegian agricultural products significantly higher than imports as part of the price criterion (in contract group 2 fresh fruit and vegetables) will give a clearly better environmental effect than if this was set as award criterion for Environment. Requirements for certified sustainable fishing for frozen fish products set the environment and the ecosystem unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better environmental effect than if sustainable fishing and/or certification was set as award criterion. As for transport, the market dialogue states that the market in our region is not sufficiently mature and the deliveries are therefore only required to be carried out with 100% Euro VI vehicles and that the routes shall be optimised so that empty transport is avoided. We consider that there is a major environmental benefit as the tenderers use already procured vehicles for as long as possible, as a high proportion of the emissions take place in the production of vehicles. If we avoid having requirements or criteria for fossil free transport, suppliers will be able to replace the vehicles at the natural and appropriate rate, and our procurement will not contribute to unnecessary production or replacement of vehicles. The market is also not sufficiently mature in regard to fossil free aggregates, which is very important for most deliveries in this framework agreement. The award criteria in accordance with the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 second paragraph shall be replaced in this procurement with climate and environmental requirements. The requirements for all sub-contracts are related to reducing food waste, optimisation of transport routes, deforestation of tropical forests, environmental management system, chemicals and packaging. There are also requirements for reporting on climate emissions, packaging and waste. Contract group 1 Groceries requires 58 organic products, in addition there are requirements regarding minimum orders, third-party fair certification and sustainable fishing. 5% lower mark-up for organic products is required in contract group 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables. We also require that Norwegian-produced agricultural products/fresh fruit and vegetables are made available to the orderers in season, with an option for limiting assortment in ordering solutions and having weighted the price model for Norwegian products significantly higher than that for imported. In contract group 3 Dairy products there are requirements for 10 organic products, and in addition there are requirements for minimum order. The contracting authority considers it clear that this gives a better climate and environmental effect.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 18/02/2026 23:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049&GoTo=Tender>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 26/02/2026 22:59:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 97 Days

Information about public opening:

Opening date: 27/02/2026 04:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques**Framework agreement:**

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 100

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Buskerud tingrett

Information about review deadlines: 10 days waiting period

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Organisation processing tenders: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

5.1. Lot: LOT-0009

Title: Sub-contract 3: Dairy products - Østfold

Description: Dairy products to Østfold County

Internal identifier: 1795820-8

5.1.1. Purpose

Main nature of the contract: Supplies

Main classification (cpv): 15000000 Food, beverages, tobacco and related products

Additional classification (cpv): 15500000 Dairy products

5.1.2. Place of performance

Country subdivision (NUTS): Østfold (NO083)

Country: Norway

Additional information: County entities in Østfold.

5.1.3. Estimated duration

Start date: 01/06/2026

Duration end date: 31/05/2028

5.1.4. Renewal

Maximum renewals: 2

Other information about renewals: Renewal length is 12 months

5.1.5. Value

Estimated value excluding VAT: 14 000 000,00 NOK

5.1.6. General information**Reserved participation:**

Participation is not reserved.

Procurement Project not financed with EU Funds.

The procurement is covered by the Government Procurement Agreement (GPA): no

5.1.9. Selection criteria

Sources of selection criteria: European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)

5.1.10. Award criteria

Criterion:

Type: Price

Description: Price

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Description: Product Quality

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 40

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Service

Description: System for the tender of replacement goods, Final order confirmation and Functionality and user friendliness in the offered punchout system.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 20

Criterion:

Type: Quality

Name: Environment

Description: Climate and environmental impacts mapped: The Contracting Authority has assessed that this procurement has the following climate and environmental impacts: • Most forms of food production have a not insignificant climate and/or environmental impact (e.g. meat production, agriculture and processing), and we assess it so that food waste in practice increases this influence. • Deforestation of tropical forests has a negative impact on climate, environment and eco-system. • Tenderers without an environmental management system will, in many cases, have a little conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than tenderers who have. • The use of disposable packaging has a negative impact on climate and the environment, especially the plastic based. • Conventional products have a higher environmental impact by using chemical pesticides. • Imported agricultural products have significantly higher emissions related to transport than Norwegian produced. • Fishing for endangered species or use of methods that increase the risk of extinction affects the local environment and ecosystem. Reducing food waste limits the climate and environmental impact of food production, and we consider it clear that requirements for reduction of food waste will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. By requiring products with risk of deforestation to be certified or not offered, the climate, environment and ecosystem will remain unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better climate and environmental effect than if it were set as award criterion. Tenderers with an environmental management system have a greater focus on reducing and a more conscious relation to their own climate and environmental impact than suppliers who do not, and we therefore consider that it is clear that climate and/or environmental effect will be better than if it were set as the award criterion. The reuse of and/or recycled packaging has significantly reduced impact on climate and environment compared with the use of disposable packaging

and it is clear that the requirement for all packaging to be recycled, recyclable or reusable gives a better climate and environmental effect than if it were award criteria. Organic products have a lower environmental impact than conventional products by not using chemical pesticides. In the market dialogue we received information from suppliers that setting the award criteria on the extent of organic products would not ensure us a good selection of these products, as the award criterion would be in "conflict" with the price criteria. We therefore consider it clear that the environmental effect will be improved by making requirements for ecological product for a relatively high proportion of the products in the procurement than if it were set as award criterion. Norwegian-produced agricultural products have significantly less emissions connected to the transport of imported. We consider that the total combination of requirements for Norwegian agricultural products to be offered in Norwegian seasons, requirements for the assortment to be limited, and weighting the price model for Norwegian agricultural products significantly higher than imports as part of the price criterion (in contract group 2 fresh fruit and vegetables) will give a clearly better environmental effect than if this was set as award criterion for Environment. Requirements for certified sustainable fishing for frozen fish products set the environment and the ecosystem unchanged and we consider it clear that it will have a better environmental effect than if sustainable fishing and/or certification was set as award criterion. As for transport, the market dialogue states that the market in our region is not sufficiently mature and the deliveries are therefore only required to be carried out with 100% Euro VI vehicles and that the routes shall be optimised so that empty transport is avoided. We consider that there is a major environmental benefit as the tenderers use already procured vehicles for as long as possible, as a high proportion of the emissions take place in the production of vehicles. If we avoid having requirements or criteria for fossil free transport, suppliers will be able to replace the vehicles at the natural and appropriate rate, and our procurement will not contribute to unnecessary production or replacement of vehicles. The market is also not sufficiently mature in regard to fossil free aggregates, which is very important for most deliveries in this framework agreement. The award criteria in accordance with the Public Procurement Regulations § 7-9 second paragraph shall be replaced in this procurement with climate and environmental requirements. The requirements for all sub-contracts are related to reducing food waste, optimisation of transport routes, deforestation of tropical forests, environmental management system, chemicals and packaging. There are also requirements for reporting on climate emissions, packaging and waste. Contract group 1 Groceries requires 58 organic products, in addition there are requirements regarding minimum orders, third-party fair certification and sustainable fishing. 5% lower mark-up for organic products is required in contract group 2 Fresh fruit and vegetables. We also require that Norwegian-produced agricultural products/fresh fruit and vegetables are made available to the orderers in season, with an option for limiting assortment in ordering solutions and having weighted the price model for Norwegian products significantly higher than that for imported. In contract group 3 Dairy products there are requirements for 10 organic products, and in addition there are requirements for minimum order. The contracting authority considers it clear that this gives a better climate and environmental effect.

Category of award weight criterion: Weight (percentage, exact)

Award criterion number: 0

5.1.11. Procurement documents

Deadline for requesting additional information: 18/02/2026 23:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Address of the procurement documents: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeld=81049>

5.1.12. Terms of procurement

Terms of submission:

Electronic submission: Required

Address for submission: <https://tendsign.com/doc.aspx?MeFormsNoticeId=81049&GoTo=Tender>

Languages in which tenders or requests to participate may be submitted: Norwegian

Electronic catalogue: Allowed

Deadline for receipt of tenders: 26/02/2026 22:59:58 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Duration during which the tender must remain valid: 97 Days

Information about public opening:

Opening date: 27/02/2026 04:00:00 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Terms of contract:

The execution of the contract must be performed within the framework of sheltered employment programmes: No

A non-disclosure agreement is required: no

Electronic invoicing: Required

Electronic ordering will be used: yes

Electronic payment will be used: yes

5.1.15. Techniques

Framework agreement:

Framework agreement, without reopening of competition

Maximum number of participants: 100

Information about the dynamic purchasing system:

No dynamic purchase system

5.1.16. Further information, mediation and review

Review organisation: Buskerud tingrett

Information about review deadlines: 10 days waiting period

Organisation receiving requests to participate: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Organisation processing tenders: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

8. Organisations

8.1. ORG-0001

Official name: Anskaffelser i Akershus, Buskerud og Østfold KO

Registration number: 932593688

Department: Anskaffelser

Postal address: Hauges gate 89

Town: Drammen

Postcode: 3019

Country subdivision (NUTS): Buskerud (NO085)

Country: Norway

Contact point: Christian Hazeland

Email: christiah@bfk.no

Telephone: +47

Roles of this organisation:

Buyer

Organisation receiving requests to participate
Organisation processing tenders

8.1. **ORG-0002**

Official name: Buskerud tingrett

Registration number: 826 726 342

Postal address: Postboks 1066 Bragernes

Town: Drammen

Postcode: 3001

Country subdivision (NUTS): Buskerud (NO085)

Country: Norway

Email: buskerud.tingrett@domstol.no

Telephone: 32 21 16 00

Internet address: <https://www.domstol.no/no/domstoler/tingrett/buskerud-tingrett/>

Roles of this organisation:

Review organisation

10. Change

Version of the previous notice to be changed

:

b1983722-7b6a-4a3a-b8be-347686bc306a-01

Main reason for change

:

Information updated

Description

:

New tender deadline

10.1. **Change**

Section identifier: PROCEDURE

Description of changes: Tilbudsfrist er forlenget.

10.1. **Change**

Section identifier: LOT-0001

10.1. **Change**

Section identifier: LOT-0002

10.1. **Change**

Section identifier: LOT-0003

10.1. **Change**

Section identifier: LOT-0004

10.1. **Change**

Section identifier: LOT-0005

10.1. **Change**

Section identifier: LOT-0006

10.1. **Change**

Section identifier: LOT-0007

10.1. Change

Section identifier: LOT-0008

10.1. Change

Section identifier: LOT-0009

Notice information

Notice identifier/version: 06aeefe-9810-439d-8975-eb339d74a3c4 - 01

Form type: Competition

Notice type: Contract or concession notice – standard regime

Notice subtype: 16

Notice dispatch date: 27/01/2026 11:51:22 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Notice dispatch date (eSender): 27/01/2026 11:51:38 (UTC+00:00) Western European Time, GMT

Languages in which this notice is officially available: English

Notice publication number: 61483-2026

OJ S issue number: 19/2026

Publication date: 28/01/2026