

315261-2026 - Kilpailu

Tanska – Suurjännitekaapelit – 25/14408 - Purchase 2026 for 145/170 kV AC Cables

OJ S 88/2026 07/05/2026

Hankintailmoitus tai käyttöoikeussopimusta koskeva ilmoitus – vakiojärjestelmä

Tavarahankinnat - Palvelut

1. Ostaja

1.1. Ostaja

Virallinen nimi: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Sähköposti: indkob@energinet.dk

Ostajan oikeudellinen muoto: Julkinen yritys

Hankintayksikön toimiala: Sähkön liittyvät toiminnot

2. Menettely

2.1. Menettely

Ilmoituksen nimi: 25/14408 - Purchase 2026 for 145/170 kV AC Cables

Kuvaus: Through the establishment of four (4) Agreements (4 lots), the Contracting Authority intends to procure complete and fully functional supplies of several 145 and 170 kV AC cable systems, as further specified in Appendix 4, Time schedule - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables (Doc. 25/14408-55). Each Agreement (lot) covers specified supplies intended for multiple projects. For each individual project, a specified contract will be made according to the Contract agreement. The scope for each of the four lots is described in detail in the tender documents. Further details concern-ing the procurement are provided in the appendices to the tender documents. Prequalification: It is possible to apply for prequalification for all four lots. The application should clearly state for which lot it concerns. If an applicant applies for more than one lot but does not have the capacity for all such lots, this must be clearly stated in the application. Case: As part of the technical description, the Supplier must submit a proposal for an example case solution. The example case solution must be based on the elements described therein as well as the case documents 26/05333 (1-9). Award: The Agreements will be awarded per Lot directly to the tenderer who has submitted the most economically advantageous tender for each Contract, see section 4.1. A tenderer may be awarded one or more lots as described: The Contracts are divided into four (4) lots, with the expected scope and award conditions set out below: 1.Lot 1 (NEA project (app. 820 km)): Lot 1 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. The evaluation of Lot 1 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 122.100.000 inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project. 2. Lot 2 (3N project (app. 585 km)): Lot 2 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. All tenderers are eligible to submit bids for this lot. The evaluation of Lot 2 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 88,000,000, inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project. 3.Lot 3 (various 145kV projects Northwest Seeland (DK2) (app. 780 km)): Lot 3 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. However, the tender submitted by the winner of Lot 1 will be excluded from the evaluation of this lot. The evaluation of Lot 3 will be conducted independently and will

not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 112.200.000 inclusive option for 10 % additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project. 4.Lot 4 (various projects Jutland (DK1) (app. 595 km)): Lot 4 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. However, the tender submitted by the winner of Lot 2 will be excluded from the evaluation of this lot. The evaluation of Lot 1 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 90.200.000 inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project. Based on continuous improvements in fx. optimized manufacturing, project management, procurement etc. in the duration of the Agreement, Supplier shall implement an annual cost reduction of 2% on the baseline price list (doc. 25/14408-54) included in Supplier's final tender (Appendix 5), excluding any agreed price regulation. The baseline price list shall be adjusted annually by applying the 2% reduction to the most recently updated baseline price list (see also Contract Agreement doc. 25/14408-17 Clause 5.3).

Menettelyn tunniste: 151adfb8-7488-4f39-8393-277dac486644

Sisäinen tunniste: 700324

Menettelyn tyyppi: Tarjouskilpailukutsun julkaisemisen jälkeinen neuvottelumenettely / tarjousperusteinen neuvottelumenettely

Käytetään nopeutettua menettelyä: ei

Menettelyn tärkeimmät piirteet: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive.

2.1.1. Tarkoitus

Pääasiallinen hankintalaji: Tavarahankinnat

Täydentävä hankintalaji: Palvelut

Pääasiallinen luokitus (cpv): 31321300 Suurjännitekaapelit

2.1.2. Suorituspaikka

Maa: Tanska

Missä tahansa tietyn maan alueella

2.1.3. Arvo

Ennakoitu arvo ilman arvonlisäveroa: 412 500 000,00 EUR

2.1.4. Yleistä tietoa

Lisätiedot: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal

form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, www.kfst.dk (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

Oikeusperusta:

Direktiivi 2014/25/EU

2.1.5. Hankinnan ehdot

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen jättämisen ehdot:

Osien enimmäismäärä, joiden osalta yksi tarjoaja voi jättää tarjouksia: 4

Sopimusehdot:

Osien enimmäismäärä, joista voidaan tehdä sopimus yhden tarjoajan kanssa: 3

2.1.6. Poissulkemisperusteet

Poissulkemisperusteiden ilmoittamispaikka: Ilmoitus

Ammatin harjoittamiseen liittyvä vakava virhe: Is the economic operator guilty of grave professional misconduct? Where applicable, see definitions in national law, the relevant notice or the procurement documents.

Talouden toimijan hankintamenettelyyn osallistumisesta johtuvat eturistiriidat: Is the economic operator aware of any conflict of interest, as indicated in national law, the relevant notice or the procurement documents due to its participation in the procurement procedure?

Välitön tai välillinen osallistuminen tämän hankintamenettelyn valmisteluun: Has the economic operator or an undertaking related to it advised the contracting authority or contracting entity or otherwise been involved in the preparation of the procurement procedure?

Väärien tietojen antaminen, tietojen salaaminen, vaadittujen asiakirjojen toimittamatta jättäminen tai tätä menettelyä koskevien luottamuksellisten tietojen saaminen: Can the economic operator confirm that: a) It has been guilty of serious misrepresentation in supplying the information required for the verification of the absence of grounds for exclusion or the fulfilment of the selection criteria, b) It has withheld such information, c) It has not been able, without delay, to submit the supporting documents required by a contracting authority or contracting entity, and d) It has undertaken to unduly influence the decision making process of the contracting authority or contracting entity, to obtain confidential information that may confer

upon it undue advantages in the procurement procedure or to negligently provide misleading information that may have a material influence on decisions concerning exclusion, selection or award?

Terrorismirikokset tai terroritoimintaan liittyvät rikokset: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for terrorist offences or offences linked to terrorist activities, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Articles 1 and 3 of Council Framework Decision of 13 June 2002 on combating terrorism (OJ L 164, 22.6.2002, p. 3). This exclusion ground also includes inciting or aiding or abetting or attempting to commit an offence, as referred to in Article 4 of that Framework Decision. For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Sosiaaliturvamaksujen maksamiseen liittyvän velvoitteen rikkominen: Has the economic operator breached its obligations relating to the payment social security contributions, both in the country in which it is established and in Member State of the contracting authority or contracting entity if other than the country of establishment? For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Verojen maksamiseen liittyvän velvoitteen rikkominen: The contracting entity shall exclude a candidate or tenderer who has unpaid overdue debts of DKK 100,000 or more to public authorities relating to taxes, duties or social security contributions under Danish law or the law of the country in which the applicant or tenderer is established. Documentation: in accordance with sections 152-153 of the Danish Public Procurement Act.

Rikollisjärjestön toimintaan osallistuminen: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for participation in a criminal organisation, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA of 24 October 2008 on the fight against organised crime (OJ L 300, 11.11.2008, p. 42). For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish

Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Rahanpesu tai terrorismin rahoitus: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for money laundering or terrorist financing, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Article 1 of Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 October 2005 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing (OJ L 309, 25.11.2005, p. 15). For Danish applicants /tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants /tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Petos: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for fraud, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? Within the meaning of Article 1 of the Convention on the protection of the European Communities' financial interests (OJ C 316, 27.11.1995, p. 48). For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Lapsityövoima ja muut ihmiskaupan muodot: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for child labour and other forms of trafficking in human beings, by a conviction rendered at the

most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Article 2 of Directive 2011/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2011 on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims, and replacing Council Framework Decision 2002/629/JHA (OJ L 101, 15.4.2011, p. 1). For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

Korruptio: Has the economic operator itself or any person who is a member of its administrative, management or supervisory body or has powers of representation, decision or control therein been the subject of a conviction by final judgment for corruption, by a conviction rendered at the most five years ago or in which an exclusion period set out directly in the conviction continues to be applicable? As defined in Article 3 of the Convention on the fight against corruption involving officials of the European Communities or officials of Member States of the European Union, OJ C 195, 25.6.1997, p. 1, and in Article 2(1) of Council Framework Decision 2003/568/JHA of 22 July 2003 on combating corruption in the private sector (OJ L 192, 31.7.2003, p. 54). This exclusion ground also includes corruption as defined in the national law of the contracting authority (contracting entity) or the economic operator. "

For Danish applicants/tenderers the documentation is entailed in the "Serviceattest" which can be acquired from the Danish Business Authority. The time frame from request of a Serviceattest until receipt is usually two weeks. For foreign applicants/tenderers it is the experience of the Contracting Authority that the time frame for receipt of this documentation varies within the individual member states. As a result, The Contracting Authority strongly advises foreign applicants/tenderers to obtain this documentation as early as possible. Preferably the documentation should be ready and available already in connection with the prequalification. The EU Commission has launched e-CERTIS, a free, on-line source of information to help companies and contracting authorities to deal with different forms of documentary evidence required when tendering for public contracts. Information regarding relevant certificates can be obtained from: <https://ec.europa.eu/tools/ecertis/#/search>

5. Osa

5.1. Osa: LOT-0001

Ilmoituksen nimi: Lot 1 - NEA project (app. 820 km):

Kuvaus: Lot 1 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. The evaluation of Lot 1 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 122.100.000 inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and /or changes in the project.

Sisäinen tunnistus: 712310

5.1.1. Tarkoitus

Pääasiallinen hankintalaji: Tavarahankinnat

Täydentävä hankintalaji: Palvelut
Pääasiallinen luokitus (cpv): 31321300 Suurjännitekaapelit
Lisäluokitus (cpv): 31321300 Suurjännitekaapelit

Optiot:

Optioiden kuvaus: 10% Extra volume

5.1.2. Suorituspaikka

Maa: Tanska

Missä tahansa tietyn maan alueella

5.1.3. Arvioitu kesto

Alkamispäivä: 01/12/2026

Kesto: 5 Vuodet

5.1.4. Uusiminen

Sopimuksen optiokausien enimmäismäärä: 0

5.1.5. Arvo

Ennakoitu arvo ilman arvonlisäveroa: 122 100 000,00 EUR

5.1.6. Yleistä tietoa

Varattu osallistuminen:

Osallistumista ei ole varattu.

Hankintasopimuksen toteuttamiseen osoitetun henkilöstön nimet ja ammatillinen pätevyys on ilmoitettava: Ei vielä tiedossa

Hankintaa ei ole rahoitettu EU:n varoista

Hankintaan sovelletaan WTO:n julkisia hankintoja koskevaa sopimusta (GPA): kyllä

Lisätiedot: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, www.kfst.dk (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary

associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

5.1.9. Valintaperusteet

Valintaperusteiden ilmoittamispaikka: Ilmoitus

Kriteeri: Taloudellinen suhdeluku

Valintaperusteen kuvaus: The applicant must confirm the economic ability in the ESPD part IV. B with information from the latest annual report regarding the following economic indicators: 1. Equity (total equity incl. share capital, reserves, revaluation, retained earning etc.) 2. Equity ratio (equity/total assets). This must be stated with two decimals. If the applicant relies on the capacities of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the information for the ESPD part IV.B must also be given in a separate ESPD from each of these entities. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to secure that the minimum requirements are reached based on consolidation when the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or when the applicant is a group of economic operators. Minimum requirement: Lot 1: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 38.822.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 116.466.000. Lot 2: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.780.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 80.340.000. Lot 3: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 31.000.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 93.000.000. Lot 4: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.105.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 78.315.000.

Soveltuvuusvaatimusta käytetään valittaessa menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavia ehdokkaita

Kriteeri: Viitteet määriteltyihin toimituksiin

Valintaperusteen kuvaus: Technical and professional ability for all 4 lots The suitability of applicants fulfilling the minimum requirements to economic and financial standing will be assessed based on technical and professional ability. The ability will be assessed based on references which can be filled in ESPD part IV.C. The applicant is allowed to submit up to 3 most comparable and relevant references undertaken in the past 5 years as part of the application. By "undertaken in the past 5 years" implies that the reference must not have been completed prior to the date reached when counting 5 years backwards from the submission date for prequalification. References older than this will not be taken into consideration. References which have not yet been fully completed can be included as part of the application, however the applicant must describe the parts which have not yet been delivered, and this may affect the evaluation of the application, cf. section 2.3. Further, if references are obtained in collaboration with other parties, the applicant should clearly explain which elements have been carried out by the applicant. The references should describe deliveries of 145kV and 170 kV AC Cables and include: 1. Description of scope of work: A specific description of the work

performed for each relevant reference (The 130 kV – 170 kV voltage level), e.g.: - design, - fabrication, - factory testing, - supply, - transport, - construction (civil works not included), - installation (joints, terminations, AIS, GIS)), - completion, - site testing, - commissioning The description should also state where the work was performed. 2. Description similar to #1 for one project of minimum 50 km single core cable length. 3. The deliveries in the references are tested according to IEC 60840. 4. Contract value (if possible) 5 Contact information for the entity in question (including contact person) 6. Date of initiation and date of final delivery (day, month, year) 7. Country of installation PQ-test (also a technical minimum requirement): For new cable projects, cable manufacturers can only be qualified as suppliers, if a Prequalification Test (PQ test), not older than ten (10) years, is available at the beginning of the tendering process. For cable systems rated up to and including 87/150 (170) kV, where a PQ test report is not available, a detailed description of the historical performance of similar cable systems from the same cable and accessories manufacturer can constitute as a valid PQ test. Furthermore, it should be noted that Energinet accepts the modified interpretation of the PQ tests pro-posed in Cigré TB 303. Energinet deems a PQ test to verify only the production capabilities of the specific production site. A PQ test is therefore non-transferable to other manufacturing sites. Any ambiguities and/or incomprehensibilities may have a negative effect on the selection of applicants. Please note the “description” box in ESPD part IV.C. can contain more text than is visible and it is possible to copy paste text into the box. If the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the maximum number of references to be submitted must still be respected and cannot exceed 3 when combined. If more are submitted, the Contracting Authority will only consider the most recent references determined by date of final delivery, in this case not yet finished references will not be included. If the applicant relies on other entities’ capacity or is part of a group of economic operators the information concerning ESPD part IV.C must be submitted in separate ESPD’s for each entity.

Soveltuvuusvaatimusta käytetään valittaessa menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavia ehdokkaita

Tiedot kaksivaiheisen menettelyn jälkimmäisestä vaiheesta:

Menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavien ehdokkaiden vähimmäismäärä: 4

Menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavien ehdokkaiden enimmäismäärä: 10

Menettely etenee peräkkäisissä vaiheissa. Kussakin vaiheessa osa osallistujista voidaan karsia

5.1.10. Vertailuperusteet

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Hinta

Kuvaus: Price Prices must be stated in the attached Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 for each lot the tenderer submits a tender for, and the defined price elements must be completed herein. Prices must be stated exclusive of VAT but inclusive of all other expenses associated with the purchase. The evaluation price of delivery in Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 must be based on the Unit Prices for delivery from the three transportation offers in the example case, documents 26/05333 (1-9). These prices will form the unit prices used for the evaluation in 25/14408 54 Schedules of Prices – Purchase 2026 for 145 & 170 kV AC Cables, Lots 1–4. For evaluation purposes: • Lot 3 145kV shall use 170 kV, 1000 m drums as the reference transport price for both 1000 m and 1500 m lengths. • Any 1200 mm² transport prices shall also be based on 170 kV, 1000 m drums. Prices must be stated in EUR When evaluating 'Price', the Contracting Authority will evaluate the Total Cost for each lot. The overall evaluation per lot will be based on the Total cost for evaluation per lot: Lot 1: Cell L11 Lot 2: Cell L11 Lot 3: Cell L11 Lot 4: Cell L11

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 45

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvaus: Technical/Project Execution/Case Study For each lot the evaluation will be based on a description of the offered Technical Solution and the Project Execution along with the Case Study (as described in section 1.4). The following documents are expected to be general. The description should demonstrate the technical level of the solution, and include these elements:

- Qualification reports (PQ-test, Type Test etc.) from the facilities that are to be used for production.
- Filled out in Appendix 2E - EGS-0084 Handover Specification Cables - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, for proposed 1200 mm² and 2500 mm² cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV.
- High voltage cables electrical design reports for proposed 1200 mm² and 2500 mm² cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV.
- Supplier's datasheets for all accessories (joints, terminations, link boxes, SVLs, bonding cables, ECC, cleats).
- Drum drawings.
- Transportation, lifting and storage instructions for cable drums and accessories.
- Storage manual for spare parts.
- Maintenance manual.

The following documents should be considered for the Case Study only:

- Plan of Works o Should include a description of a workweek (cable pull, transporting of jointing container, preparation of cable, curing of resin, etc.)
- Detailed time schedule for the works (production, testing, transport, installation)
- Project specific organization chart (during all of the different phases of the project)
- Components updated in table in doc. no. 26/05333-7.
- Cable pulling and accessories interface document
- RAMS for work under induced voltage
- ITPs relevant for the case
- Sheath test procedure.
- Solutions for all three joint bay designs described in section 6.7 in ETS-0067

The solution of the Case Study should be based on following documents:

- Doc. no. 25/14408-56, 26/05333-1, 26/05333-2, 26/05333-3, 26/05333-4, 26/05333-6, 26/05333-7, 26/05333-8, 26/05333-9 in the Tender material.

The Contracting Authority will focus on the documentation provided by the tenderers who are encouraged to submit detailed and thorough responses as the below listed elements will be of particular importance in the evaluation: General technical solution 1)

System design: The quality of the engineering documentation (based on design documentation, calculations, values, and statements), including the extent to which this provides evidence that the system is fit for purpose according to the Technical Requirements. Further, the robustness of the solution including documentation and calculations supporting this will be favored. In this context it will be considered positive if the solution provides evidence of having very robust jackets, a high level of pulling force, and provides evidence of water-proof design of joints and link-boxes. 2) Minimum allowed bending radius: The minimum allowed bending radius during installation and after installation (in final position at terminations) is equally evaluated. A larger bending radius is evaluated negatively. 4) Allowable roller pressure: Allowable roller pressure will be evaluated. A higher roller pressure will be evaluated positively. 5) Lay length of screen wires (if applicable): Concerning lay length of screen wires (in multiple of screen diameter), longer lay length will be evaluated negatively. 6) Electrical stress: As for electrical stress at the insulation screen (outer semiconducting layer), a lower electrical stress will be evaluated positively. 7) AC resistance: Will be evaluated based on a combination of the tenderers stated value and quality of available documentation to support this. A lower AC resistance will be evaluated positively. Higher similarity of tested conductor to offered will be evaluated positively. 8) Current rating: Both continuous and short-term rating will be evaluated. A higher current rating will be evaluated positively. 9) Storage instructions: Long shelf life and ease of storage of components will be evaluated positively. 10) Maintenance: Maintenance free systems will be evaluated positively. High maintenance systems will be evaluated negatively. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Case Study When evaluating the "Case Study" it will be evaluated positively to what degree

the tenderer shows a robust, realistic, and operational technical solution along with a realistic plan of works and time schedule. Furthermore, it is important and will be evaluated positively that there is a clear and easy path from the line diagram component types to the datasheet EGS-0084 and to the Supplier accessories datasheets. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion, with focus on installation, setup and testing. The quality of the plan for installation of accessories and plan for testing during installation. It will be evaluated positively that the documents are detailed, practical and provide evidence of a solution which will secure ease of execution of work on site.

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 30

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvaus: Programme, organization and manpower For each Lot the evaluation will be based on: Programme: For the evaluation of the “Lot Programme” the tenderer should include a detailed and robust programme which outlines how the activities will be planned from contract commencement date until taking-over certificates has been issued. Organization and manpower: Management, construction, and installation is of immense importance to execution of the project on site in Denmark. The tenderer should include a description which covers: • The Gate System described in Scope of Works doc. no. 25/14408-41. Concerning the activities on site in Denmark the tenderer should include a detailed description of the manpower used on site for supervision and jointing work. The description should also cover: • Organizational diagram for the overarching contract structure • Overall structure including roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation • Interfaces towards Energinet and other external parties e.g. the civil work contractor on site in Denmark including lines of communication. Reference is made to doc. no. 25/14408-55. The evaluation will be based on to which degree the tenderer demonstrates a well-planned, realistic, and robust time schedule /programme, which allows sufficient time for potential contingencies, but still provides evidence of a high level of security for delivery within the timeframe as set out. In this context it will be evaluated positive that: The description and the Lot Programme reflect a realistic, and frontloaded, programme for the critical activities including start-up of Overarching Contract and delivery of all general documentation, factory visits, kick-off meetings, etc. It should contain an Overarching contract organisation chart, with clear roles and responsibilities, along with contact information for each role. The description and programme contain a robust production schedule including all tests, delivery time, robust planning for installation of joints and cable terminations, and supervision activities on site and the associated manpower. The description demonstrates the tenderer’s ability to identify and overcome known risks in the production, transportation, and installation phases. The description demonstrates the tenderer’s ability to handle the constant flow of circuit specific orders under the Agreement and an understanding of the Gate System. The description provides an operational organisation on site in Denmark, with clear roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation. Further, interfaces are handled with clear lines for communication. The ability to extend personnel on site in case of unforeseen delays concerning the jointing work is also covered by the description and ensures efficient execution at all times. It will be evaluated positively that the Plan contains flexibility regarding installations date, but it will be evaluated negatively if the plan shows a significantly later installation date than the date in doc. no. 25/14408-55. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 10

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvas: Contract Terms For the evaluation of the sub-criteria the tenderer is allowed to propose deviations to the elements of the contract identified as competitive elements in the contract terms. The contract terms consist of: • Contract Agreement, doc.no. 25/14408-17 • Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18 • Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32 • Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55 The deviations should be enclosed in the clarification list c.f. doc.no. 25/14408-14 and be included in the spread-sheet marked "Legal clarification". The proposed deviations should be explicit and with explanation as to why they are made. Contract terms listed in section 3.7.1 are mandatory parts and deviations to these are not accepted. The tendered contract must be performed based on the contract terms. The tenderer is allowed to propose explicit and well-explained deviations to the following listed competitive elements: In Contract Agreement Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, doc.no. 25/14408-17: Sub-Clause 5.3 (Annual cost reduction) Clause 6 (Additional Provisions), limited to: • Cancellation or postponement of production slot • Repair preparedness In Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32: - Sub-Clause 15.1 (Maximum amount of liquidated damages) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.1 (Defects notification period) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.6 in Section 7 (Contract data) both in terms of "Defects notification period for replaced and repaired parts" and "Maximum defect notification period". - Sub-Clause 17.2 (Limitation of liability) in Section 7 (Contract data). In Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18: - Clause 10 (Time for Completion – except for sub-clause 10.1) - Clause 11 (Taking Over) - Clause 14 (Intellectual property rights) - Clause 15 (Late-delivery and non-delivery) - Clause 16 (Defects and remedies) - Clause 17 (Limitation of liability) - Clause 18 (Product liability towards Customer) - Clause 20 (Indemnification) - Clause 28 (Termination) - Clause 29 (Termination for convenience) In Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55: - The liquidated damages stated in "Liquidated damages for late completion". Deviations to these elements will be included in the evaluation and it is noted that any deviations may lead to a reduction of the score depending on the content, clarity, and consequence of the deviation. In this regard it is explicitly noted that deviations which are intended to limit liability and shift the financial risk in favour of the tenderer will be of particular importance in the evaluation. If the tenderer has no deviations to the contract terms, the maximum score of 10 will be awarded. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.
Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)
Vertailuperusteen luku: 15

5.1.11. Hankinta-asiakirjat

Hankinta-asiakirjojen osoite: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

5.1.12. Hankinnan ehdot

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen jättämisen ehdot:

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen jättäminen sähköisesti: Pakollinen

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen toimitusosoite: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

Kielet, joilla tarjoukset tai osallistumishakemukset voidaan toimittaa: englanti

Sähköinen luettelo: Ei sallittu

Vaihtoehtoiset tarjoukset: Ei sallittu

Tarjoaja voi jättää useamman kuin yhden tarjouksen: Ei sallittu

Osallistumishakemusten vastaanottamisen määräaika: 22/05/2026 12:00:00 (UTC+02:00) Itä-Euroopan aika, Keski-Euroopan kesäaika

Tiedot, joita voidaan täydentää myös tarjousten jättämislle asetetun määräajan jälkeen

:

Hankkijan harkinnan mukaan osa puuttuvista hakuasiakirjoista voidaan toimittaa myöhemmin.

Lisätiedot: See tender material.

Sopimusehdot:

Sopimuksen täytäntöönpano on rajattu tehtäväksi suojatyöohjelmien puitteissa: Ei

Sopimuksen täytäntöönpanoa koskevat ehdot: See contract template

Salassapitosopimus (NDA) vaaditaan: ei

Sähköinen laskutus: Pakollinen

Tilaukset tehdään sähköisesti: kyllä

Maksut tehdään sähköisesti: kyllä

Rahoitusjärjestelyn kuvaus: See the tender material. The tenderer's legal form is not required.

If applications and tenders are submitted by a consortium, all participants in the consortium must be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the contract and a consortium member must be appointed with whom a binding agreement can be concluded on behalf of the consortium

5.1.15. Menetelmät

Puitejärjestely:

Ei puitejärjestelyä

Tietoa dynaamisesta hankintajärjestelmästä:

Ei dynaamista hankintajärjestelmää

5.1.16. Lisätietoja, sovittelu ja muutoksenhaku

Muutoksenhakuelin: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement

Tietoa muutoksenhaun määräajoista: According to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement Act etc. (the Act can be downloaded at www.retsinformation.dk), the following deadlines for filing a complaint apply: Complaints about not having been selected must be submitted to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement within 20 calendar days, cf. Section 7(1) of the Act, from the day after sending a notification to the candidates concerned about who has been selected, when the notification is accompanied by a statement of reasons for the decision in accordance with Section 2(1)(1) of the Act and Section 171(2) of the Public Procurement Act. In other situations, complaints about tenders, cf. Section 7(2) of the Act, must be submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement within: 1) 45 calendar days after the contracting entity has published a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union that it has entered into a contract. The deadline is calculated from the day after the date on which the announcement has been published. 2) 20 calendar days from the day after the contracting entity has announced its decision, cf. Section 185(2) of the Public Procurement Act. No later than the same time that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement, the complainant must inform the contracting entity in writing that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement and whether the complaint has been submitted during the standstill period, cf. Section 6(4) of the Act. In cases where the complaint has not been submitted during the standstill period, the complainant must also state whether the complaint is to be given suspensive effect, cf. Section 12(1) of the Act. The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's e-mail address is stated in Section VI.4.1). The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's complaint guide can be found at: www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk.

Hankintamenettelystä lisätietoja antava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Hankinta-asiakirjoja offline-tilassa tarjoava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Muutoksenhakumenettelyjen määräaajoista lisätietoja tarjoava organisaatio: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority
Osallistumishakemuksia vastaanottava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S
Tarjouksia käsittelevä organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

5.1. Osa: LOT-0002

Ilmoituksen nimi: Lot 2 - 3N project (app. 585 km)

Kuvaus: Lot 2 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. All tenderers are eligible to submit bids for this lot. The evaluation of Lot 2 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 88,000,000, inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and/or changes in the project.

Sisäinen tunniste: 712311

5.1.1. Tarkoitus

Pääasiallinen hankintalaji: Tavarahankinnat

Täydentävä hankintalaji: Palvelut

Pääasiallinen luokitus (cpv): 31321300 Suurjännitekaapelit

Optiot:

Optioiden kuvaus: 10% Extra volume

5.1.2. Suorituspaikka

Maa: Tanska

Missä tahansa tietyn maan alueella

5.1.3. Arvioitu kesto

Alkamispäivä: 01/12/2026

Kesto: 5 Vuodet

5.1.4. Uusiminen

Sopimuksen optiokausien enimmäismäärä: 0

5.1.5. Arvo

Ennakoitu arvo ilman arvonlisäveroa: 88 000 000,00 EUR

5.1.6. Yleistä tietoa

Varattu osallistuminen:

Osallistumista ei ole varattu.

Hankintasopimuksen toteuttamiseen osoitetun henkilöstön nimet ja ammatillinen pätevyys on ilmoitettava: Ei vielä tiedossa

Hankintaa ei ole rahoitettu EU:n varoista

Hankintaan sovelletaan WTO:n julkisia hankintoja koskevaa sopimusta (GPA): kyllä

Lisätiedot: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal

form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, www.kfst.dk (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

5.1.9. Valintaperusteet

Valintaperusteiden ilmoittamispaikka: Ilmoitus

Kriteeri: Taloudellinen suhdeluku

Valintaperusteen kuvaus: The applicant must confirm the economic ability in the ESPD part IV. B with information from the latest annual report regarding the following economic indicators: 1. Equity (total equity incl. share capital, reserves, revaluation, retained earning etc.) 2. Equity ratio (equity/total assets). This must be stated with two decimals. If the applicant relies on the capacities of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the information for the ESPD part IV.B must also be given in a separate ESPD from each of these entities. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to secure that the minimum requirements are reached based on consolidation when the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or when the applicant is a group of economic operators. Minimum requirement: Lot 1: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 38.822.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 116.466.000. Lot 2: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.780.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 80.340.000. Lot 3: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 31.000.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 93.000.000. Lot 4: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.105.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 78.315.000.

Soveltuvuusvaatimusta käytetään valittaessa menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavia ehdokkaita

Kriteeri: Viitteet määriteltäisiin toimituksiin

Valintaperusteen kuvaus: Technical and professional ability for all 4 lots The suitability of applicants fulfilling the minimum requirements to economic and financial standing will be assessed based on technical and professional ability. The ability will be assessed based on references which can be filled in ESPD part IV.C. The applicant is allowed to submit up to 3 most comparable and relevant references undertaken in the past 5 years as part of the application. By "undertaken in the past 5 years" implies that the reference must not have been completed prior to the date reached when counting 5 years backwards from the submission date for prequalification. References older than this will not be taken into consideration. References which have not yet been fully completed can be included as part of the application, however the applicant must describe the parts which have not yet been delivered, and this may affect the evaluation of the application, cf. section 2.3. Further, if references are obtained in collaboration with other parties, the applicant should clearly explain which elements have been carried out by the applicant. The references should describe deliveries of 145kV and 170 kV AC Cables and include: 1. Description of scope of work: A specific description of the work performed for each relevant reference (The 130 kV – 170 kV voltage level), e.g.: - design, - fabrication, - factory testing, - supply, - transport, - construction (civil works not included), - installation (joints, terminations, AIS, GIS)), - completion, - site testing, - commissioning The description should also state where the work was performed. 2. Description similar to #1 for one project of minimum 50 km single core cable length. 3. The deliveries in the references are tested according to IEC 60840. 4. Contract value (if possible) 5 Contact information for the entity in question (including contact person) 6. Date of initiation and date of final delivery (day, month, year) 7. Country of installation PQ-test (also a technical minimum requirement): For new cable projects, cable manufacturers can only be qualified as suppliers, if a Prequalification Test (PQ test), not older than ten (10) years, is available at the beginning of the tendering process. For cable systems rated up to and including 87/150 (170) kV, where a PQ test report is not available, a detailed description of the historical performance of similar cable systems from the same cable and accessories manufacturer can constitute as a valid PQ test. Furthermore, it should be noted that Energinet accepts the modified interpretation of the PQ tests pro-posed in Cigré TB 303. Energinet deems a PQ test to verify only the production capabilities of the specific production site. A PQ test is therefore non-transferable to other manufacturing sites. Any ambiguities and/or incomprehensibilities may have a negative effect on the selection of applicants. Please note the "description" box in ESPD part IV.C. can contain more text than is visible and it is possible to copy paste text into the box. If the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the maximum number of references to be submitted must still be respected and cannot exceed 3 when combined. If more are submitted, the Contracting Authority will only consider the most recent references determined by date of final delivery, in this case not yet finished references will not be included. If the applicant relies on other entities' capacity or is part of a group of economic operators the information concerning ESPD part IV.C must be submitted in separate ESPD's for each entity.

Soveltuvuusvaatimusta käytetään valittaessa menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavia ehdokkaita

Tiedot kaksivaiheisen menettelyn jälkimmäisestä vaiheesta:

Menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavien ehdokkaiden vähimmäismäärä: 4

Menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavien ehdokkaiden enimmäismäärä: 10

Menettely etenee peräkkäisissä vaiheissa. Kussakin vaiheessa osa osallistujista voidaan karsia

5.1.10. Vertailuperusteet
Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Hinta

Kuvas: Price Prices must be stated in the attached Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 for each lot the tenderer submits a tender for, and the defined price elements must be completed herein. Prices must be stated exclusive of VAT but inclusive of all other expenses associated with the purchase. The evaluation price of delivery in Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 must be based on the Unit Prices for delivery from the three transportation offers in the example case, documents 26/05333 (1-9). These prices will form the unit prices used for the evaluation in 25/14408 54 Schedules of Prices – Purchase 2026 for 145 & 170 kV AC Cables, Lots 1–4. For evaluation purposes: • Lot 3 145kV shall use 170 kV, 1000 m drums as the reference transport price for both 1000 m and 1500 m lengths. • Any 1200 mm² transport prices shall also be based on 170 kV, 1000 m drums. Prices must be stated in EUR When evaluating 'Price', the Contracting Authority will evaluate the Total Cost for each lot. The overall evaluation per lot will be based on the Total cost for evaluation per lot: Lot 1: Cell L11 Lot 2: Cell L11 Lot 3: Cell L11 Lot 4: Cell L11

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 45

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvas: Technical/Project Execution/Case Study For each lot the evaluation will be based on a description of the offered Technical Solution and the Project Execution along with the Case Study (as described in section 1.4). The following documents are expected to be general. The description should demonstrate the technical level of the solution, and include these elements: • Qualification reports (PQ-test, Type Test etc.) from the facilities that are to be used for production. • Filled out in Appendix 2E - EGS-0084 Handover Specification Cables - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, for proposed 1200 mm² and 2500 mm² cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • High voltage cables electrical design reports for proposed 1200 mm² and 2500 mm² cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • Supplier's datasheets for all accessories (joints, terminations, link boxes, SVLs, bonding cables, ECC, cleats). • Drum drawings. • Transportation, lifting and storage instructions for cable drums and accessories. • Storage manual for spare parts. • Maintenance manual. The following documents should be considered for the Case Study only: • Plan of Works o Should include a description of a workweek (cable pull, transporting of jointing container, preparation of cable, curing of resin, etc.) • Detailed time schedule for the works (production, testing, transport, installation) • Project specific organization chart (during all of the different phases of the project) • Components updated in table in doc. no. 26/05333-7. • Cable pulling and accessories interface document • RAMS for work under induced voltage • ITPs relevant for the case • Sheath test procedure. • Solutions for all three joint bay designs described in section 6.7 in ETS-0067 The solution of the Case Study should be based on following documents: • Doc. no. 25/14408-56, 26/05333-1, 26/05333-2, 26/05333-3, 26/05333-4, 26/05333-6, 26/05333-7, 26/05333-8, 26/05333-9 in the Tender material. The Contracting Authority will focus on the documentation provided by the tenderers who are encouraged to submit detailed and thorough responses as the below listed elements will be of particular importance in the evaluation: General technical solution 1) System design: The quality of the engineering documentation (based on design documentation, calculations, values, and statements), including the extent to which this provides evidence that the system is fit for purpose according to the Technical Requirements. Further, the robustness of the solution including documentation and calculations supporting this will be favored. In this context it will be considered positive if the solution provides evidence of having very robust jackets, a high level of pulling force, and provides evidence of water-proof design of joints and link-boxes. 2) Minimum allowed bending radius: The minimum

allowed bending radius during installation and after installation (in final position at terminations) is equally evaluated. A larger bending radius is evaluated negatively. 4) Allowable roller pressure: Allowable roller pressure will be evaluated. A higher roller pressure will be evaluated positively. 5) Lay length of screen wires (if applicable): Concerning lay length of screen wires (in multiple of screen diameter), longer lay length will be evaluated negatively. 6) Electrical stress: As for electrical stress at the insulation screen (outer semiconducting layer), a lower electrical stress will be evaluated positively. 7) AC resistance: Will be evaluated based on a combination of the tenderers stated value and quality of available documentation to support this. A lower AC resistance will be evaluated positively. Higher similarity of tested conductor to offered will be evaluated positively. 8) Current rating: Both continuous and short-term rating will be evaluated. A higher current rating will be evaluated positively. 9) Storage instructions: Long shelf life and ease of storage of components will be evaluated positively. 10) Maintenance: Maintenance free systems will be evaluated positively. High maintenance systems will be evaluated negatively. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Case Study When evaluating the "Case Study" it will be evaluated positively to what degree the tenderer shows a robust, realistic, and operational technical solution along with a realistic plan of works and time schedule. Furthermore, it is important and will be evaluated positively that there is a clear and easy path from the line diagram component types to the datasheet EGS-0084 and to the Supplier accessories datasheets. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion, with focus on installation, setup and testing. The quality of the plan for installation of accessories and plan for testing during installation. It will be evaluated positively that the documents are detailed, practical and provide evidence of a solution which will secure ease of execution of work on site.

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 30

Kriteeri:

Tyyp: Laatu

Kuvas: Programme, organization and manpower For each Lot the evaluation will be based on: Programme: For the evaluation of the "Lot Programme" the tenderer should include a detailed and robust programme which outlines how the activities will be planned from contract commencement date until taking-over certificates has been issued. Organization and manpower: Management, construction, and installation is of immense importance to execution of the project on site in Denmark. The tenderer should include a description which covers: • The Gate System described in Scope of Works doc. no. 25/14408-41. Concerning the activities on site in Denmark the tenderer should include a detailed description of the manpower used on site for supervision and jointing work. The description should also cover: • Organizational diagram for the overarching contract structure • Overall structure including roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation • Interfaces towards Energinet and other external parties e.g. the civil work contractor on site in Denmark including lines of communication. Reference is made to doc. no. 25/14408-55. The evaluation will be based on to which degree the tenderer demonstrates a well-planned, realistic, and robust time schedule /programme, which allows sufficient time for potential contingencies, but still provides evidence of a high level of security for delivery within the timeframe as set out. In this context it will be evaluated positive that: The description and the Lot Programme reflect a realistic, and frontloaded, programme for the critical activities including start-up of Overarching Contract and delivery of all general documentation, factory visits, kick-off meetings, etc. It should contain an Overarching contract organisation chart, with clear roles and responsibilities, along with contact information for each role. The description and programme contain a robust production schedule including all tests, delivery time, robust planning for installation of joints and cable terminations, and supervision activities on site and the associated manpower. The description

demonstrates the tenderer's ability to identify and overcome known risks in the production, transportation, and installation phases. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to handle the constant flow of circuit specific orders under the Agreement and an understanding of the Gate System. The description provides an operational organisation on site in Denmark, with clear roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation. Further, interfaces are handled with clear lines for communication. The ability to extent personnel on site in case of unforeseen delays concerning the jointing work is also covered by the description and ensures efficient execution at all times. It will be evaluated positively that the Plan contains flexibility regarding installations date, but it will be evaluated negatively if the plan shows a significantly later installation date than the date in doc. no. 25/14408-55. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 10

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvaus: Contract Terms For the evaluation of the sub-criteria the tenderer is allowed to propose deviations to the elements of the contract identified as competitive elements in the contract terms. The contract terms consist of: • Contract Agreement, doc.no. 25/14408-17 • Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18 • Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32 • Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55 The deviations should be enclosed in the clarification list c.f. doc.no. 25/14408-14 and be included in the spreadsheet marked "Legal clarification". The proposed deviations should be explicit and with explanation as to why they are made. Contract terms listed in section 3.7.1 are mandatory parts and deviations to these are not accepted. The tendered contract must be performed based on the contract terms. The tenderer is allowed to propose explicit and well-explained deviations to the following listed competitive elements: In Contract Agreement Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, doc.no. 25/14408-17: Sub-Clause 5.3 (Annual cost reduction) Clause 6 (Additional Provisions), limited to: • Cancellation or postponement of production slot • Repair preparedness In Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32: - Sub-Clause 15.1 (Maximum amount of liquidated damages) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.1 (Defects notification period) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.6 in Section 7 (Contract data) both in terms of "Defects notification period for replaced and repaired parts" and "Maximum defect notification period". - Sub-Clause 17.2 (Limitation of liability) in Section 7 (Contract data). In Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18: - Clause 10 (Time for Completion – except for sub-clause 10.1) - Clause 11 (Taking Over) - Clause 14 (Intellectual property rights) - Clause 15 (Late-delivery and non-delivery) - Clause 16 (Defects and remedies) - Clause 17 (Limitation of liability) - Clause 18 (Product liability towards Customer) - Clause 20 (Indemnification) - Clause 28 (Termination) - Clause 29 (Termination for convenience) In Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55: - The liquidated damages stated in "Liquidated damages for late completion". Deviations to these elements will be included in the evaluation and it is noted that any deviations may lead to a reduction of the score depending on the content, clarity, and consequence of the deviation. In this regard it is explicitly noted that deviations which are intended to limit liability and shift the financial risk in favour of the tenderer will be of particular importance in the evaluation. If the tenderer has no deviations to the contract terms, the maximum score of 10 will be awarded. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 15

5.1.11. Hankinta-asiakirjat

Hankinta-asiakirjojen osoite: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

5.1.12. Hankinnan ehdot

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen jättämisen ehdot:

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen jättäminen sähköisesti: Pakollinen

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen toimitusosoite: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

Kielet, joilla tarjoukset tai osallistumishakemukset voidaan toimittaa: englanti

Sähköinen luettelo: Ei sallittu

Vaihtoehtoiset tarjoukset: Ei sallittu

Osallistumishakemusten vastaanottamisen määräaika: 22/05/2026 12:00:00 (UTC+02:00) Itä-Euroopan aika, Keski-Euroopan kesäaika

Tiedot, joita voidaan täydentää myös tarjousten jättämiselle asetetun määräajan jälkeen :

Hankkijan harkinnan mukaan osa puuttuvista hakuasiakirjoista voidaan toimittaa myöhemmin.

Lisätiedot: See Tender Material

Sopimusehdot:

Sopimuksen täytäntöönpano on rajattu tehtäväksi suojatyöohjelmien puitteissa: Ei

Sopimuksen täytäntöönpanoa koskevat ehdot: See contract template.

Salassapitosopimus (NDA) vaaditaan: ei

Sähköinen laskutus: Pakollinen

Tilaukset tehdään sähköisesti: kyllä

Maksut tehdään sähköisesti: kyllä

Rahoitusjärjestelyn kuvaus: See the tender materiel. The tenderer's legal form is not required.

If applications and tenders are submitted by a consortium, all participants in the consortium must be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the contract and a consortium member must be appointed with whom a binding agreement can be concluded on behalf of the consortium

5.1.15. Menetelmät

Puitejärjestely:

Ei puitejärjestelyä

Tietoa dynaamisesta hankintajärjestelmästä:

Ei dynaamista hankintajärjestelmää

5.1.16. Lisätietoja, sovittelu ja muutoksenhaku

Muutoksenhakuelin: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement

Tietoa muutoksenhaun määräajoista: According to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement Act etc. (the Act can be downloaded at www.retsinformation.dk), the following deadlines for filing a complaint apply: Complaints about not having been selected must be submitted to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement within 20 calendar days, cf. Section 7(1) of the Act, from the day after sending a notification to the candidates concerned about who has been selected, when the notification is accompanied by a statement of reasons for the decision in accordance with Section 2(1)(1) of the Act and Section 171(2) of the Public Procurement Act. In other situations, complaints about tenders, cf. Section 7(2) of the Act, must be submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement within: 1) 45 calendar days after the contracting entity has published a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union that it has entered into a contract. The deadline is calculated from the day after the date on which the announcement has been published. 2) 20 calendar days from the day after the contracting entity has announced its decision, cf. Section 185(2) of the Public Procurement

Act. No later than the same time that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement, the complainant must inform the contracting entity in writing that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement and whether the complaint has been submitted during the standstill period, cf. Section 6(4) of the Act. In cases where the complaint has not been submitted during the standstill period, the complainant must also state whether the complaint is to be given suspensive effect, cf. Section 12(1) of the Act. The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's e-mail address is stated in Section VI.4.1). The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's complaint guide can be found at: www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk.

Hankintamenettelystä lisätietoja antava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S
Hankinta-asiakirjoja offline-tilassa tarjoava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S
Muutoksenhakumenettelyjen määräajoista lisätietoja tarjoava organisaatio: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority
Osallistumishakemuksia vastaanottava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S
Tarjouksia käsittelevä organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

5.1. Osa: LOT-0003

Ilmoituksen nimi: Lot 3 - Various 145kV projects Northwest Seeland (DK2) (app. 780 km
Kuvaus: Lot 3 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. However, the tender submitted by the winner of Lot 1 will be excluded from the evaluation of this lot. The evaluation of Lot 3 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 112.200.000 inclusive option for 10 % additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and /or changes in the project.
Sisäinen tunnistus: 712312

5.1.1. Tarkoitus

Pääasiallinen hankintalaji: Tavarahankinnat
Pääasiallinen luokitus (cpv): 31321300 Suurjännitekaapelit
Optiot:
Optioiden kuvaus: 10% extra volume

5.1.2. Suorituspaikka

Maa: Tanska
Missä tahansa tietyn maan alueella

5.1.3. Arvioitu kesto

Alkamispäivä: 01/12/2026
Kesto: 5 Vuodet

5.1.4. Uusiminen

Sopimuksen optiokausien enimmäismäärä: 0

5.1.5. Arvo

Ennakoitu arvo ilman arvonlisäveroa: 112 200 000,00 EUR

5.1.6. Yleistä tietoa

Varattu osallistuminen:

Osallistumista ei ole varattu.

Hankintaa ei ole rahoitettu EU:n varoista

Hankintaan sovelletaan WTO:n julkisia hankintoja koskevaa sopimusta (GPA): kyllä

Lisätiedot: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, www.kfst.dk (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

5.1.9. Valintaperusteet

Valintaperusteiden ilmoittamispaikka: Ilmoitus

Kriteeri: Taloudellinen suhdeluku

Valintaperusteen kuvaus: The applicant must confirm the economic ability in the ESPD part IV. B with information from the latest annual report regarding the following economic indicators: 1. Equity (total equity incl. share capital, reserves, revaluation, retained earning etc.) 2. Equity ratio (equity/total assets). This must be stated with two decimals. If the applicant relies on the capacities of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the information for the ESPD part IV.B must also be given in a separate ESPD from each of these entities. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to secure that the minimum requirements are reached based on consolidation when the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or when the applicant is a group of economic operators. Minimum requirement: Lot 1: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 38.822.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 116.466.000. Lot 2: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.780.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 80.340.000. Lot 3: Equity from the latest annual

report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 31.000.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 93.000.000. Lot 4: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.105.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 78.315.000.

Soveltuvuusvaatimusta käytetään valittaessa menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavia ehdokkaita

Kriteeri: Viitteet määriteltyihin toimituksiin

Valintaperusteen kuvaus: Technical and professional ability for all 4 lots The suitability of applicants fulfilling the minimum requirements to economic and financial standing will be assessed based on technical and professional ability. The ability will be assessed based on references which can be filled in ESPD part IV.C. The applicant is allowed to submit up to 3 most comparable and relevant references undertaken in the past 5 years as part of the application. By "undertaken in the past 5 years" implies that the reference must not have been completed prior to the date reached when counting 5 years backwards from the submission date for prequalification. References older than this will not be taken into consideration. References which have not yet been fully completed can be included as part of the application, however the applicant must describe the parts which have not yet been delivered, and this may affect the evaluation of the application, cf. section 2.3. Further, if references are obtained in collaboration with other parties, the applicant should clearly explain which elements have been carried out by the applicant. The references should describe deliveries of 145kV and 170 kV AC Cables and include: 1. Description of scope of work: A specific description of the work performed for each relevant reference (The 130 kV – 170 kV voltage level), e.g.: - design, - fabrication, - factory testing, - supply, - transport, - construction (civil works not included), - installation (joints, terminations, AIS, GIS)), - completion, - site testing, - commissioning The description should also state where the work was performed. 2. Description similar to #1 for one project of minimum 50 km single core cable length. 3. The deliveries in the references are tested according to IEC 60840. 4. Contract value (if possible) 5 Contact information for the entity in question (including contact person) 6. Date of initiation and date of final delivery (day, month, year) 7. Country of installation PQ-test (also a technical minimum requirement): For new cable projects, cable manufacturers can only be qualified as suppliers, if a Prequalification Test (PQ test), not older than ten (10) years, is available at the beginning of the tendering process. For cable systems rated up to and including 87/150 (170) kV, where a PQ test report is not available, a detailed description of the historical performance of similar cable systems from the same cable and accessories manufacturer can constitute as a valid PQ test. Furthermore, it should be noted that Energinet accepts the modified interpretation of the PQ tests pro-posed in Cigré TB 303. Energinet deems a PQ test to verify only the production capabilities of the specific production site. A PQ test is therefore non-transferable to other manufacturing sites. Any ambiguities and/or incomprehensibilities may have a negative effect on the selection of applicants. Please note the "description" box in ESPD part IV.C. can contain more text than is visible and it is possible to copy paste text into the box. If the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the maximum number of references to be submitted must still be respected and cannot exceed 3 when combined. If more are submitted, the Contracting Authority will only consider the most recent references determined by date of final delivery, in this case not yet

finished references will not be included. If the applicant relies on other entities' capacity or is part of a group of economic operators the information concerning ESPD part IV.C must be submitted in separate ESPD's for each entity.

Soveltuvuusvaatimusta käytetään valittaessa menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavia ehdokkaita

Tiedot kaksivaiheisen menettelyn jälkimmäisestä vaiheesta:

Menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavien ehdokkaiden vähimmäismäärä: 4

Menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavien ehdokkaiden enimmäismäärä: 10

Menettely etenee peräkkäisissä vaiheissa. Kussakin vaiheessa osa osallistujista voidaan karsia

5.1.10. Vertailuperusteet

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Hinta

Kuvaus: Price Prices must be stated in the attached Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 for each lot the tenderer submits a tender for, and the defined price elements must be completed herein. Prices must be stated exclusive of VAT but inclusive of all other expenses associated with the purchase. The evaluation price of delivery in Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 must be based on the Unit Prices for delivery from the three transportation offers in the example case, documents 26/05333 (1-9). These prices will form the unit prices used for the evaluation in 25/14408 54 Schedules of Prices – Purchase 2026 for 145 & 170 kV AC Cables, Lots 1–4. For evaluation purposes: • Lot 3 145kV shall use 170 kV, 1000 m drums as the reference transport price for both 1000 m and 1500 m lengths. • Any 1200 mm² transport prices shall also be based on 170 kV, 1000 m drums. Prices must be stated in EUR When evaluating 'Price', the Contracting Authority will evaluate the Total Cost for each lot. The overall evaluation per lot will be based on the Total cost for evaluation per lot: Lot 1: Cell L11 Lot 2: Cell L11 Lot 3: Cell L11 Lot 4: Cell L11

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 45

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvaus: Technical/Project Execution/Case Study For each lot the evaluation will be based on a description of the offered Technical Solution and the Project Execution along with the Case Study (as described in section 1.4). The following documents are expected to be general. The description should demonstrate the technical level of the solution, and include these elements: • Qualification reports (PQ-test, Type Test etc.) from the facilities that are to be used for production. • Filled out in Appendix 2E - EGS-0084 Handover Specification Cables - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, for proposed 1200 mm² and 2500 mm² cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • High voltage cables electrical design reports for proposed 1200 mm² and 2500 mm² cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • Supplier's datasheets for all accessories (joints, terminations, link boxes, SVLs, bonding cables, ECC, cleats). • Drum drawings. • Transportation, lifting and storage instructions for cable drums and accessories. • Storage manual for spare parts. • Maintenance manual. The following documents should be considered for the Case Study only: • Plan of Works o Should include a description of a workweek (cable pull, transporting of jointing container, preparation of cable, curing of resin, etc.) • Detailed time schedule for the works (production, testing, transport, installation) • Project specific organization chart (during all of the different phases of the project) • Components updated in table in doc. no. 26/05333-7. • Cable pulling and accessories interface document • RAMS for work under induced voltage • ITPs relevant for the case • Sheath test procedure. • Solutions for all three joint bay designs described in section 6.7 in ETS-0067 The solution of the Case

Study should be based on following documents: • Doc. no. 25/14408-56, 26/05333-1, 26/05333-2, 26/05333-3, 26/05333-4, 26/05333-6, 26/05333-7, 26/05333-8, 26/05333-9 in the Tender material. The Contracting Authority will focus on the documentation provided by the tenderers who are encouraged to submit detailed and thorough responses as the below listed elements will be of particular importance in the evaluation: General technical solution 1) System design: The quality of the engineering documentation (based on design documentation, calculations, values, and statements), including the extent to which this provides evidence that the system is fit for purpose according to the Technical Requirements. Further, the robustness of the solution including documentation and calculations supporting this will be favored. In this context it will be considered positive if the solution provides evidence of having very robust jackets, a high level of pulling force, and provides evidence of water-proof design of joints and link-boxes. 2) Minimum allowed bending radius: The minimum allowed bending radius during installation and after installation (in final position at terminations) is equally evaluated. A larger bending radius is evaluated negatively. 4) Allowable roller pressure: Allowable roller pressure will be evaluated. A higher roller pressure will be evaluated positively. 5) Lay length of screen wires (if applicable): Concerning lay length of screen wires (in multiple of screen diameter), longer lay length will be evaluated negatively. 6) Electrical stress: As for electrical stress at the insulation screen (outer semiconducting layer), a lower electrical stress will be evaluated positively. 7) AC resistance: Will be evaluated based on a combination of the tenderers stated value and quality of available documentation to support this. A lower AC resistance will be evaluated positively. Higher similarity of tested conductor to offered will be evaluated positively. 8) Current rating: Both continuous and short-term rating will be evaluated. A higher current rating will be evaluated positively. 9) Storage instructions: Long shelf life and ease of storage of components will be evaluated positively. 10) Maintenance: Maintenance free systems will be evaluated positively. High maintenance systems will be evaluated negatively. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Case Study When evaluating the “Case Study” it will be evaluated positively to what degree the tenderer shows a robust, realistic, and operational technical solution along with a realistic plan of works and time schedule. Furthermore, it is important and will be evaluated positively that there is a clear and easy path from the line diagram component types to the datasheet EGS-0084 and to the Supplier accessories datasheets. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion, with focus on installation, setup and testing. The quality of the plan for installation of accessories and plan for testing during installation. It will be evaluated positively that the documents are detailed, practical and provide evidence of a solution which will secure ease of execution of work on site.

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 30

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvas: Programme, organization and manpower For each Lot the evaluation will be based on: Programme: For the evaluation of the “Lot Programme” the tenderer should include a detailed and robust programme which outlines how the activities will be planned from contract commencement date until taking-over certificates has been issued. Organization and manpower: Management, construction, and installation is of immense importance to execution of the project on site in Denmark. The tenderer should include a description which covers: • The Gate System described in Scope of Works doc. no. 25/14408-41. Concerning the activities on site in Denmark the tenderer should include a detailed description of the manpower used on site for supervision and jointing work. The description should also cover: • Organizational diagram for the overarching contract structure • Overall structure including roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation • Interfaces towards Energinet

and other external parties e.g. the civil work contractor on site in Denmark including lines of communication. Reference is made to doc. no. 25/14408-55. The evaluation will be based on to which degree the tenderer demonstrates a well-planned, realistic, and robust time schedule /programme, which allows sufficient time for potential contingencies, but still provides evidence of a high level of security for delivery within the timeframe as set out. In this context it will be evaluated positive that: The description and the Lot Programme reflect a realistic, and frontloaded, programme for the critical activities including start-up of Overarching Contract and delivery of all general documentation, factory visits, kick-off meetings, etc. It should contain an Overarching contract organisation chart, with clear roles and responsibilities, along with contact information for each role. The description and programme contain a robust production schedule including all tests, delivery time, robust planning for installation of joints and cable terminations, and supervision activities on site and the associated manpower. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to identify and overcome known risks in the production, transportation, and installation phases. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to handle the constant flow of circuit specific orders under the Agreement and an understanding of the Gate System. The description provides an operational organisation on site in Denmark, with clear roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation. Further, interfaces are handled with clear lines for communication. The ability to extent personnel on site in case of unforeseen delays concerning the jointing work is also covered by the description and ensures efficient execution at all times. It will be evaluated positively that the Plan contains flexibility regarding installations date, but it will be evaluated negatively if the plan shows a significantly later installation date than the date in doc. no. 25/14408-55. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 10

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvas: Contract Terms For the evaluation of the sub-criteria the tenderer is allowed to propose deviations to the elements of the contract identified as competitive elements in the contract terms. The contract terms consist of: • Contract Agreement, doc.no. 25/14408-17 • Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18 • Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32 • Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55 The deviations should be enclosed in the clarification list c.f. doc.no. 25/14408-14 and be included in the spreadsheet marked "Legal clarification". The proposed deviations should be explicit and with explanation as to why they are made. Contract terms listed in section 3.7.1 are mandatory parts and deviations to these are not accepted. The tendered contract must be performed based on the contract terms. The tenderer is allowed to propose explicit and well-explained deviations to the following listed competitive elements: In Contract Agreement Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, doc.no. 25/14408-17: Sub-Clause 5.3 (Annual cost reduction) Clause 6 (Additional Provisions), limited to: • Cancellation or postponement of production slot • Repair preparedness In Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32: - Sub-Clause 15.1 (Maximum amount of liquidated damages) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.1 (Defects notification period) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.6 in Section 7 (Contract data) both in terms of "Defects notification period for replaced and repaired parts" and "Maximum defect notification period". - Sub-Clause 17.2 (Limitation of liability) in Section 7 (Contract data). In Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18: - Clause 10 (Time for Completion – except for sub-clause 10.1) - Clause 11 (Taking Over) - Clause 14 (Intellectual property rights) - Clause 15 (Late-delivery and non-delivery) - Clause 16 (Defects and remedies) - Clause 17 (Limitation of liability) - Clause 18 (Product liability towards Customer) - Clause 20 (Indemnification) -

Clause 28 (Termination) - Clause 29 (Termination for convenience) In Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55: - The liquidated damages stated in "Liquidated damages for late completion". Deviations to these elements will be included in the evaluation and it is noted that any deviations may lead to a reduction of the score depending on the content, clarity, and consequence of the deviation. In this regard it is explicitly noted that deviations which are intended to limit liability and shift the financial risk in favour of the tenderer will be of particular importance in the evaluation. If the tenderer has no deviations to the contract terms, the maximum score of 10 will be awarded. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.
Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)
Vertailuperusteen luku: 15

5.1.11. Hankinta-asiakirjat

Hankinta-asiakirjojen osoite: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

5.1.12. Hankinnan ehdot

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen jättämisen ehdot:

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen jättäminen sähköisesti: Pakollinen

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen toimitusosoite: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

Kielet, joilla tarjoukset tai osallistumishakemukset voidaan toimittaa: englanti

Sähköinen luettelo: Ei sallittu

Vaihtoehtoiset tarjoukset: Ei sallittu

Tarjoaja voi jättää useamman kuin yhden tarjouksen: Ei sallittu

Osallistumishakemusten vastaanottamisen määräaika: 22/05/2026 12:00:00 (UTC+02:00) Itä-Euroopan aika, Keski-Euroopan kesäaika

Tiedot, joita voidaan täydentää myös tarjousten jättämiselle asetetun määräajan jälkeen :

Hankkijan harkinnan mukaan osa puuttuvista hakuasiakirjoista voidaan toimittaa myöhemmin.

Lisätiedot: See tender material

Sopimusehdot:

Sopimuksen täytäntöönpano on rajattu tehtäväksi suojatyöohjelmien puitteissa: Ei

Sopimuksen täytäntöönpanoa koskevat ehdot: See contract template

Salassapitosopimus (NDA) vaaditaan: ei

Sähköinen laskutus: Pakollinen

Tilaukset tehdään sähköisesti: kyllä

Maksut tehdään sähköisesti: kyllä

Rahoitusjärjestelyn kuvaus: See the tender materiel. The tenderer's legal form is not required.

If applications and tenders are submitted by a consortium, all participants in the consortium must be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the contract and a consortium member must be appointed with whom a binding agreement can be concluded on behalf of the consortium

5.1.15. Menetelmät

Puitejärjestely:

Ei puitejärjestelyä

Tietoa dynaamisesta hankintajärjestelmästä:

Ei dynaamista hankintajärjestelmää

5.1.16. Lisätietoja, sovittelu ja muutoksenhaku

Muutoksenhakuelin: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement

Tietoa muutoksenhaun määräajoista: According to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement Act etc. (the Act can be downloaded at www.retsinformation.dk), the following deadlines for filing a complaint apply: Complaints about not having been selected must be submitted to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement within 20 calendar days, cf. Section 7(1) of the Act, from the day after sending a notification to the candidates concerned about who has been selected, when the notification is accompanied by a statement of reasons for the decision in accordance with Section 2(1)(1) of the Act and Section 171(2) of the Public Procurement Act. In other situations, complaints about tenders, cf. Section 7(2) of the Act, must be submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement within: 1) 45 calendar days after the contracting entity has published a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union that it has entered into a contract. The deadline is calculated from the day after the date on which the announcement has been published. 2) 20 calendar days from the day after the contracting entity has announced its decision, cf. Section 185(2) of the Public Procurement Act. No later than the same time that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement, the complainant must inform the contracting entity in writing that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement and whether the complaint has been submitted during the standstill period, cf. Section 6(4) of the Act. In cases where the complaint has not been submitted during the standstill period, the complainant must also state whether the complaint is to be given suspensive effect, cf. Section 12(1) of the Act. The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's e-mail address is stated in Section VI.4.1). The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's complaint guide can be found at: www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk.

Hankintamenettelystä lisätietoja antava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S
Hankinta-asiakirjoja offline-tilassa tarjoava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S
Muutoksenhakumenettelyjen määräajoista lisätietoja tarjoava organisaatio: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority

Osallistumishakemuksia vastaanottava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S
Tarjouksia käsittelevä organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

5.1. Osa: LOT-0004

Ilmoituksen nimi: Lot 4 - Various projects Jutland (DK1) (app. 595 km)

Kuvaus: Lot 4 will be awarded to the tenderer submitting the most economically advantageous tender based on the best price-quality ratio. However, the tender submitted by the winner of Lot 2 will be excluded from the evaluation of this lot. The evaluation of Lot 1 will be conducted independently and will not be affected by tenders sub-mitted for other lots. Estimated value: EUR 90.200.000 inclusive option for 10% additional volume to cover unforeseen demand and /or changes in the project.

Sisäinen tunniste: 712313

5.1.1. Tarkoitus

Pääasiallinen hankintalaji: Tavarahankinnat

Täydentävä hankintalaji: Palvelut

Pääasiallinen luokitus (cpv): 31321300 Suurjännitekaapelit

Optiot:

Optioiden kuvaus: 10% Extra volume

5.1.2. Suorituspaikka

Maa: Tanska

Missä tahansa tietyn maan alueella

5.1.3. Arvioitu kesto

Alkamispäivä: 01/12/2026

Kesto: 5 Vuodet

5.1.4. Uusiminen

Sopimuksen optiokausien enimmäismäärä: 0

5.1.5. Arvo

Ennakoitu arvo ilman arvonlisäveroa: 90 200 000,00 EUR

5.1.6. Yleistä tietoa

Varattu osallistuminen:

Osallistumista ei ole varattu.

Hankintasopimuksen toteuttamiseen osoitetun henkilöstön nimet ja ammatillinen pätevyys on ilmoitettava: Ei tarpeen

Hankintaa ei ole rahoitettu EU:n varoista

Hankintaan sovelletaan WTO:n julkisia hankintoja koskevaa sopimusta (GPA): kyllä

Lisätiedot: The tenders submitted must be valid for a period of 6 months from the specified time limit for the submission of tenders. If the tenderer during the negotiation phase prepares and submits revised tenders, such tenders must also be valid for the period of 6 months from the specified time limit for these revised tenders. In the event the investment screening process described in section 1.7 exceeds the expiry date of the validity period the validity of the economically most advantageous tender is automatically extended until the finalization of the screening process added reasonable time for subsequent contract signing assuming the outcome of the investment screening is positive. It should be noted that this is a negotiated procedure. With the exception of basic elements, changes may be made to tender documents and their contents as a consequence as part of the negotiation process. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If you wish to apply to participate in this tender process, the corresponding ESPD must be filled in. The ESPD for this tender process can be found in Comdia. The ESPD must be filled in in Comdia, after which it is submitted via "Save and Send". Guidelines for completing the ESPD can be found on the website of the Konkurrence- og Forbrugerstyrelsen, www.kfst.dk (The contracting entity does not take responsibility for the content of the guidance). Please note the following: - An applicant who participates alone, but relies on the capacity of one or more other entities (e.g. a parent company or sister company or subcontractor) shall ensure that the application is accompanied by both the applicant's own ESPD and a separate ESPD for each entity on which it wishes to rely, with a completed Part II "Information on the economic operator" and Part III "Grounds for exclusion" as well as relevant information concerning Part IV "Selection criteria" and Part V: "Limiting the number of qualified applicants". The attached ESPD from those other entities should be duly completed and signed. In addition, the submission of final evidence must also be accompanied by evidence of the commitment of these entities/subcontractors in this respect in the form of a statement of support. - Where groups of economic operators, including temporary associations, apply together, a full ESPD shall be filled in separately for each participating economic operator containing the required information. The final documentation must also be accompanied by a Consortium Declaration, by which the parties declare to be a consortium or other form of association jointly and severally, unconditionally, and directly liable for the fulfilment of the contract tendered.

5.1.9. Valintaperusteet

Valintaperusteiden ilmoittamispaikka: Ilmoitus

Kriteeri: Taloudellinen suhdeluku

Valintaperusteen kuvaus: The applicant must confirm the economic ability in the ESPD part IV. B with information from the latest annual report regarding the following economic indicators: 1. Equity (total equity incl. share capital, reserves, revaluation, retained earning etc.) 2. Equity ratio (equity/total assets). This must be stated with two decimals. If the applicant relies on the capacities of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the information for the ESPD part IV.B must also be given in a separate ESPD from each of these entities. It is the sole responsibility of the applicant to secure that the minimum requirements are reached based on consolidation when the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or when the applicant is a group of economic operators. Minimum requirement: Lot 1: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 38.822.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 116.466.000. Lot 2: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.780.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 80.340.000. Lot 3: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 31.000.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 93.000.000. Lot 4: Equity from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least EUR 26.105.000. Equity ratio from the latest annual report must, at the time of prequalification, be at least 20 pct. If the equity ratio is between 15 pct. and 20 pct. the applicant can qualify if Equity exceeds EUR 78.315.000.

Kriteeri: Viitteet määriteltäisiin toimituksiin

Valintaperusteen kuvaus: Technical and professional ability for all 4 lots The suitability of applicants fulfilling the minimum requirements to economic and financial standing will be assessed based on technical and professional ability. The ability will be assessed based on references which can be filled in ESPD part IV.C. The applicant is allowed to submit up to 3 most comparable and relevant references undertaken in the past 5 years as part of the application. By "undertaken in the past 5 years" implies that the reference must not have been completed prior to the date reached when counting 5 years backwards from the submission date for prequalification. References older than this will not be taken into consideration. References which have not yet been fully completed can be included as part of the application, however the applicant must describe the parts which have not yet been delivered, and this may affect the evaluation of the application, cf. section 2.3. Further, if references are obtained in collaboration with other parties, the applicant should clearly explain which elements have been carried out by the applicant. The references should describe deliveries of 145kV and 170 kV AC Cables and include: 1. Description of scope of work: A specific description of the work performed for each relevant reference (The 130 kV – 170 kV voltage level), e.g.: - design, - fabrication, - factory testing, - supply, - transport, - construction (civil works not included), - installation (joints, terminations, AIS, GIS)), - completion, - site testing, - commissioning The description should also state where the work was performed. 2. Description similar to #1 for one project of minimum 50 km single core cable length. 3. The deliveries in the references are tested according to IEC 60840. 4. Contract value (if possible) 5 Contact information for the entity in question (including contact person) 6. Date of initiation and date of final delivery (day, month, year) 7. Country of installation PQ-test (also a technical minimum requirement): For new cable projects, cable manufacturers can only be qualified as suppliers, if a Prequalification Test (PQ test), not older than ten (10) years, is available at the beginning of the tendering process. For cable systems rated up to and including 87/150 (170) kV, where a

PQ test report is not available, a detailed description of the historical performance of similar cable systems from the same cable and accessories manufacturer can constitute as a valid PQ test. Furthermore, it should be noted that Energinet accepts the modified interpretation of the PQ tests proposed in Cigré TB 303. Energinet deems a PQ test to verify only the production capabilities of the specific production site. A PQ test is therefore non-transferable to other manufacturing sites. Any ambiguities and/or incomprehensibilities may have a negative effect on the selection of applicants. Please note the "description" box in ESPD part IV.C. can contain more text than is visible and it is possible to copy paste text into the box. If the applicant is relying on the capacity of other entities or the applicant is a group of economic operators the maximum number of references to be submitted must still be respected and cannot exceed 3 when combined. If more are submitted, the Contracting Authority will only consider the most recent references determined by date of final delivery, in this case not yet finished references will not be included. If the applicant relies on other entities' capacity or is part of a group of economic operators the information concerning ESPD part IV.C must be submitted in separate ESPD's for each entity.

Soveltuvuusvaatimusta käytetään valittaessa menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavia ehdokkaita

Tiedot kaksivaiheisen menettelyn jälkimmäisestä vaiheesta:

Menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavien ehdokkaiden vähimmäismäärä: 4

Menettelyn jälkimmäiseen vaiheeseen kutsuttavien ehdokkaiden enimmäismäärä: 10

Menettely etenee peräkkäisissä vaiheissa. Kussakin vaiheessa osa osallistujista voidaan karsia

5.1.10. Vertailuperusteet

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Hinta

Kuvaus: Price Prices must be stated in the attached Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 for each lot the tenderer submits a tender for, and the defined price elements must be completed herein. Prices must be stated exclusive of VAT but inclusive of all other expenses associated with the purchase. The evaluation price of delivery in Schedule of Prices - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables doc. 25/14408-54 must be based on the Unit Prices for delivery from the three transportation offers in the example case, documents 26/05333 (1-9). These prices will form the unit prices used for the evaluation in 25/14408 54 Schedules of Prices – Purchase 2026 for 145 & 170 kV AC Cables, Lots 1–4. For evaluation purposes: • Lot 3 145kV shall use 170 kV, 1000 m drums as the reference transport price for both 1000 m and 1500 m lengths. • Any 1200 mm² transport prices shall also be based on 170 kV, 1000 m drums. Prices must be stated in EUR When evaluating 'Price', the Contracting Authority will evaluate the Total Cost for each lot. The overall evaluation per lot will be based on the Total cost for evaluation per lot: Lot 1: Cell L11 Lot 2: Cell L11 Lot 3: Cell L11 Lot 4: Cell L11

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 45

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvaus: Technical/Project Execution/Case Study For each lot the evaluation will be based on a description of the offered Technical Solution and the Project Execution along with the Case Study (as described in section 1.4). The following documents are expected to be general. The description should demonstrate the technical level of the solution, and include these elements: • Qualification reports (PQ-test, Type Test etc.) from the facilities that are to be used for production. • Filled out in Appendix 2E - EGS-0084 Handover Specification Cables - Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, for proposed 1200 mm² and 2500 mm² cross-sections, for

145 and 170 kV. • High voltage cables electrical design reports for proposed 1200 mm² and 2500 mm² cross-sections, for 145 and 170 kV. • Supplier's datasheets for all accessories (joints, terminations, link boxes, SVLs, bonding cables, ECC, cleats). • Drum drawings. • Transportation, lifting and storage instructions for cable drums and accessories. • Storage manual for spare parts. • Maintenance manual. The following documents should be considered for the Case Study only: • Plan of Works o Should include a description of a workweek (cable pull, transporting of jointing container, preparation of cable, curing of resin, etc.) • Detailed time schedule for the works (production, testing, transport, installation) • Project specific organization chart (during all of the different phases of the project) • Components updated in table in doc. no. 26/05333-7. • Cable pulling and accessories interface document • RAMS for work under induced voltage • ITPs relevant for the case • Sheath test procedure. • Solutions for all three joint bay designs described in section 6.7 in ETS-0067 The solution of the Case Study should be based on following documents: • Doc. no. 25/14408-56, 26/05333-1, 26/05333-2, 26/05333-3, 26/05333-4, 26/05333-6, 26/05333-7, 26/05333-8, 26/05333-9 in the Tender material. The Contracting Authority will focus on the documentation provided by the tenderers who are encouraged to submit detailed and thorough responses as the below listed elements will be of particular importance in the evaluation: General technical solution 1) System design: The quality of the engineering documentation (based on design documentation, calculations, values, and statements), including the extent to which this provides evidence that the system is fit for purpose according to the Technical Requirements. Further, the robustness of the solution including documentation and calculations supporting this will be favored. In this context it will be considered positive if the solution provides evidence of having very robust jackets, a high level of pulling force, and provides evidence of water-proof design of joints and link-boxes. 2) Minimum allowed bending radius: The minimum allowed bending radius during installation and after installation (in final position at terminations) is equally evaluated. A larger bending radius is evaluated negatively. 4) Allowable roller pressure: Allowable roller pressure will be evaluated. A higher roller pressure will be evaluated positively. 5) Lay length of screen wires (if applicable): Concerning lay length of screen wires (in multiple of screen diameter), longer lay length will be evaluated negatively. 6) Electrical stress: As for electrical stress at the insulation screen (outer semiconducting layer), a lower electrical stress will be evaluated positively. 7) AC resistance: Will be evaluated based on a combination of the tenderers stated value and quality of available documentation to support this. A lower AC resistance will be evaluated positively. Higher similarity of tested conductor to offered will be evaluated positively. 8) Current rating: Both continuous and short-term rating will be evaluated. A higher current rating will be evaluated positively. 9) Storage instructions: Long shelf life and ease of storage of components will be evaluated positively. 10) Maintenance: Maintenance free systems will be evaluated positively. High maintenance systems will be evaluated negatively. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Case Study When evaluating the "Case Study" it will be evaluated positively to what degree the tenderer shows a robust, realistic, and operational technical solution along with a realistic plan of works and time schedule. Furthermore, it is important and will be evaluated positively that there is a clear and easy path from the line diagram component types to the datasheet EGS-0084 and to the Supplier accessories datasheets. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion, with focus on installation, setup and testing. The quality of the plan for installation of accessories and plan for testing during installation. It will be evaluated positively that the documents are detailed, practical and provide evidence of a solution which will secure ease of execution of work on site.

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 30

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvaus: Programme, organization and manpower For each Lot the evaluation will be based on: Programme: For the evaluation of the "Lot Programme" the tenderer should include a detailed and robust programme which outlines how the activities will be planned from contract commencement date until taking-over certificates has been issued. Organization and manpower: Management, construction, and installation is of immense importance to execution of the project on site in Denmark. The tenderer should include a description which covers: • The Gate System described in Scope of Works doc. no. 25/14408-41. Concerning the activities on site in Denmark the tenderer should include a detailed description of the manpower used on site for supervision and jointing work. The description should also cover: • Organizational diagram for the overarching contract structure • Overall structure including roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation • Interfaces towards Energinet and other external parties e.g. the civil work contractor on site in Denmark including lines of communication. Reference is made to doc. no. 25/14408-55. The evaluation will be based on to which degree the tenderer demonstrates a well-planned, realistic, and robust time schedule /programme, which allows sufficient time for potential contingencies, but still provides evidence of a high level of security for delivery within the timeframe as set out. In this context it will be evaluated positive that: The description and the Lot Programme reflect a realistic, and frontloaded, programme for the critical activities including start-up of Overarching Contract and delivery of all general documentation, factory visits, kick-off meetings, etc. It should contain an Overarching contract organisation chart, with clear roles and responsibilities, along with contact information for each role. The description and programme contain a robust production schedule including all tests, delivery time, robust planning for installation of joints and cable terminations, and supervision activities on site and the associated manpower. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to identify and overcome known risks in the production, transportation, and installation phases. The description demonstrates the tenderer's ability to handle the constant flow of circuit specific orders under the Agreement and an understanding of the Gate System. The description provides an operational organisation on site in Denmark, with clear roles, responsibilities, hierarchy and procedures for escalation. Further, interfaces are handled with clear lines for communication. The ability to extent personnel on site in case of unforeseen delays concerning the jointing work is also covered by the description and ensures efficient execution at all times. It will be evaluated positively that the Plan contains flexibility regarding installations date, but it will be evaluated negatively if the plan shows a significantly later installation date than the date in doc. no. 25/14408-55. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion.

Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)

Vertailuperusteen luku: 10

Kriteeri:

Tyyppi: Laatu

Kuvaus: Contract terms For the evaluation of the sub-criteria the tenderer is allowed to propose deviations to the elements of the contract identified as competitive elements in the contract terms. The contract terms consist of: • Contract Agreement, doc.no. 25/14408-17 • Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18 • Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32 • Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55 The deviations should be enclosed in the clarification list c.f. doc.no. 25/14408-14 and be included in the spreadsheet marked "Legal clarification". The proposed deviations should be explicit and with explanation as to why they are made. Contract terms listed in section 3.7.1 are mandatory parts and deviations to these are not accepted. The tendered contract must be performed based on the contract terms. The tenderer is allowed to propose explicit and well-explained deviations to the following listed competitive elements: In Contract Agreement

Purchase 2026 for 145&170 kV AC Cables, doc.no. 25/14408-17: Sub-Clause 5.3 (Annual cost reduction) Clause 6 (Additional Provisions), limited to: • Cancellation or postponement of production slot • Repair preparedness In Appendix 3 (Circuit Specific Order), doc. no. 25/14408-32: - Sub-Clause 15.1 (Maximum amount of liquidated damages) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.1 (Defects notification period) in Section 7 (Contract data). - Sub-Clause 16.6 in Section 7 (Contract data) both in terms of "Defects notification period for replaced and repaired parts" and "Maximum defect notification period". - Sub-Clause 17.2 (Limitation of liability) in Section 7 (Contract data). In Appendix 1 [General Terms and Conditions for Works], doc.no. 25/14408-18: - Clause 10 (Time for Completion – except for sub-clause 10.1) - Clause 11 (Taking Over) - Clause 14 (Intellectual property rights) - Clause 15 (Late-delivery and non-delivery) - Clause 16 (Defects and remedies) - Clause 17 (Limitation of liability) - Clause 18 (Product liability towards Customer) - Clause 20 (Indemnification) - Clause 28 (Termination) - Clause 29 (Termination for convenience) In Appendix 4 [Time Schedule], doc.no. 25/14408-55: - The liquidated damages stated in "Liquidated damages for late completion". Deviations to these elements will be included in the evaluation and it is noted that any deviations may lead to a reduction of the score depending on the content, clarity, and consequence of the deviation. In this regard it is explicitly noted that deviations which are intended to limit liability and shift the financial risk in favour of the tenderer will be of particular importance in the evaluation. If the tenderer has no deviations to the contract terms, the maximum score of 10 will be awarded. An overall evaluation will be made of this sub-criterion. Kategoria vertailuperuste paino: Painotus (prosentteina, tarkka)
Vertailuperusteen luku: 15

5.1.11. Hankinta-asiakirjat

Hankinta-asiakirjojen osoite: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

5.1.12. Hankinnan ehdot

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen jättämisen ehdot:

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen jättäminen sähköisesti: Pakollinen

Tarjouksen/hakemuksen toimitusosoite: <https://www.comdia.com/energinet/tenderinformationshow.aspx?Id=700324>

Kielet, joilla tarjoukset tai osallistumishakemukset voidaan toimittaa: englanti

Sähköinen luettelo: Ei sallittu

Vaihtoehtoiset tarjoukset: Ei sallittu

Tarjoaja voi jättää useamman kuin yhden tarjouksen: Ei sallittu

Osallistumishakemusten vastaanottamisen määräaika: 22/05/2026 12:00:00 (UTC+02:00) Itä-Euroopan aika, Keski-Euroopan kesäaika

Tiedot, joita voidaan täydentää myös tarjousten jättämiselle asetetun määräajan jälkeen :

Hankkijan harkinnan mukaan osa puuttuvista hakuasiakirjoista voidaan toimittaa myöhemmin.

Lisätiedot: See tender material.

Sopimusehdot:

Sopimuksen täytäntöönpano on rajattu tehtäväksi suojatyöohjelmien puitteissa: Ei

Sopimuksen täytäntöönpanoa koskevat ehdot: See contract template

Salassapitosopimus (NDA) vaaditaan: ei

Sähköinen laskutus: Pakollinen

Tilaukset tehdään sähköisesti: kyllä

Maksut tehdään sähköisesti: kyllä

Rahoitusjärjestelyn kuvaus: See the tender materiel. The tenderer's legal form is not required. If applications and tenders are submitted by a consortium, all participants in the consortium must be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the contract and a consortium member must be appointed with whom a binding agreement can be concluded on behalf of the consortium

5.1.15. Menetelmät

Puitejärjestely:

Ei puitejärjestelyä

Tietoa dynaamisesta hankintajärjestelmästä:

Ei dynaamista hankintajärjestelmää

5.1.16. Lisätietoja, sovittelu ja muutoksenhaku

Muutoksenhakuelin: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement

Tietoa muutoksenhaun määräajoista: According to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement Act etc. (the Act can be downloaded at www.retsinformation.dk), the following deadlines for filing a complaint apply: Complaints about not having been selected must be submitted to the Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement within 20 calendar days, cf. Section 7(1) of the Act, from the day after sending a notification to the candidates concerned about who has been selected, when the notification is accompanied by a statement of reasons for the decision in accordance with Section 2(1)(1) of the Act and Section 171(2) of the Public Procurement Act. In other situations, complaints about tenders, cf. Section 7(2) of the Act, must be submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement within: 1) 45 calendar days after the contracting entity has published a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union that it has entered into a contract. The deadline is calculated from the day after the date on which the announcement has been published. 2) 20 calendar days from the day after the contracting entity has announced its decision, cf. Section 185(2) of the Public Procurement Act. No later than the same time that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement, the complainant must inform the contracting entity in writing that a complaint is submitted to the Complaints Board for Public Procurement and whether the complaint has been submitted during the standstill period, cf. Section 6(4) of the Act. In cases where the complaint has not been submitted during the standstill period, the complainant must also state whether the complaint is to be given suspensive effect, cf. Section 12(1) of the Act. The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's e-mail address is stated in Section VI.4.1). The Complaints Board for Public Procurement's complaint guide can be found at: www.erhvervsstyrelsen.dk.

Hankintamenettelystä lisätietoja antava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Hankinta-asiakirjoja offline-tilassa tarjoava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Muutoksenhakumenettelyjen määräajoista lisätietoja tarjoava organisaatio: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority

Osallistumishakemuksia vastaanottava organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Tarjouksia käsittelevä organisaatio: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

8. Organisaatiot

8.1. ORG-0001

Virallinen nimi: Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Rekisterinumero: 39314878

Postiosoite: Tonne Kjærsvej 65

Postitoimipaikka: Fredericia

Postinumero: 7000
Maaryhmittely (NUTS): Sydjylland (DK032)
Maa: Tanska
Yhteyspiste: Indkøbsafdelingen - A
Sähköposti: indkob@energinet.dk
Puhelin: 70102244
Internetosoite: <https://energinet.dk/>

Tämän organisaation roolit:

Ostaja
Hankintamenettelystä lisätietoja antava organisaatio
Hankinta-asiakirjoja offline-tilassa tarjoava organisaatio
Osallistumishakemuksia vastaanottava organisaatio
Tarjouksia käsittelevä organisaatio

8.1. ORG-1000

Virallinen nimi: Comdia ApS
Rekisterinumero: 33501404
Postiosoite: Lindvedvej 71
Postitoimipaikka: Odense S
Postinumero: 5260
Maaryhmittely (NUTS): Fyn (DK031)
Maa: Tanska
Sähköposti: support@comdia.com
Puhelin: +45 7199 3672
Internetosoite: <https://www.comdia.com/>

Tämän organisaation roolit:

TED eSender

8.1. ORG-1001

Virallinen nimi: Danish Complaints Board for Public Procurement
Rekisterinumero: 37795526
Postiosoite: Danish Appeals Boards Authority, Toldboden 2
Postitoimipaikka: Viborg
Postinumero: 8800
Maaryhmittely (NUTS): Vestjylland (DK041)
Maa: Tanska
Sähköposti: klfu@naevneneshus.dk
Puhelin: +45 7240 5600
Internetosoite: <http://www.klfu.dk>

Tämän organisaation roolit:

Muutoksenhakuelin

8.1. ORG-1002

Virallinen nimi: Danish Competition and Consumer Authority
Rekisterinumero: 10294819
Postiosoite: Carl Jacobsens Vej 35
Postitoimipaikka: Valby
Postinumero: 2500
Maaryhmittely (NUTS): Københavns omegn (DK012)
Maa: Tanska
Sähköposti: kfst@kfst.dk

Puhelin: +45 4171 5000

Internetosoite: <https://www.kfst.dk/>

Tämän organisaation roolit:

Muutoksenhakumenettelyjen määrääjoista lisätietoja tarjoava organisaatio

Ilmoituksen tiedot

Ilmoituksen tunnistenumero: 52bcbfe5-56e8-4869-a8df-951891b29668 - 01

Lomakkeen tyyppi: Kilpailu

Ilmoituksen tyyppi: Hankintailmoitus tai käyttöoikeussopimusta koskeva ilmoitus – vakiojärjestelmä

Ilmoituksen alatyypin numero: 17

Ilmoituksen lähetyspäivä: 06/05/2026 10:20:17 (UTC+02:00) Itä-Euroopan aika, Keski-Euroopan kesäaika

Kielet, joilla tämä ilmoitus on virallisesti saatavilla: englanti

Ilmoituksen julkaisunumero: 315261-2026

EUVL S -lehden numero: 88/2026

Julkaisupäivä: 07/05/2026